A STUDY OF THE NATURE OF AND THE CONFLICTS DETWEEN THE JEWISH AND NON-JEWISH ENVIRONMENT OF ADOLESCENT JEWISH CHILDREN

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the degree of Rabbi

Norton A. Bauman

Dr. Abraham N. Franzblau, Referes

Mic. 5/78

TO HIX

Pananya

### ACKNOWLEDGIESTENTS

I am grateful to Dr. Edward D. Roberts, superintendent, and Dr. Douglas E. Scates of the Cincinnati Board of Education for their kind permission to give this test in the city high schools. Likewise, to Mr. Albert Grant of the psychological laboratory of the Board of Education, and to the principals of all the high schools in the city of Cincinnati I wish to express my sincere appreciation for their splendid cooperation. I am grateful to Miss Madeline Berlin of the United Jewish Social Agencies for her aid in estimating the rents of certain students. Likewise I wish to express my thanks to the three hundred and fifty-five high school students who were patient enough to take the test.

For assistance in administering the test, I am indebted to my friends, Dermard D. Rosenberg, Lou H. Silberman, Jr., and Leo E. Turitz. For help in tabulating, recording, and in doing some of the statistics, I am grateful to my brother, Emanuel Bauman, and to my friends, Selig H. Isaacs, Byron T. Rubenstein, David D. Shor, and Malcolm H. Stern.

Lastly, I wish to express my greatest indebtedness of all to Professor Abreham W. Franzblau, teacher, sponsor, friend, without whose constant guidance and help this study would have been completely impossible.

### PREFACE

Although much work has already been done in the field of Jewish education, it is somewhat surprising that no study such as this one has ever been attempted. In his search for material before beginning the study, the author found a number of theoretical opinions, often expressing opposite points of view, but no one stated enything beyond the results of his personal experience. No one is more aware of the weaknesses and the elementary nature of this investigation then is the author, nevertheless, this particular study sooms to have broken the surface of a new field. The results herein presented cannot be taken as final conclusions; they speak only for the Jewish high school students in their junior and senior years in the City of Cincinnati in the academic year 1936-37; but they do point in a direction; they do give an indication of what can be studied and what ought to be studied; they do deal with factors about which we should know more, if we are to make Jewish education and, ultimately, Judaism dynamic in influencing the lives of Jews. We cannot hope to treat the problems before we adequately diagnose what the problems are and what is going on in the minds of our youth.

It is the author's hope that this preliminary study will grow, and that he will be able to continue the work begun by this thesis.

### THE NATURE OF THE PROBLEM

The adolescent period of children not only marks the time when their bodies are changing from those of children to those of adults, but also marks the transitional period when ideologically they pass from childhood to maturity. Their physical make-up produces in them new sensations and stretches their emotions so that they are beset by problems of adjustment and re-adjustment. Their physical awkwardness coincides with a certain mental awkwardness as they are beset with the real issues of life. They question all the mores and institutions of our day and must learn to accept anew the world in which they are living.

Among the manifold problems that confront the adolescent is, of course, that of religion. At the end of the adolescent process we find many young people retaining an affiliation with religion, whereas others, on reaching maturity, tend to break all religious affiliations or, at least, to remain indifferent for the greater part of their lives. This process is as true for the Jewish adolescent as it is for any other and therefore we, who are interested both in Judaism and in the problems confronting young Jews, are moved to ask, what are the potent reasons for the difference in reaction between those who retain their affiliations with Judaism and those who become indifferent or break off their affiliations?

What are the attitudes in general of our adolescent Jewish youths toward Jews and toward Judaism? What is the nature of their religious and social conflicts, the conflicts between the Jewish and the non-Jewish world? How does the process operate and what factors are influential in determining the outcomes? The purpose of this study was to explore the general nature of this problem and the possible answers to these questions.

In a preliminary investigation such as this it was thought best to study a nearly uniform group with respect to age range that would, nevertheless, have samplings of the different Jewish affiliations: the Orthodox, the Conservative, Reform, the unaffiliated, those who had Sunday school education, those who were educated privately or in daily Hebrew schools, and those who had no religious education at all, etc. In a group such as this one could see the general process that operates at a limited ago range and yet select out the different kinds of Jewish affiliations to see the differences between these smaller groups. Inasmuch as most Jewish children do continue to receive public education at least until their graduation from high school, it was decided that the best scleetion for a general sampling of Jewish adolescents could be made from the last two grades in the public high schools. Here one could find the unaffiliated as well as the affiliated Jewish children of a limited age range. Likewise, those in the last two

years of high school would not only be able to enswer a more mature test, but they would also be at the end of their formal religious education, approaching the end of their adolescent stage, and yet not be scattered into the mature world.

### THE TEST AND ITS ADMINISTRATION

Inasmuch as, in an elementary study such as this, it was impossible to interview each child personally, it was therefore decided that a test should be constructed which would give us the information we needed and yet be short enough and prove interesting enough to the student to elicit from him the enswers obtained by personal interview.

On the next few pages will be found an inserted mimoographed copy of the test exactly as it was given to the students, and I shall refer to it in the following discussion. The preliminary page is colf-explanatory, and I therefore chall begin with page one. The first group of questions beginning with the occupation of the parent was asked in order to get an index to the financial status of the home. Perhaps the economic status of the home has an influence upon the religious attitudes of the child. The occupation of the parent was graded in terms of the Barr Scale of occupational classification as published by Terman in Genetic Studies of Genius, vol. I. Dp. 61-72. For the other questions the general distribution was found and a cortain grade was given to each sigma of the average deviation. For example, for the number of rooms in the home, a score of 2 was given those who had 2, 3, 4 rooms in their home. Those who had 5, 6, 7 received a score of 3. Those of 8, 9, 10 -- 4; 11, 12, 15 -- 5; and 14 rooms or more -- 6. Those who slopt alone in their bedroom received

ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS TEST

This test has nothing whatever to do with your school work and will not affect your school standing in any way.

SOME people feel that young Jews are no longer interested in Judaism. OTHERS feel that young Jews are more interested today than ever before. BUT NO ONE REALLY KNOWS what the truth is because the young people have never been asked directly.

THAT IS WHAT THIS STUDY IS ATTEMPTING TO DO: to get young Jews to tell what their real attitudes and opinions are SO THAT WE MAY KNOW THE FACTS, once and for all.

You can help in this important work by answering all the questions which follow, as fully and responsibly as possible.

In order that you may feel free to answer frankly and honestly we ask that you

Do not sign your name anywhere on these pages.

ALL YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE TREATED IN STRICTEST CONFIDENCE

Please give the information below by filling in the blanks, checking wherever indicated.
Age: Sex: Boy Girl Grade in High School: Junior Senior Occupation of parent:
How many children are there in your family?  How many rooms are there in your home?  What profession or business do you hope to enter?
How many sleep in your bedroom (counting yourself as one)?  Do you have roomers or boarders? Yes No How many?  Does your family have a car? Yes No What make?
Do your parents own their own home? Yes No If not, how much rent do they pay per month?
Has your parent been out of work in the past five years? Yes No Was your family ever on relief? Yes No Do you have a maid? Yes No Were you Confirmed? Yes No Were you Bar Mitzvah? Yes No
How many years of Jewish education have you had up to the present?
How many of these were spent  1. in Sunday School?  2. in daily Hebrew School?  3. in private instruction?
Do your parents belong to a synagogue? Yes No If they do, is it Orthodox Conservative Reform
Do you have any of the following books or ceremonial objects in your home? Please indicate by checking:
<ol> <li>Books in Hebrew About how many</li> <li>Jewish story books and Jewish fiction in English About how many?</li> <li>Books on Jewish subjects such as history, Bible, religion About how many?</li> <li>Jewish ceremonial and art objects: A mezuzah Sabbath candelabra or candlesticks Chanukah Menorah "Jewish" pictures Besomim box Kiddush cup or goblet</li> <li>Jewish periodicals. Check which of the following you receive in your home:</li> </ol>
Every Friday Opinion  A Yiddish newspaper B'nai Brith Magazine  The American Israelite The New Palestine Jewish Frontier

# The following group are to be answered by underlining:

How would you classify your parents?
1. Orthodox 2. Conservative 3. Reform

	YOUR PARENTS Attend Temple or Synagogue services?l.	Pegulanlu	occeptonelly
	The state of the s	ne ne	ver
	Light candles on Friday night?2.	ne	ver
3.	Make Kiddush on Friday night?	<del></del> -	occasionally ver
4.	Light Chanukah candles on Chanukah?4.	Regularly	
5.	Fast on Yom Kippur?5.	Regularly nev	
б.	Have a Seder at home on Passover?6.		occasionally
7.	Have a Succah or visit one on Succoth?7.		occasionally
8.	Recite prayers ("Daven") privately at home?8.		
		nev	/er
9.	Have two sets of dishes (Meat & Milk)?9.	Regularly nev	The state of the s
	Do you like the Jewish Sabbath ceremonies?	l. Yes	indifferent no
2.	Do you like the Jewish holidays such as Chanukah, Purim and Passover?	2. Yes	indifferent
3.	Do you like to attend religious services?.	3. Yes	no indifferent no
4	Do you like going to religious school?	4. Yes	indifferent no
5.	Do you like going to High School?	5. Yes	indifferent no
How	would you classify YOURSELF? 4. Orthodox 5. Conservative 6. Reform		
	w happy a person do you regard yourself as ople about you?	compared wi	th most
	Very happy Relatively happy Average Relatively unhappy Very unhappy		
	you contemplate your future life and your of fact that you are a Jew:  1. Will make things easies 2. Will make things hardes 3. Will not make much diff	r for you? r for you?	natifyaan Tuluur

## Personal adjustment

Read each statement carefully. Mark with a plus sign those to which your answer is yes, and with a minus sign those to which your answer is no. There are no "right" or "wrong" answers to these questions. Answer as you feel about each statement by marking it plus or minus.

