#### SOME ETHICAL AND THEOLOGICAL CONCEPTS OF THE

as white by D. Hoffmann (1905)

Harold M. Kemsler

Presented as partial requirement for the degrees of Rabbi and Master of Hebrew Literature at the Jewish Institute of Religion.

November 1935.

#### PREFACE

The Mechilta of Rabbi Simon ben Yochai, like all other collections of Midrashim, contains many theological and ethical concepts. It is the purpose of this thesis to bring to light the more important ones.

The manuscript referred to in this thesis was compiled by Dr.

D. Hoffmann, and first appeared in the Hebrew publication

In 1905 the manuscript was published in Frankfurt a.W. under the title "Mechilta de-Rabbi Simon b. Jochai", ein halachischer und hasgadischer Midrashh zu Exodus.

"מכילתא דרבי שמעון בן יוהאי על ספר שמות" מלוקפת מתוך פדרש הגדול כ"י כית עקד הטפריט אשר למלך יר"ה בבערלין הבירה וועיר שט זעיר שט מתוך טפרים וכ"י אהריט עם הערות ומראה מקומות ופתיחה קצרה מאת דוד צבי האפפמאנו

All references to the Mechilta are given by the page number in the manuscript, the only known edition.

The manuscript in its present form follows the Biblical chapter and verse and is not divided into sections as the Mechilta of Ishmael is. It is possible, however that in an earlier form this Mechilta was also divided an sections, for we find in the text letters which would seem to indicate that this assumption is true, e.g. Ms.118,122,137,138,140,141, 142 etc.

I have also endeavored to compare the Mechilta of Simon with the Mechilta of Ishmael, and wherever both Mechilta agree I have so given the reference to the tractate of Ismael. In all cases where the chapter and werse of the Mechilta d' Ishmael and recorded, the reference is to the same werse as that in the Mechilta of Simon. Very rarely do both Mechilta have the same wording. If the text is better in the Mechilta of Ishmael, the latter text is quoted in the footnote. If the same idea is versed in both

Mechilta, but given from two different authorities, mention is made in the footnote. Whenever the note of the editor, D. Hoffmann, is of value in understanding the text, it is also quoted in the footnote. The translations of the Biblical verses follow the Jewish Publication Society's rendition in most cases; however sometimes a different translation is necessary to make the Midrash understandable. In such instances I have given my own translation.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1 p. 1 Introduction The problem of the Mechilta of Rabbi Simon b. Yochai. Chapter II p. 11 God The Almighty. God wills and it is accomplished. God's canipotence contrasted to the impotency of the idols. Mirael es. Omniscience. God in His personal aspect. Chapter III p.53 God and Israel God the guardian and father of Israel. Partmership between God and Israel . God suffers when Israel suffers. Reciprocal relationship between God and Israel. Chapter IV p.66 Israel Selection of Israel. Israel accepts God's yoke. Israel accepts God's Torah. Holiness of Israel. Israel punished for rebelling . All Israel brethren. Land of Israel. p.83 Chapter V Torah Complexity of concept. Hypostatisation of Torah. Giving of the Torah. Eternality of the Torah. Universalistic and nationalistic

outlook.
The Torah as the Logos.
The rew rds of the Torah.

Chapter VI

p.96.

Zecuth

The concept.

Zecuth of a pious excestry.

Zecuth of a contemporary.

Zecuth of a pious posterity.

Negative aspect of the Zecuth.

Chapter VII

p.107

Ethics

Totality of Jewish life. Ethics of the family. Business dealings. The courts.

# SOME ETHICAL AND THEOLOGICAL CONCEPTS IN THE MECHILTA DE

# CHAPTER I

# INTR DUCTION

The word "ekilta is an Aramaic and corresponding to the Webrew "Midden" meaning a measure of capacity and, in its larger sense, collection of rules of interpretation, a treatise or a tract. This name has been given to collections of scriptural comments because they contain exergeses based upon fixed rules.

During the Tal audical Lays an interpreter of the Midrash was called a Bar-Yekilan, that is a man of rules. (1) "e have extant two Mekilt s to the book of Exodus, the first and most well mown, the Mekilta d' Ispanael called by most modern scholars "our mekilta"; (2) and a recently edited mi rush to the book of Exodus called the Mekilta D' Reb Simon ben Yochai.

Hoffman, the e itor, feels that the Simon Tekilta w s known in the Babylonian schools, (3) although through ut Talmudical literature there seems to be no mention of it. We find references to it, however, by Medieval Lutnors. Some refer to a Mekilta der Reb Simon or a Mekilta

<sup>1-</sup> Lev. R iii.

<sup>2-</sup> Most modern scholars spe k of this as "unsere "echilta" vide: D. Hoffman "Zur Einleitung in die Machilta de Robbi Simon ben Tochai in Jahrbuch der Jüdisch-Literarischen Besellschaft-5666-1906 p. 194

<sup>3-</sup> Zur Einleitung in die Mexilta deg Rabbi S mon ben Yachai p. 26.