<ol> <li>Do you enjoy having people watch you at work which you do well</li> <li>Are you afraid of falling when on high places?</li> <li>Are you usually satisfied with your first attempt at a piece</li> </ol>
of work? 4. Do you feel antagonized by good natured jokes told about Jews, similar to those told about Scotchmen?
Do you frequently forget things?  5Do you ordinarily sleep very soundly?  7Do you consider yourself an unlucky person?  8Are you pleased by remarks that you don't look Jewish?  9Do you often feel extremely elated or depressed without
apparant cause?  10. Do you quickly tire of a task in which you were interested?  11. Can you retain your poise when walking through a room, observed by people?
12. Do you experience stage-fright when speaking in public?  13. Does your mind often wander so that you lose track of what you are doing?
Do you daydream frequently?  15. Are your feelings easily hurt?  16. Are you irritated by reference to the "wandering Jew"?  17. Have you found books more interesting than people?  18. Do you feel self-conscious when you speak before a group?  19. Do you often hesitate to volunteer in class recitations?  20. Do you find it difficult to make friends?  21. Do you frequently have feelings of loneliness even when you are in the company of people?
22. Do you easily become upset? 23. Do you often say things on the spur of the moment that you
afterwards regret?  24. Do you ever take the initiative in arranging a social affair?  25. Do you usually feel self-conscious in the presence of superior  26. Do you ordinarily feel self-conscious because of your personal
appearance?  27. Have you ever found it difficult to check your laughter?  28. Do you feel more disturbed about a crime when you read that those involved are Jews?
29. Do you generally consider attendance at social affairs a pleasant task?
30. Do you sometimes feel that things aren't real? 31. Do you prefer to work with others rather than alone? 32. Do you feel at ease in the presence of members of the opposite sex?
33. Was your Jewishness the cause of any unpleasant experiences during your childhood?

34. Are you careless about keeping your personal property in good repair?
35. Do you often feel that people are watching you with suspicion? 36. Do you consider yourself a nervous person?
37. Do you have a feeling of uneasiness when you pass a church?
38. Are you antagonized by people who speak too slowly?
39. Do you consider Jewish women as a rule less attractive
aesthetically than Gentile women?
40. Do you feel dependent upon others in reaching a decision?
41. Do you consider yourself a courageous person?
42. Are you sometimes troubled by ideas running through your head when you are trying to sleep?
43. Do you lack self-confidence?
44. Do your interests change quickly?
45. At a reception or tea do you avoid being introduced to the
important person present?
46. Do you feel deeply hurt at being reprimanded for an oversight?
47. Do you have a tendency to exaggerate unintentionally things
you have said or done?
48. Do you consciously inhibit any gestures, fearing they be
taken as a sign of your Jewishness?
49. Do you usually speak in well-thought-out, deliberate language?  50. Do you find it difficult to get mid of a salesman?
51. Do you worry long over humiliating experiences?
Do you find it difficult to get rid of a salesman?  Do you worry long over humiliating experiences?  Do you ever experience feelings of dizziness?  Do you often take the lead to enliven a dull party?
53. Do you often take the lead to enliven a dull party?
54. Do you ever have a feeling of inferiority?
Yiddish?
56. Do you consider yourself a person of amiable disposition?  57. Were you usually depressed by low marks?
57. Were you usually depressed by low marks?
58. Do you frequently lose track of what you are doing while in the midst of a task?
59. In social conversation do you usually seek to lead the
discussion?
60 Do you tend to brood over your Tewighness?
61. Are you antagonized by the mention of "Nordic superiority"?
62. Do you feel humiliated when someone corrects you privately?
63. Are you usually sure of yourself in work which you undertake to do?
64. Were you ever so wrought up before taking an examination
that you were unable to prepare for it?
65. Do you feel antagonized when you hear a Gentile praising
the greatness of the Jews? 66. Do you find it easy to start conversations with strangers?
67. Do you often have a feeling of grandeur?
68. Do you stay in the background on social occasions?
69. Do you avoid the company of people who use very Jewish gestures?
70. Do you become discouraged very easily?
71. Do you find it difficult to free your mind from unpleasant
memories?
72. Do you feel that people often dislike you because of your Jewishness?
73. Do you ever "go to pieces" when competing with others?
74. Do you often wish that you had been born a Gentile?
75. Do you frequently regret things you have said or done?

This section of the test gives you the chance to express your own ideas about religion. Answer each question as you think best by underlining the words Yes or No. Please do not omit any questions. Try to give your own ideas, what you, yourself, think. Do not say Yes or No just because your teacher or someone else says so, but try to do your own thinking.

	·		
2.	Do you think religion means obeying God's laws?l Do you think God is only imagination?2		No No
·	Do you think we can learn about God from dreams and visions?3	. Yes	No
	Do you think God made everything, us and the stars the animals and the flowers?4.  Do you think God knows everything we say or do	. Yes	No
	or think?5  Do you think God has a good reason for every-	. Yes	No
	thing that happens to us, even though we cannot understand it sometimes?6		No
	Do you think God cares what we do?		No
	who really trust Him?8. Do you think God cares whether we repent or not?9. Do you think God often hears and answers our		No No
	prayers?10. Do you think true prayer consists of thinking	Yes	No
r o	of the wonderful ways of God in the world?ll.  Do you think we can get things by praying to	Yes	No
	God for them?	Yes	No
	dies?13. Do you think the things science teaches conflict		No
15.	with the things Judaism teaches us?14.  Do you think religion helps one become more		No
16.	honest, more moral, and more decent?15. Do you think Judaism has anything really worth while to contribute to our modern civilization?.16.		No No
17.	If a law were passed to destroy all religions, would that be a step backward? forward?	102	
How	many of your close friends are Gentiles?		
	All 2. Many 3. Few 4. None		× ,
Do 2	ou go to parties and dances given by people who are	not J	ewish?
1	Very often 2. Quite often 3. Sometimes 4. Rarely 5.	_Neve:	r
	ou "date" with people who are not Jewish?		
1.	Very often 2. Quite often 3. Sometimes 4. Rarely 5.	Neve	P

Let us assume that you are to be born all over again, and just before

entering this world you are given the choice of being born into a non-Jewish family or into a Jewish family. Knowing what is involved in being a Jew, which of the following would you choose? Without hesitation choose to be born as a Jew 2. Hesitate, but probably in the end choose to be born a Jew Hesitate, but probably in the end choose to be born a non-Jew Without hesitation ask to be born a non-Jew What do you think your parents would have done in the same situation? 1. Without hesitation choose to be born Jewish 2. Hesitate, but probably in the end choose to be born Jewish 3. Hesitate, but probably in the end choose to be born as non-Jews Without hesitation ask to be born as non-Jews What do you think your Jewish friends would do? Without hesitation choose to be born Jewish 2. Hesitate, but probably in the end choose to be born Jewish? Hesitate, but probably in the end choose to be born as non-Jews Without hesitation ask to be born as non-Jews Not all young people receive the same kind of treatment from their parents. Even in the same home, sometimes things may be handles in a way that strikes a young person as fair, and other times in a way that seems unfair. Does the way your own parents treat you strike you as fair or unfair with reference to the following matters? 1. Spending-money or allowance.......... Fair Unfair 2. Hour at which you must go to bed....2. Fair Unfair Unfair 4. Rules as to your making dates.....4. Fair Unfair. Unfair 6. Giving parties for your friends.....6. Fair 7. Requirements about taking music Unfair Unfair 8. Requirements for religious school attendance.....8. Fair Unfair 9. Rules about the kind of books you Unfair may read.....9. Fair 10. Number of movies you may see per week......lO. Fair Unfair

Judging	from	y	our (	own	per	sonal	exp	perie	ence	with	nor	ı-Jev	vs up	to	the
present	time	in	your	r 11	fe,	what	is	the	atti	tude	$\circ f$	${ the}$	non-	Jewi	.sh
world to	owards	з ус	ou?												