Ahrita der Reb Simon or a Mekilta Aheret. Nachmanedes in his commentary (1) refers to it. Rabbi Tedres Halevi in his work "Sefer Haresin"
and also in his vork "Ozer Hakabed makes reference to it. We also find the
collection mentioned in Elijah Mizrachi's commentary on Rashi's commentary on
the Pentateuch.

Rab Shemtov ben Abraham in his Migdal Oz, a commentary, the Yad of Maimonedes and likewise Rab Mayer ibn Gabbai in his work Tolaat Jaakob (2) also make mention of our collection.

There are many and varied disficulties involved in a discussion of the collection known as the Mekulta d' Rab Simon ben Yochai. Some of the questions which seem to puzzle those interested in this work are: Who is the author? When was it redacted? By whom? Is it permissible to gather such a collection and call it by this name?

Dr. David Zvi Hoffman rec nstructed from various sources a work which he has called the Mekilta der Rabbi Simon ben Yochai, Ein Halachischer und Haggadisher Midrasch zu Exodus. His work first peared in the Hebrew monthly "Ha-Pelas," (3) and was republished by J. Kaufman in 1905.

Leopold Zunz, an en ment nineteenth century Mebraic scholar, thought it a Kabbalistic work. Dr. M. H. Landauer felt that the Mekilta d' Ishmael and that of Simon were identical. J. Perles in an article written in the

<sup>1-</sup> Leviticus xlix:31, xxiii:24, and Exodus xiv:19, xxi:3, xxii:12

<sup>2- 63-</sup>b in Krakow 1570

<sup>3-</sup> Vols. I-IV

"Monatsschrift" (1) claimed that the maxims of Simon found in the Mekilta d' Ishmael constituted the so-valled Mekilta d' Rab Simon ben Yochai. Friedman, nowever, in his edition of the Mekilta d' Ishmael, was the first to claim that there was a distinction between the Mekilta d' Ishmael and that of Simon. (2)

Before 1905 many people felt that the Sifre de Be Rat of the Talmud to Exodus was identical with the Mekilta de Rab Simon. Hoffman, however, in an article entitled Zur insieitung in Die Mekilta der Rabbi Simon ben fochai (3) maintains that there are many differences which convince him that both the aforementioned books were from other sources.

Ho. fman is inclined to believe that the Mexilta deg Rab Simon is to be identified with the Tanna d' be Chiskiya, redected by Chiskiya ben Rabbi Chija. ...offman further contends that the use of the name Rabbi Simon ben Yochai, is due to the fact that firstly it begins with a cuotation from Simon ben Yochai and secondly many sentences therein have been attributed to him.

Dr. Louis Ginsberg however (in his article Der Anteil Rabbi Simon un der ihn zugeschreisben Mekilta to be found Festschrift zu Israel Levy's Siebstigsten Gebunstag, Breslau 1111) refutes this as well as the statement of Levy who believes that we have named this Midrash by

<sup>1- 1858</sup> p. 145

<sup>2- 1870,</sup> Vienna, P. 54

<sup>3-</sup> To be found in Jahrbuch des Jüdisch-Literarischen Gesellschaft 5666, 1905

from this second century Tanna. Gingberg rightfully points out that it is very impracticable to begin a Midrash with the third chapter of a scriptural book. Those who point to the Mekilta d' Rab Ishmael as a refutation of Ginsberg's position (for we know that Ishmael's Mekilta begins with Chapter xii of Exodus) may be answered by the fact that there is a definite reason for beginning the Mekilta with Chapter xii. Chapter xii begins with the first laws given to Israel and for that reason are we well aware that the Mekilta of Ishmael opens its exegesis there.

Gingberg also feels that Hoffman's other argument, ha ely that many sentences have been attributed to Simon ben Yochai and for that reason the book is so named, is likewise inadequate.

The Mekilta d' Rab Simon ben Yochai belongs to the Akiba School rather than that of Ishmael. These two schools of thought existed side by side. Dr. Herman Strack in his Einleitung zu der Talmud und Midrash says, "The expert may easily recognize the Midrashim of the School of Ishmael, in the first place by the names of the teachers cited, e.g. Josiah and Jonathan, which occur neither in the other Tamattic Midrashim nor in the lishna or Tosephta; secondly, by certain technical terms." (1)

The school of Akiba more often uses the "Sezerah Shavah" whereas
Ishmael is more likely to proceed according to the rule Kelal Werat."
Akiba went so far as to use peculiarities of lan mage, doubling of

<sup>1-</sup> English edition p. 206 J. 5. 5. 1931

expressions and various other seemingly forced interpretations for his deductions. Ishmael, on the other hand, rejected all such hermeneutics.