1.	Very friendly
2.	More friendly than unfriendly
3.	About fifty-fifty (indifferent)
4.	More unfriendly than friendly
5.	Very unfriendly

The following is a list of words describing some desirable and some undesirable traits of character. Read each of these carefully and if you think the Jews, as a group, possess a trait in a greater degree than the average non-Jew, put a plus sign in front of it; if you think the Jews possess less of that trait put a minus sign in front of it; and if there is no difference between Jews and non-Jews in that trait put a zero in front of it.

If the Jews have more of that trait -- plus
If the Jews have less of that trait -- minus
If they are about the same as other people -- zero

1. 2. 3.	aggressiveness arrogance artistic ability bargaining	16. 17. 18. 19.	_meddlesomeness _money-mindedness _originality _patriotism
5.	conscientiousness	20.	percentage of feeble-
6.	devotion to family		minded
7.	emotionality	21	_percentage of great men
8.	enthusiasm	22.	philosophic inclinations
9.	extreme conservatism	23.	physical endurance
10.	greed	24.	progressiveness
11.	ingratitude	25.	respect for education
12.	inhibition	26.	scientific-mindedness
13.	initiative	27.	self-indulgence
14.	intelligence	28.	selfishness
15.	intolerance	29.	servility
*	30. shortne	ss of stat	

With which of the following economic viewpoints are you most in sympathy?

the traditional	type of Capitalism
"New Deal" type	of modified Capitalism
Socialism	
Communism	

a score of one; two in a bedroom -- 3; and three or four in a bedroom -- one. The question of roomers and boarders was entirely discarded because there were too few who did have roomers or boarders. The different kinds of automobiles were tabulated and arranged in four groups. Group one consisted of ears ranged in price up to \$800.00. Group two to \$1000.00; group three to \$2000; and group four to \$3500. Those who had no car received a score of two. Those who possessed a car in group one received a score of three; group two -- 4: group three -- 5: group four as well as all those who possessed more than one car -- 6. Those whose parents did not own their own home but paid a rent up to \$28.00 received a score of one; up to \$45.00, a score of two. Those whose parents owned a three or four room house or who paid \$60.00 per month rent received a score of three; owned a five or six room house, or paid up to \$80.00 received a score of four; owned a seven or eight room house or paid anything over \$80.00 per month rent received a score of five: those whose parents owned a home of more than eight rooms received a score of six. In 46 cases the children did not know how much rent their parents paid per month. In these cases the author sent a list of the names and addresses of these children's parents to Miss M. Berlin of the United Jewish Social Agencies of Cincinnati who is acquainted with the rental conditions in this city, and who graciously estimated the rents in these instances. The questions as to the parent ever having been out of work or on relief were not scored and used directly, but they did help in explaining

certain enswers to the questions above them. Those who had a maid were given a score of 5; those who did not, a score of 2. These six scores of occupation of parent, number of rooms in home, number who sleep in bedroom of child, possession of car, possession or rental of home, and possession of a maid, were then totaled and divided by six leaving a total score of economic home status ranging from three to seven with three indicating the poorest group and seven the wealthiest. Although this was a very general procedure it did give a relative gradation to the economic status of the homes of the children.

The Jewish education of the children was tabulated according to the years. For this study those who had private instruction were group together with those who had a daily Hebrew school education inasmuch as it was assumed that in both cases the children had instruction more than one day a week and also studied relatively the same material as against those who went to Sunday school. A separate tabulation was made of those children who received both a Hebrew school and a Sunday school training.

The questions as to the number and kinds of books, periodicals, and deremonial objects in the home were inserted with the hope of finding out what relationships existed between the physical Jewishness of the home and the attitudes of the children, but these were not used in this study.

The question at the top of page two of the test was asked to determine how the child would classify his parents

es against how he marked their affiliation or unaffiliation on page one. Also in the lower center of page two he was asked how he would classify himself in order that we may determine how he differed from his parents.

The nine questions on page two of the test were scored together as one deremonials observance test of the parents. Those items marked "Regularly" were given a score of two; those marked "coccasionally" were given a score of one; and those underlined "never" were given a score of zero. The totals therefore ranged from zero to eighteen.

The next questions were tabulated separately except that question four was secred in its relation to question five.

It was found, however, that so few children registered an indifference to or dislike of high school that in the study of relationships this point was dropped.

The last question on page two was asked in order to determine to what extent the children were aware of the economic manifestations of anti-Samitism that are to great them upon their graduation from high school and later from college.

The test on pages three and four is taken from the test by Julius B. Maller, Attitudes of Jewish Students, with the permission of the publishers, the Department of Synagogue and School Extension of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations. These seventy-five questions, when scored according to the key furnished by Maller, yield a general adjustment score and

a Jewish adjustment score. One point is to be added for every question not answered according to the key, and the higher the score the higher the maladjustment. Questions 4, 8, 16, 25, 38, 39, 46, 54, 55, 60, 61, 65, 69, 72, and 74 constitute a separate Jewish adjustment test which, although it is added into the general adjustment score, is separately scored to give the Jewish adjustment.

The first thirteen questions on page five were taken, with the permission of the author, from Abraham N. Franzblau's Religious Delief and Character among Jowish Adolescents. D.24. The test is to be secred by adding one point for each Yes underlined, except question two which is to be secred one point if the No is underlined. This test yields an index to the traditional religious belief of the child. Questions 14 through 17 were tabulated separately.

The questions at the bottom of page five of the test were asked in order to determined the degree of Centile contacts the child had. They were tabulated separately.

The problem presented at the top of page six was morely to evoke from the child his satisfaction or discatisfaction on being a Jew. The next two questions were to measure his attitude in comparison with that of his parents and that of his friends, but these latter two answers were not utilized in this short study.

The ten questions at the bottom of page six were asked in order to get an index to the child's revolt against conditions in the home and to determine later if there is any relationship between revelt against the authority of the parents and divergent religious opinion. The plan was to score each item marked Unfair by one point.

The test in the middle of page seven was also taken verbatim from Maller's Attitudes of Jewish Students test, and is called the Jewish Characteristics test. The scoring was as follows: all items marked zero were disregarded; all answers, both plus and minus, that were identical with those given in the key (traits favorable to the Jewish people) were counted; all answers which were the reverse of those given in the key were then added (traits unfavorable to the Jewish people); and the second score was subtracted from the first, yielding possible scores ranging from a positive thirty to a negative thirty.

The last question on page seven of the test was asked to determine the radical political sentiments of the children and to relate this to their religious beliefs.

Upon the completion of the composition of the test it was taken to Dr. Douglas E. Scates of the Cincinnati Board of Education who read through the test and offered his suggestions for certain changes. Upon his recommendation permission was given by Dr. Edward D. Roberts, Superintendent of the Cincinnati Public Schools, to give the test to all the Jewish children in the two upper grades of the high schools of Cincinnati.

Final arrangements for the administration were made with each individual high school principal, but the procedure was

always the same. On the day that the test was to be given general announcements were made in the home rooms at the beginning of the school day that at a specified hour all Jewish juniors and seniors were to go to a certain room in the building. In the schools where there was a large Jewish attendance the students were asked to report to the specified room during their study hall period. In those instances the author administered the test throughout the day. When large groups of students were expected the author had one and sometimes two assistants to help him administer the test and answer questions.

paper had a blank three by five filing eard attached to it with a paper olip. These cards -- unknown, of course, to the students -- were keyed to the papers to which they were attached. Two pencil dots appeared on the back of each card, and two ink dots appeared on the blank page at the end of the test booklet. These faint marks aroused no suspicion and elicited no comment from any of the students who took the test.

The students were first asked to write their names, their home address; their father's name, and their telephone numbers on the eard. Then they were asked to detach them from the papers and hand them in. The purpose of collecting these names, they were told, was in order to know who took the test in case, at any future date, more information might be needed. After the cards had been collected they were explained the nature and purpose of the test -- although it is stated on the preliminary

page -- and exhorted to answer the questions as honestly as possible. It was explained that the personal questions on the first page were asked only in order to determine the general economic status of their homes and to see what relationship this had to their religious attitudes. The children seemed very interested in taking the test, and, from the nature of the questions asked about various phases of the test, they tried to answer the questions honestly. Some did not hesitate to expatiate further on various items in the questionnairs by writing on the blank sides of the paper.

Inasmuch as the Cincipacti Public Schools make absolutely no record of the religious affiliations of their students, it was difficult to determine whether or not all of the Jowish junior and senior students in the high schools in the city vere reached. The You Kippur absence list gave a general indication of the Jowish students in each school, but there was no way of determining how many Contiles were also absent on that day. No doubt the enthor lost some few students who happened to be ebsent from aghool on the day that the test was administered. It is also possible that some students had no free study poriod that day -- in those schools in which they were not specially excused by the principal -- so that they could report to take the test. In every case the author always asked the students if they know of eny of their Jewish friends who did not went to come and take the test. Only at one school aid the students report that some of their friends did not come to take the

test. In comparison with the total number, no doubt these few are negligible, and it is the author's impression that more than ninety per cent of the eligible students in the high schools did take the test.

It is interesting to note that the bulk of the Jewish high school students attend the scademic schools. The Automotive High School had but three Jewish students (one was absent). The Retail Schling High School had nine Jewish Juniors and seniors. The Commercial High School had twelve; the Printing High School had one Jewish student; the Mechanical High School, the Building High School, and the Tailoring High School had no Jewish students at all. And the Electrical High School had one Jewish student (he was unable to take the test). The remaining bulk of students came from the four academic high schools in the city.

The Cincinnati school officials were also gracious enough to open to me their records so that I was able to obtain the intelligence quotient of nearly every student. These intelligence test scores were copied from the school records right on the cards the were filled out by the students before taking the test. In some instances the high schools did not have an intelligence record of certain students. By permission of Mr. Grant of the psychological laboratory I was given access to the downtown files where I was able to obtain most of the remaining intelligence scores. There were sixteen cases where students had come from out of town and their intelligence records had not been sent along with their credits. In these instances I was unable to obtain any intelligence score.

After the administration of the tests the author, by means of the key, numbered each of the eards now containing the student's name, his address, his parent's name, and his intelligence quotient. Then, by means of the key, each of the papers was numbered. By means of the corresponding numbers the cards were put with the papers to which they were keyed, and, after they were checked by means of the corresponding sox, handwriting, and pencil or color of ink used, the intelligence scores were copied from the cards on to the papers.

The papers were then scored by the author in accordance with the methods explained above, and were checked by himself. The Comeral results were tabulated with the help of one, and cometimes two, assistants. Every possible means of checking for possible errors in tabulation was utilized.

A final decision was made as to which scores on the papers were to be utilized for this study. The scores on each paper were transcribed on long sheets of which each horizontal column contained all the necessary information from each paper. The papers were listed according to the numbers given them by the keying system — the names of the students were obtained on the keyed cards only in order to learn their intelligence quetient. Each columnar sheet contained the results of fifty papers. The transcribing of the information was done as follows: the author read the scores of each paper to an assistant who recorded them on the sheets. After all the papers had been recorded the assistant read back all the

scores which he had recorded on the sheets and the author checked then with each paper to insure against any error.

In proparing the charts for the relationships between one factor and another the columnar chects were so folded that one factor to be studied was placed parallel to the other, and the assistant read off the two scores to the author who recorded them on a chart and then repeated aloud his notation in order to check it with his assistant.

Most of the additions on the charts were done on an adding machine, and those that were not were checked a second time. The divisions were done with the aid of a slide rule, but in every case they were checked by the long hand method. The author is well aware of the ease with which arithmetical errors are made, and therefore he was exceedingly careful in all his mathematics.

After a lapse of nearly six months the test was given a second time to some of the students and the retest reliability was found to be

## THE MATURE OF THE GROUP STUDIED

of the 355 students who took the test 569 were girls and 440 were boys. 570 were juniors in high school and 450 were seniors.

The ages ren as follows:

7.90 were fifteen years old

36.5 were sixteen yours

41.7% were seventeen

10.45 were eighteen

2.0 were either under 15 or over eighteen Their Jewich effiliations were as follows:

RR. 80 were of unaffiliated parents

40.00 were of Orthodox parents

11.25 were of Concervative parents

18.0% were of Refers perents

As a whole the group was a highly intelligent one. The Otis Intelligence Test, which is the one used by the Cincinnati Public Schools, used the percentile rank grading system of O to 100, with the mean between 50 and 55. According to this P.R. (percentile rank) Grading, the following was the intelligence distribution of 339 children:

Nolow P.R. 45 -- 130

T.R. 45--64 -- 20p

F.R. 65-06 - 287

P.R. 85--99 -- 895

I was unable to get the P.R. of 16 children.

The diagram on the next page shows the relative economic status of the hemo from which the Orthodox, the Conservative, the Reform, and the unaffiliated children come from Note how the bulk of the Orthodox children come from homes that are lower than average in financial status. The Conservatives have the largest group of average financial status, and the Reform group has the largest better than average status. The children of the unaffiliated parents come from the average, and lower than average financial status homes. Note how the better then average group of the unaffiliated compares with that of the Orthodox, Conservative, and the Reform. The following chart gives the same information in percentages.

### SYMACOGUE APPILIATION OF PARENTS AND THUR ECONOMIC STATUS

	Lover ther Linematel		Averege	Better than average finencial status
Orthodox	Ga	05	30.17	0.5%
Connervative .	,	# <b>9</b> %	30.6%	20.8%
noform	84	b. 2%	<b>32.3</b> %	43.0%
Unaffilleted	6,1	.1,7	34.9%	10.94

By measuring the ceremonial observance in the homes of the children by the eighteen points given in the test, we find the following ceremonial distribution:

O points -- W

<sup>2</sup> point to 5 -- 175

O points to 11-- 35%

le points to lo-- 44%

C. William C.	and the second	,	T			**[]		023 <b>kers-la</b> 01		North Series	سلمك	7 <del></del> 7	~~~	- Prome Primer:	<u> </u>		P		apan paring	Tarandi Tarandi	escento		SENT 1		7 1 7 1	W.		- Car	9	- Janes
							山	廿		廿	#					+					#	甘圖	75   74		上國	्रा हा				11
		+-	╂┼┼				+-	-  -	+		<del> - -</del>					+			+++	4	++		6					-4		++
					11												Щ.	二												
- -		++				+- -		+-}-	+	├-├-	<del> - -</del>		- -			++	$\vdash$	- -				1					<del>  -</del>			-  -
											口					11														11
<del> </del>		┼┼╌					-{	+-{-			+		- -			++						1 139	(3 <u>8</u> - 1		14	#-	<del>                                     </del>	- 194		
							-									11		1	111				9							ፗ
1-1-1		1-1-		┟╾┟╴		-  -	++		┼┼							1+	1-1-				-}-+-	1-14	<u> </u>		1 #4	-		- 145	¥ -	
	77												- -			11			1-1-							3				
<del> - - -</del>	-	<del> - -</del>			++	++-	++	++	++-	-	<del> - -</del>					+			╁┼┼				1		1 1	<del>H-</del>	1-1-		H	
									1_												11		3							
					<u> </u>	<del> </del>	+-	<del>      -</del>	++-	忙	-					† <del>-</del> †-	-		+++					++-	$\Box$	-				+-
							-	1.	1				_ _													7				11
		<del> </del>			++	1	十十		+				-			<b>;</b> ;;					++				+	7-				+
	7-[-				11	Γ.	1.1.	Ţ.ļ.			- -		-		-1-1-			 			TI.			#!-						
				77									-					1	†††		计上			<del>-  -  -</del>						++-
	+						H						- -			- - -		_	- -		H									H
					11					士									i jii.		+1-				计销				8	-
- <del> -</del>  -	+-	H-	- - - <u> </u> -	- -	- - -	-	+-				$\vdash \downarrow \downarrow$		-{-		- - -	- -	- -		- -T-					- - -		*   _		-		17
				6.3					Same of the St.																					LL
		- -	- - -	-  -	++-						$\left  - \right  = \left  \cdot \right $		-[-]	- -		1-1-			- -		4-1-	-		- - -		1				$+ \Gamma$
	11:	口口		士士		11	11								廿	廿					士					上			肚	辻
	- - -				- - -		<del> - -</del>	+-}-		ļļ												-				-		- 1		
二二	11			11	11	11												1			11					1			仁	广
-+-	+	- -	Signas	+	++-					- -	$\left  \cdot \right  \cdot \left  \cdot \right $	+-	- -	+-		-	[						1						#- -	-
											ya							-												
			1	-+-	╢┼								$\dashv \dashv$			++-								┼┼		<del> </del>  -				╁╌├╌
						口上									11			丁												ÌΙ
			1.2		++-	<del></del>	-	╁┼	+++				- -								+-		1							+
_[].			<b>%</b>				II.	II.																						
	-		- S		++-	<del> - -</del>	<del>    -   -</del>									++-				(A)	+++			++-						
			5		11		F			_ _																	4-1	1417		
			CONTO		士士	-	-	+	-					<b>\</b>					1 1 1 1	110									1	
	44-	[					- -						1		- - -		- -	-  -			1-1-1						- -	€ 0.5 1		
			5	士上																								1		
	+		Spiros					- -	Siate			-+-		7														14	1-1-	-
	十十		773		士仁								11																1	
	1-1-1		3		+-}-		<u>-</u> -	├┼	(V				1.	2	- - -		}				++1		4	+-				100		1
	11								Ų															111						
			0		+-}-		ļ. <del> </del> -	- -				++					- -	- -			++1	-	4	+				111	-	
			É						ecenor.					0 (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0															Li	
-+-{-							- -		(C)		- -+	$\dashv \dashv$		9	++	<del>  </del>				2 1	<del></del> -	160	4				-{-}			<del> </del>
		Щ	14	11					92		11			Q)																
			- '-	++	<del> - </del>				Ų,								++		+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	-	++1	1		+	-					
- - -		أبارا	S.					3			1		]_								<b>       </b>						71		[-]-	
1			3 P. Filiation OF Pavents		-				U	3				INON AUCKSON	10 m	42.0					世目			1-1-1						
44	$+\Box$		( ) I	*	-		-	- -	04	47		- - -	-	34	+7-		- -	- -	-	1	$+\Box$	-	41	4-1-1		4-	- -	1334	F	
士士		11	- Can		士				90	廿		11		3	廿												1			
				-					Q)	,_		4-1	-	0						13								14,		
	辻		3		士士				7			士士	1-											<u> </u>						
-}-}-					-				٠, ١	- -					++-	<del>  </del>	- -	- -			<del> -</del>  -	-	4-1-	+- -		4-1		-145	+	- -
									**																					
+-	+++		Trans.		+-	$\vdash$			40	-		)   -	-	-	+- -	님님		- -		100			4	+++		+	- - -	1311	1	- -
11.			الم			ΙŢΪ			1		4	1			岸		1													
	+-	+-	10			- -		- -	y	++	1	0	1			- -	+	- -			┼┼┤	130		+		$\dashv$	++	1271		
			Q	ŢĹ					LOWERTHRY SUPY SYC		7	<b>%</b>	7	-					17.	MÀ.		14	1							
	+ $+$	- -	-	-	+-		-+-		0	-	- 2	3	-	4	+		++		-65		++	TIL	#+	┼┼┤	-84		-++			- -
- -	口口		1	الب						11	1		C	2	77-	$\Box$	11			111	2	14		井井			11		Ш	
<del>   </del> -		$\dashv$	Ö	1				1	1		ASIG II	3							- at		1			1-1-1		1-1	-	733 1355		
ŢĻ	1-1	1		Ĺ									17					11	X		1.0				, 🕍		10			
1 i				- - -	1- -	-	:+			╅┪			- 1	##	+	╁┼┤	+	-	-13	- 1	املا	152		<del>                                     </del>		1-1-	- 3	++		
'l-i-	1-1-1														and a second	Committee and	and the latest and the												r	j
LE			S		1.		- -	1					- 34		-	- -	44	44	2		- N			1 1 2		1 -	_Ç		[	
		++	3			+		- 10 10		-							+	$\downarrow$	1		S									
			Syndoorne												- <del>  </del>				Orthodox parents		Conservative			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1613		Ketrill Stewn			

52 children, or 9% of the group studied received no form of Jewish education at all. 36, or 24%, received private or daily Rebrew school instruction; 155 children, or 43% attended Sunday schools; and 63, or 84%, received varying degrees of instruction both in daily Rebrew schools and in Sunday schools.

### THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY

Many of the individual points of the study are very interesting in themselves, and they are now presented in the order in which they appear in the test questionnaire.

DO YOU LIKE THE JESTAL SABBATH CERMONIES?

TES - 569 ABC- THRIFTERIUM NO - 92

DO YOU LIKE THE JEHLSH HOLIDAYS SUCH AS CHABUKAH, IUNIE,

Indifferent - 106 NO - 66

DO YOU LIKE TO ATTEMD RELIGIOUS BENVIOUS?

YES - 46% INDEFERENCE - 36% NO - 20%

DO YOU LIKE GOING TO RELIGIOUS SCHOOL?

APS - Say APS - Transportations APS - OR

From this it can be clearly seen that very few children dislike Jewish ceremonies, and that most children like the holiday ceremonies. It is important to note that the tendency shifts strongly with regard to attending religious services, and that only one-fourth of the children like to go to Sunday school.

HOW HAPPY A PERSON DO YOU RECARD YOURSELF AS COMPARED WITH MOST PROPLE ABOUT YOU?

86.7% - Vory happy 55.5% - Reletively happy 27.5% - Avorago 1.7% - Relatively unbappy .6% - Vory unbappy

Apparently our Jewish adolescents judge themselves to be comparatively happy little people.

AS YOU CONTEMPLATE YOUR PUTURE LIFE AND YOUR CAREER DO YOU THINK THE FACT THAT YOU ARE A JEWI

1. WILL MAKE THINGS EASIER FOR YOU? - 750 2. WILL MAKE THINGS HARDER FOR YOU? - 31,60 3. WILL MOT WAKE MUCH DIFFERENCE? -- 59,60 Unansword - 2,60

The disturbing thing about the answers to this question is that such a small proportion of the children are aware of the fact that they can expect manifestations of anti-Semitism when they enter college and the economic world. The shock that will come to most of them when they are personally confronted by anti-Semitism for the first time may do very much in changing their attitude toward their being Jews. One wonders how well fortified they will be by their Jewish education.

The everage general adjustment score out of 75 questions was 26.75. The highest maladjustment score was 56; the lowest, 6. The average jowish adjustment score was 4.72 out of 15 questions. The highest jowish maladjustment score was 13; three students had the lowest score of 0. The average religious belief score was 3.1 out of 15 questions.

DO YOU THINK THE THINGS SCIENCE THACHES COMPLICT WITH THE THINGS JUDAISM TRACHES US?

TES - 40% NO - 47% UMANSWERED - 6%

Apparently half of our children believe that there is a conflict between science and religion. This point of view, of course, is unnecessary and orroneous, and now emphasis in our religious schools ought to be placed on this point.

PO YOU THINK RELIGION HELPS ONE BECOME MORE HOWEST, MORE MORAL, AND MORE DECENT?

ves - gap 170 - 159 Umanscened - 19

IF A LAW WERE PASSED TO DESTROY ALL RELIGIOUS, WOULD THAT BE A STRP BACKWARD ON FORWARD?

> BACKWARD - 76% FORWARD - 19% UNANSWERED - 2%

Whother they understand the meaning of religion or not, apparently most of the students believe that religion still have some value today. The second question, as stated, as it appeared on the test, is slightly embiguous inasmuch as to destroy all religions might imply the making of one universal religion. A number of the students while taking the test asked about this point. This might account for the 4p increase among those who thought that to destroy all religions would be a step forward.

DO YOU THINK JUDATEM HAS AWYTHING REALTY WORTH WHILE TO CONTRIBUTE TO OUR MODERN CIVILIZATION?

YES - 07/2 NO - 7/2 Unangwered - 6/2

If this question proves nothing else, at least it shows that the adolescents have a strong Jewish pride.

HOW MARY OF YOUR CLOSE FRIENDS ARE GENTILES?

ALT: -MANY - 376 I'm - 530 MONE -

DO YOU GO TO PARTIES AND DANGES GIVEN BY PEOPLE WIO ARE NOT JEWISHY

WELL OLUMN \*\* 0.60 9.5% QUITE OFTEN -GOMERIMES - 20.500 RARMLY - 36.00 Minaria - 17.69

DO YOU "DATE" WITH PROPLE WHO ARE NOT JEWISH?

VERY OFTEN -59 70 CHIEF OFFIN -SOMETIMES - 80% MARKEY - 100 METER - 50%

The above three questions give the degree of dentile contacts that these children have. Of course, this is only on indication, but the distributions are very much what one would expect. The 50% of those who do not "date" with people who are not Jewish also includes these high school children who do not "date" at all.

The answers to the problem of being born all over again as presented on page six of the test are as follows:

48% - WITHOUT HESITATION CHOOSE TO BE BORN AS A JEW 39% - HESITATE, BUT PROPADIX IN THE END CHOOSE TO BE BORN A JEW 10% - HESITATE, BUT PROBABLY IN THE END CHOOSE TO BE DORM

26 - VITHOUT HESITATION CHOOSE TO BE BORN A NON-JEW

Only two children out of the 355 ald not enswer this question.

the answers given to the ten questions on the lower half of page six of the test are very significant. 70% of the children underscored all the Faire in the ten questions. 16% underscored only one item as Unfair; 7% underscored only two items; and 7% underscored more than two items. This certainly indicates that the adolescents who took this test, as a whole, thought that their parents were very fair to them in their treatment of them as children. Apparently among our upper class high school Jewish students of Cincinnati there is no revolt against conditions in the home.

JUDGING FROM YOUR PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH NOW-JEWS UP TO THE PRESENT TIME IN YOUR LIFE, WHAT IS THE ATTITUDE OF THE MON-JEWISH WORLD TOWARDS XOU?

85% - VERY FRIENDLY 85% - MORE FRIENDLY THAN UNFRIENDLY 85% - ABOUT FIFTY-FIFTY (INDIFFRENT) 6.5% - MORE UNFRIENDLY THAN FRIENDLY 8.6% - VERY UNFRIENDLY

Due credit ought to be given the high schools of Cincinnati for the above distribution of everages. Judging from the author's personal experience with school officials and high school principals, great effort is made that there should be no manifestations of prejudice of any sort.

In the Jewish Characteristics test, when scored according to Maller, 37% of the children had a score between plus six and plus nineteen; 42% had a score of zero to plus five; and 21% had a score of minus one to minus fourteen. A plus score means that the items checked which were favorable in their opinion of Jews outweighed those items which were unfavorable. A minus score implies that the unfavorable items were in the

majority. The moon score on the scale of plus 15 to minus 15 was plus 4.29.

WITH WITCH OF THE FOLLOWING ECONOMIC VIEWPOINTS ARE YOU MOST IN EXHIBATIVY

20% - THE TRADITIONAL TYPE OF CAPITALISM

50% - "WEN DEAL" TYPE OF MODIFIED CAPITALISM

110 - COULTES

116 - TIMATISWETTED

This question was included in order to determine how many of the students were radical politically, and to relate political radicalism with religious belief. From these enswers, however, it must be assumed that few adolescents are radicals politically, and we can draw no further conclusions.

SYNAGOGUE AFFILIATION OF PARENTS AND RELIGIOUS DELINE OF CHILDREN

Orthodox	VAC	nego koligiou 18.80	3 Bollor
Comporvative		0.60	• • •
Reform	1	8.38	
Dotalizanu		7.17	•

SYNAGOGUE AFFILIATION OF PARENTS AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN

Crthodox	Jawleli	Cherectorictico 5.85
Conservative		5.49
Rosorm		4.59
Une££111eted		5.64

SYNAGOGUE AFFITTATION OF PARENTS AND JUNIOR ADJUSTMENT OF CHILDREN

Avorago Jowish maladjustment score

Orthodox 4.68

Conservative 4.87

Reform 5.01

Unoffilleted 4.77

SYMAGOGUE AFTILIATION OF PARENTS AND GENERAL ADJUSTMENT OF

Participal value of the state o

Averago general maladjustment score

Orthodox

26.76

Conservative

26.90

Reform

26.06

Uncifiliated

27.66

SYMAGOGUE AFFILIATION OF PANEHRA BUD CHILDREN STATES

White of the way of the world with t	g gus	roo Too	Iĭo	Indl#Corent
Orthodox	93	25.5	35.8	61.0
Conservative	ø	00.0	29.V	86.2
Reform	, ja	89.0	42.7	27,9
Unaffillated	Ç8	15.4	61.8	33.3

SYNAGOGUE APPILIATION OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN'S DATES WITH NOW-THE

17021 <b>- J</b> 2275		Pri Dilla more	Sometimes	Haroly or never
Orthodox	jū	02ton 10.0	16.7	Raroly or nover
Conservative	P	0.1	10.0	01.0
Reform	75	10.0	87.4	55.6
Unafflllated	<i>i</i> )	18.0	88.0	63.5

On the basis of parental affiliation, there is no significant difference between the children, as shown by the above elx charte. It is worth noting, however, that both in religious belief and in Jewish characteristics -- in racial attitude toward the Jew -- the children of the unaffiliated are somewhat lower. Note the corresponding rise and fall in Jowish bollof and Jewish characteristics. The Conservative children rank highest, the Roform second, the Orthodox third, and the Uneffiliated last. Although again there is no significant difference in the Jewish or general acladjustments. yet it is interesting to see the Reform children elightly more maladjusted Jewishly and elightly better adjusted generally. It is important that the Reform children more readily express their dislike for religious school than do the Conservative and Orthodox children. As one might expect, the Reform children date most with Gentiles; the Uneffiliated children rank second.

SEX AND RELIGIOUS BELIEF

Averege belief moore

Hoya

7.69

Girle

0.46

SEX AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS

Average Jewish characteristics

Doys

4.08

Girls

4.32

SEX AND LIKING RELIGIOUS ECHOOL

 Boys
 Yes
 Indifferent
 No

 28.1
 36.6
 41.1

 Girls
 5
 26.4
 34.4
 40.0

5	ex and	TIKING	RELIGIO			
В	oya		96	Yes 41.0	No 21.9	Indifferent 37.0
0	irle		<i>p</i>	49.4	18.1	32.4
	dyr Dyr	LIKING	JEWISH 1	TOLIDAYS Yes 72.0	(CEREMONIES) No. 5.02	Indifferent
G.	Lrls		<b>7</b> %	78.8	4.34	17.4
	OX AND	LIKING	SADBATTI 15	CEREMONIE Yos 51.4	76 No 11.6	Indifferent 87.0
(F)	Lrls		<b>50</b>	59.9	7.7	38.4
	UNA AND	JEWI SII.	ADJU SUM		owish maladju 4.57	istment
G:	Lr10				4.87	
	ye av		o non-	JIMS Often 14.8	Sometimes 28.9	Rarely or nover
G1	rlo		<b>f</b> ô	10.4	11.0	78.6

In all the items marking attachment to things Jewish the girls are slightly better than the boys, this is especially noticeable with liking religious services, the Jewish holidays and the Sabbath ceremonies. Inesmuch as girls are more introverted than boys, and, inasmuch as Jewish maladjustment means sensitiveness to one's Jewishness, one would expect the difference to be greater than it is between boys and girls with respect to Jewish adjustment. It is not surprising to find that boys more often than girls date with Centiles.

ECONOMIC STATUS OF THE HOME AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS Jowish characteristics

Lower than average 4.32

Average economic status 3.96

Better then overage 4.88

ECOHOMIC STATUS OF THE HOME AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT Jowish adjustment

Lower than average 4.50

Average economic status 5.03

Botter than average 4.80

ECONOMIC STATUS OF THE HOME AND CHOICE OF BEING BORN A JEW OVER AGAIN

Mechania etatue Lower Average Better Without hesitation choose to be born Jewish 50% 52% 45% Hesitate, but choose to be born Jewish 40% 48% 28% Choose to be bern as a Christian 10% 12% 20%

Although there is no significant difference in Jewish characteristics and Jewish adjustment between children who come from homes of different economic status, nevertheless, the third chart on this page is interesting. In the lower economic class 90% would choose to be born Jewish again, in the average class it is 86%, and in the better-then-average class it is 80%. Note, too, the drop in the better-than-average class as to hesitancy in being born Jewish. Apparently, with wealth comes greater satisfaction or dissatisfaction on one's being a Jew.

INDITIONAL VAD TIKINA	JEWISH	HOLIDAYS	No	Indlfferent
Intelligence below 55	96	Yes 04.5	3.1	18.6
55 to 64	94	77.6	4.9	17.5
Above 84	90	67.0	7.0	26.0
INTELLIGENCE AND LIKING	Sabbati		Mar.	The second of all of the second as an its
Intelligence below 55	<b>%</b>	700 69.0	## 0	Indifferent 27.7
55 to 84	<b>%</b>	50.5	18.0	20.5
Above 84	9	48.0	18.0	46.0
INTELLIGENCE ADD LIKING	neligi	wa semio	II S	
Intelligence below 55	<b>7</b>	ST.O	No 12.0	Indlfferent 37.0
55 to 84	P	47.0	20.0	33.0
Above 84	75	40.8	25.0	34.0
INTELLIGENCE AND LIKING	RELIGIC	Alteriore		1001 JV, of M M.
Intelligence below 50	yō	37.0 Xos	No 31.0	Indlfferent 52.0
55 to 84	<b>5</b> 6	80.0	83.0	87.0
Above 84	36	80.6	02.8	27.0
INTELLIGENCE AND RELIGIO	vis bu <sub>i</sub> i		nvo. Stá de de	di wa a da
Dullest intelligence (5-	44)			ous bolief .74
Lower middle (45-6	4)		8	.88
Upper middle (65-8	4)		7	.96
Brightest (84-9	9)		7	. 22

From the above five tables it can be clearly seen that the more intelligent the children, the more difficult it is to please them. The Jowish holidays are liked most by the intelligent children; the religious schools are liked least. The intelligent children tend, also, to be more skeptical in

their religious belief.

# INTELLIGENCE AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT

Average Intelligence

Dest adjusted 74.7

Average Jewish adjustment 70.0

Poorest Jowish silustment 71.25

#### INTELLIGENCE AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS

Average Jewish characteristics

Dullest intelligence 4.85

Lower middle 4.05

Upper middle 3.93

Brightest children 4.32

Although there is no relationship between intelligence and Jewish adjustment or Jewish characteristics, nevertheless it is worth noting that the best adjusted are slightly more intelligent.

## JEWISH EDUCATION AND JEWISH MALADJUSTMENT

No religious education	Average Jewish 4.27	meladjustment
Poor Sunday school education	4.02	
Fair Sunday school education	4.63	
Good Sunday school education	8.8	

Poor Hebrew school or private education 0.4

Fair Hebrew school or private education 4.4

Good Rebrew school or private education 4.66

There seems to be no significant differences in the above table, although just why the everages shift as they do seems puzzling, and, no doubt, require further study. Notice how

those who have had a good Sunday school education are, on the average, just as sensitive to their Jewishness as those who have had a poor private or Hebrew school education.

JEWISH EDUCATION AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS

No J	ewish e	aucatlo:	7 <u>8</u>	Ayerage	Jewish 1.90	characteristics
Poor	Sunday	sohool	education		6.48	
Valr	Suidey	conton	aducation		0.78	
Good	Sunday	sonool	education		4.98	
Poor	Hobrew	school	or private	oducation	4.77	
rair	Hebrew	school	or private	education	8.95	en e
Good	Hebrew	sonool	or private	education	2.31	

Here too the averages vary peculiarly, and further study seems necessary. One wonders if it is more chance that again there is close relationship between Jewish characteristics and those who have had a good Sunday school education and a poor Hebrew school or private education.

No education AND CHOICE OF No education	Ohoose Ohoose 88		AGAIN Choose Centile 24
Poor Sunday school education	<i>\$</i> 47	46	7
Fair Sunday school equestion	% 41	46	13
Good Sunday school education	p 54	23	23
Poor Hob. school or priv. ed.	<i>1</i> 5 60	34	6
Fair Neb. school or priv. ed.	p 56	87	7
Good Heb. school or priv. ed.	p 64	27	·: 9

Here likewise, one cannot draw any conclusions from the facts studied so far and presented in the above table.

GENERAL ADJUSTMENT AND RELIGIOUS BELIEF

Average religious belief

Dest general adjustment

0.00

treaterthe Lerency exercit

0.00

Poorest general adjustment

0.20

Apparently there is no relationship between one's personal adjustment and one's religious belief.

OENERAL ADJUSTMENT AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS

Avorage Jewish characteristics

Best general adjustment

6.61

Avorage general adjustment

0.670

Poorest general adjustment

2.05

GENERAL ADJUSTMENT AND CHOICE OF BEING BORN A JEW OVER AGAIN

Average maladjustment score

Without hesitation choose to be a Jow 25.75

Hesitate, but choose to be a Jew

27.90

Choose to be bern a Centile

20.25

FRIEDLINESS OF NON-JEWISH WORLD AND GENERAL ADJUSTMENT Friendliness of non-Jewish world general maladjustment

Vory friendly then unfriendly About fifty-fifty More unfriendly then friendly

20.96 24.96

20.25

Judging from the above three tables it is the more malcdjusted children who find little that is admirable in the characteristics of the Jew, who would rather be born as Gentiles, and who feel that the non-Jewish world is unfriendly to them.

GENERAL ADJUSTMENT AND QUESTION 33 (Was your Jowishmess the cause of any unpleasant experiences during your childhood?)

Yos

general maladjustment

. .

24.75

No

The difference here is very merked and important in splits of the fact that one might question whether it is those who had the unpleasant experiences who are more maladjusted, or whether it is the more maladjusted students who remembered the unpleasant experiences.

When scored according to Haller's key, the general maladjustment score adjustment score includes the Jovish maladjustment score. Therefore, in order to construct the following table, the Jewish maladjustment scores were subtracted from the general maladjustment scores and the comparison was made with the remaining non-Jowish maladjustment items.

MODIFIED GENERAL ADJUSTMENT AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT
General maledjustment
Lost Jewish adjustment
Average Jewish adjustment
22.27

Poorest Jewish adjustment 27.55

There seems to be a definite relationship between

general maladjustment and Jowish maladjustment.

RELIGIOUS DELIEF AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT
Average Jewish meladjustment
4.2
Medium religious belief
4.75
High religious belief
4.94

One's sensitiveness to being Jewish apparently has no relation to one's religious belief.

HOME CEREMONIES AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT

Average Jewish maladjustment
4.92

Fair degree of home deremonies

High degree of home deremonies

4.51

Here too there seems to be no significant relationship.

JENISH ADJUSTNENT AND JENISH CHARACTERISTICS

Average Jewish characteristics

Best Jewish adjustment

4.74

Average Jewich edjustment

4.00

Poorest Jewish adjustment

2.61

As was found in comparing Jewish characteristics and general adjustment, the poorest adjusted children -- here from the point of view of their Jewish sensitiveness -- have the lowest everage in their opinion of Jews.

JEWISH ADJUSTMENT AND CHOICE OF BEING BORN A JEW

Average Jewish malaGjustment
Without hesitation choose to be a Jew 4.28

Healtate, but choose to be a Jew 4.08

Choose to be a non-Jew 5.43

Here, too, the pattern follows that of general adjustment and choice of boing born a Jews those who are nost maladjusted tend to desire to be born esnon-Jews.

FRIENDLINESS OF NON-JEWISH WORLD AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT Friendliness of non-Jewish world Average Jewish maladjustment

Very friendly 4.43
Nore friendly than unfriendly 4.42
About fifty-fifty 5.05
More friendly than unfriendly 6.30

Once more, as in general adjustment, those who are more sensitive of their Jevishness find more unfriendliness in the non-Jewish world.

JEWISH MALADJUSTMENT AND QUESTION 33 (Was your Jewishness the cause of any unpleasant experiences during your childhood?)

Jewish maladjustment

You

6.09

No

3.94

This follows the parallel relationship between general adjustment and question SS.

CONTEMPLATION OF FUTURE LIFE AND JEWISH ADJUSTMENT

(AS you contemplate your future life
and your career do you think the
fact that you are a Jewi) Average Jewish maladjustment

Will make things easier 3.76
Will make no difference 4.19
Will make thing harder 5.58

JEWISH ADJUSTMENT AND DATES WITH MON-JEWS
Dates with Contiles Jewish meladjustment
4.65

Sometimes 4.78

Revely or never 4.64

JEWISH ADJUSTMENT AND CLOSE CENTILE FRIENDS
Jowish meledjustment
4.75

Few Centile friends
4.55

In the first chart above it is interesting to note that the children who think their Jewishness will make things harder for them are elightly more consitive to their Jewishness than the others. Otherwise the differences in these three charts are not great enough for us to draw any eignificant conclusions.

Hositate, but choose to be born a Jew
Loss
Choose to be born a non-Jew
Choose to be born a 7.08

HOME CEREMONIAL OBSERVANCE AND		Yes Yes	DUS SOMOOK Indifferent	No	
Little ceremonial observance	53	<b>L</b> O	24	66	
Fair coremonial observance	90	<b>BO</b> , ,	35	46	
Nich coronanial observance	<i>9</i>	31	41	28	
HOME GEREMONIAL OBSERVANCE AND		neligi Yos	eadimic suc	No	
Little oeremonial observance	<b>Ş</b> Ş	87	20	35	
Fair ceremonial observance	90	40	37	23	
High ceremonial observance	<b>%</b>	53	26	1.1	
HOME CREEMONIAL ORDERVANCE AND		eraddae Yog	I CEREMONIES	No	
Little ceromonial observance	ph.	*87	40	20	
Fair coremonial observunce	y»	6)2	43	77	
Migh ceremonial observance	***	60	28	6	
High ceremonial observance and	LIKING	Jewi sh	HOLIDAYS		
•	LIKING			70 13	
HOME CEREMONIAL OBSERVANCE AND	LIKING	JEWISH	HOLIDAYS Indliferent	No	
HOME CEREMONIAL ODSERVANCE AND Little Geremonial observance	likimo 95	JEWISH Yea 49	HOLIDAYS Indliferent 30	No 13	
HOME CEREMONIAL OBSERVANCE AND Little deremonial observance Fair deremonial observance	LIKING	Jewish You 49 77 86	HOLIDAYS INGLIFEPONT 30 10 12	No 13 4	<b>^8</b>
HOME GEREMONIAL ODSERVANCE AND Little ceremonial observance Fair ceremonial observance High coremonial observance	LIKING	Jewish You 49 77 86	HOLIDAYS Indlicent 30 10	No 13 4	06
HOME CEREMONIAL OBSERVANCE AND Little Geremonial observance High Gordmonial observance HOME CEREMONIAL OBSERVANCE AND	LIKING	Jewish You 49 77 86	HOLIDAYS INd1fferent 20 19 12 ERISTIUS Jowish charac	No 13 4	08

The correlation of home ceremonial observance and religious bollof was .204  $\pm$  .035.

Those six tables show very electly that the more there is home ceremonial observance, the greater is the attachment

to things Jewish. In homes where there is high coremonial observence there is a higher opinion of the Jews and an apparent satisfaction on being born a Jew. Likewise there is a clear tendency to like the Jewish coremonies in homes where coremonies are observed. There is even a slight tendency toward the liking of religious services and religious school among children who come from homes of high coremonial observence. Likewise, there is a small, but definite correlation between home coremonial observence and religious belief.

FRIMMINESS OF THE NON-JERISH WORLD AND	JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS
Friendliness of non-Jewich world	Jowish characteristics
Very friendly	4.47
liore friendly than un-friendly	4.74
About flity-flfty	3.88
liore unfriendly then friendly	4.5

Apparently there is no relationship between one's attitude toward the friendliness of the non-Jewish world and one's opinion of choracteristics of the Jows.

CLOSE GENTILE FRIENDS AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS
Close Gentile friends Jewish characteristics
Many 3.8

Few 4.D

DATES WITH NOW-JEWS AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS
Dates with non-Jews
Often Jewish characteristics

Sometimes 3.99

Rerely or never 4.5

These differences are not very great but the tondency seems to be there -- for those who have many Centile friends and who date often with Centiles to think less of the Jews.

This, however, requires further analyzing of the items

included in the Jewish characteristics test.

RELIGIOUS DELIEF AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS

Average Jewish characteristics

Low rollgious belief 2.37

Kedium religious belief 3.67

High religious bollef 5.73

There seems to be a definite relationship between those who have a high religious belief and those who have a high characteristics opinion of the Jews.

CONTEMPLATION OF FUTURE LIFE AND JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS
The fact that one is a Jow
will make things:

Eagler

5.75

Rarder . 3.93

Not much difference 3.99

It is not surprising to find that those children who think that because they are Jewish their future life will be easier for them, should also have a notably higher opinion of Jewish characteristics.

JEWISH CHARACTERISTICS AND CHOICE ON DRING BORN A JEW
Jewish characteristics
Without heoitation choose to be born a Jew
Besitate, but choose to be born a Jew
3.90
Choose to be born a non-Jew
2.10

There results, too, are as one would expect. Those who have a low opinion of Jews would, if they could, choose to be something else.

#### SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

There was an absence of significant relationships between:
The Jewish equestion of the children and:

their Jowish adjustment
their attitude toward the racial and social
observations of the Jow
their satisfaction with being born Jows.

Jovinh edjustment end:

home coremonies Contile friends dates with non-Jous

Relicious bellef and:

Jowish adjustment General adjustment

The bynegogue affiliation of the purents and:

roligious belief of the children
their attitude toward the characteristics
of the Jew
Jowish adjustment
general adjustment
liking roligious school

General adjustment
liking religious school
The children of the Unaffiliated parents, however, ranked
slightly lower in their religious belief, their liking
of religious school, and their attitude toward Jewish
sharestoristics.

The economic status of the parents and:

the Jewish adjustment of the children their attitude toward the characteristics of the Jew

Intelligence and:

the attitude toward the characteristics of the Jew

The following positive relationships and tendencies were found:

The girls like religious school elightly more than the boys,

they have a slightly higher religious belief and also opinion of Jowish characteristics, they like religious services, the Sabbath ceremonies, and the Jowish holidays more than do the boys. The girls are very very slightly more sensitive to their Jowishness than the boys. The boys go out more with Gentiles on dates than do the girls.

The more intelligent children are somewhat more exeptical in their religious belief. They are more difficult to please with respect to the Jewish holidays, the Sabbath coremonies, religious services, and religious school. They like the Jewish holidays most; religious school least. The best Jewishly adjusted children are slightly more intelligent.

Children of Reform parents date most with Centiles, Unaffiliated second, Orthodox rank third, and the Conservative children date least with Centiles.

Imagement as the children do not determine the coremonial observance of the home, it appears that home coremonial observance is a noticeable force in producing attachments to things Jewish. With the increase in home coremonial observance there comes the increase in liking religious school, religious services, Sabbath coremonies, and the Jewish holidays. There is a clight relationship between coremonial observance and religious belief. Those with the lowest coremonial observance had the lowest opinion of Jewish characteristics and were least satisfied in their being born as Jews.

Although there are relationships in the following items, it is difficult to decide whether or not one causes the

other, or whother they are both results of some third factor which has not been discovered. There is a definite tendency for those who ere Jewishly maledjusted to be also generally maledjusted. Those who would choose to be born again as non-Jews are more maledjusted Jewishly. Those who consider the Gentile world more friendly than unfriendly have better Jewish adjustment. Those who experienced manifestations of enti-Semitism in their childhood were more markedly maledjusted than those who did not.

Those children who had a low rectal and social opinion of the Jews were those who wished to be born as Christians, who were possest adjusted Jowishly, who had little home ceremonial observance, who had the lowest religious belief, who dated with non-Jows, and who had slightly more Centile close friends.

Those children who indicated that they wished to be born as Centiles had the lowest opinion of Jewish characteristics, had the highest Jewish and general maladjustment, and the lowest ceremonial observance in their homes.

Inserved as there is a relationship between Jewish maladjustment and general maladjustment it is not surprising to find that those with the highest general maladjustment also had the poorest opinion of Jewish characteristics, also thought that the non-Jewish world was more unfriendly than friendly, and also did experience anti-Semition during childhood.

In general, most of the adolescents judged themselves to be very happy as compared with most people. Only onethird of them realized that their Jewishness will make things berder for them in their future life and career. Three-fourths of the children dislike or are indifferent to the religious schools of the city. Slightly less than helf like to ettend religious services, and more than half like the Jewish Sabbath coremonics. Three-fourths of them like the Jewish holidays. Most children hero a fair to high religious belief. Although most children believe that religion today is worth while, yet half of them believe that there is a conflict between science and rollgion. 12% of the children date often with Centiles. and 88% sometimes or rerely date with them. 13% of the children would choose to be born as Christians. The children considered the treatment they received from their parents as very fair. Hest of them considered the Centile world to be more friendly then unfriendly to them. Few children at this ago level are radicals politically. Most children are very elightly projudiced in favor of Jeve with respect to certain racial and social traits. This latter item, however, requires much further study.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Cohen, Samuel M., <u>Jewish Child Guidance</u>, New York, 1935.

  Dinin, Samuel, <u>Judeism in a Changing Civilization</u>, New York,

  1935.
- Franzblan, Abraham N., Religious Bellef and Character Among Jevish Adolescents, New York, 1934.
- Freeman, Frank W., Montal Tests. Boston, 1926.
- Gemoren, Emenuel. Chenging Conceptions in Jewish Education.
  New York, 1924.
- Glasner, Samuel, "Adolescent Adjustment Agencies," article,

  <u>Youth Leader</u>, vol. III, no. 4. April, 1934.
- Godshew, Alfred T., "A Suggestion us to Resching the Adolescent," paper, <u>Central Conference of American Rabbis</u>, <u>Yearbook</u>, vol. 16, 1906.
- Kohn, Jacob, <u>Modern Problems of Jewish Parents</u>, New York, 1932 Maller, Julius B., "Personality Adjustment of the Jewish
- Strauss, Lester, "The Needs of American Jewish Youth," article,

  Youth Leader, vol. II, no.6., October, 1933.
- Watson, Goodwin B., Experimentation and Measurement in Relicious Education, New York, 1927.
- Weinreich, Max, <u>Der Veg Zu Unser Yugend</u> ("The Way To Our Youth"), Wilno, 1935.