The Tammaim appearing in the Medilta d' Rab Simon are the same as those cited in the Midrishe de be Rab which are of course those belonging to the Akiba school. On the other hand whereas those who are mentioned in the school of Ishmael are almost entirely lacking. Hoffman says that Achi ar Joshaiah does not appear in the manuscript. However I find that a Tanna of this name appears once. (1)

On the other hand, Rab Isaac who is not to be found in the genuine parts of the Mexilta d' Reb Simon appears twenty-six times in Ishmael and Aba Manan who is to be found in the Midrash Ishmael and Sifre to Numbers is not to be found in the Mexilta of Simon. Isi ben Jenudah, the Bebylonian Joseph, often the found with variant names, in Talmudical literature appears but twice in our manuscript (146 and 153). The Babylonian Rabbi Nathan who is quoted fifty-one times in the Mexilta of Ishmael and thirty-nine times in the Sifre to Numbers appears but a few times in the legalistic portions of our manuscript; and Rabbi Judah ben Betara appears but twice. (2)

Rabbi limon in the legalistic portions of the manuscript most often appears without his petronyme a lact which is cuite usual in the

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 161

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 161, 107

Lidra he de be Rab. The Mekilta de Reb Simon has much besides in common with the Midrashe de be Rab but is distinguished from the Sifre to Deuteronomy. There are contained in the Texilta de Reb Simon many explanations of scripture which are not in accordance with the current interpretation to be found in other sources of tradition and also much new material is to be found therein.

Hoffman in his article has stated that we possess but a few authentic texts, which were found in a "Genizah". They are manuscripts 12, note 5 to manuscript 14, note 60; ms. 118, note 6 to ms. 119, note 90; ms. 137, note 2 to 145, note 2. The remainder was taken from the Midrash Haggedal.

Lewy in his article Ein ort Wher die Mekilts der Rab Sinon (1) said that such of this disrash is to be found in the didrash Maggadal and that it is most probable that much of the manuscript may be accepted without too much criticism. Concerding the haggadic parts, such in both the Mekilta de Ishmael and that of Simon are similar for Chiskish, the reputed redactor of the Mekilta of Simon received such of the haggadic tradition.

It is also probable that the Midrosh Maggodal had at its disposal the Mexilta de Robbi Ishmael from which it took many of its ideas.

Gipeberg (2) is of the opi ion that Chiskiah ben Rabbi Hayas was the redactor and that due to the style and the hermeneutics it is definitely

I- I. Lewj: "Ein Wort uber die Techilta des R. Simon:"

Jahres=bericht des Jüdisch- theologischen Seminars,
Franckelischer Stiftung: Breslau, 1889

<sup>2-</sup> I. Tinaberg Der Anteil Rabbi Simons an der ihm Zugeschriebenen Mec ilta Festschrift zu Israel Lewy's Siebzigstem Gebertstag: Breslau, 1911.

a part of the Akiba school. The views of Akiba are almost always reproduced whereas Rabbi Ishmael's opinions are either ignored or expressly provided with his name. There are to be found two places where Akiba is left out and the adverse opinions are cited as the codus xiii:9 and xxii:9. Lewy believes that much of the Mekilta de Reb Simon is not to be found in the Talmudic Midrashic literature at all.

Ginsberg shows that due to corruptions in the text of Jer. Peah I:

15 d, Simon's grandson is meant, not Rebbi Simon himself and that in
the question of honoling one's father and mother Simon's grandson
goes even a step further than his grandfather, which would not contradict Simon's view in the Mekilta. Ginsberg also feels that in many
cases Rab Simon has been misquoted for Rab Ishmael. (1)

Simon in the Pesichte, says Ginsberg, is never mentioned without his patronym. Lewy finds some of Simon's Haggadoh which he thinks should appear in the manuscript but does not, e.g. that dealing with the breastplate of the high priest found in Canticles Rabbah I:10 and Tanchuma (ed. Buber) II:12 and again in Canticles Rabbah V:16 where an aggadah concerning the revelation on Sinai is quoted. Ginsberg answers that the tenor shown in the aforementioned Aggadat belongs to Deuteronomy v:22 and not Exodus xx:16 and therefore it is not to be expected in our manuscript. Lewy seems to think our manuscript has legalisms contrary to the views of Rabbi Simon.

<sup>1-</sup> Pesichte Rabati xvii 78 a; Mekilta Bo I.

In Babylonian Kiddushin 18 a, it says according to Simon that a father may sell his minor daughter into slavery only once and then only if she has not been married before. To the verse (1) "to sell her unto a strange nation he shall have no power seeing he hast dealt deceitfully with her" Rabbi Simon says the first who dealt deceitfully with her and divorced her does not have permission to make her a slave. Lewy contends that this statement contradicts that attributed to Rabbi Simon in the Talmud and should this contention of Lewy be justified that would throw possible doubt on the authorship of the Mekilta being that of Simon. Ginsberg however says that firstly it often happens in Talmudical literature that there are two contradicting expostulations concerning the point of view of a Tanna and secondly in this case under closer scrutiny the manuscript and the Talmud seem to essay identical ideas though in different words.

The manuscript cites anonymously the opinion of Akiba in regard to "bigdo Der" according to which these words mean In'to wie has been prohibits the father to sell his daughter into slavery if she has been already once mated. Simon's contention follows, i.e. that the right of the father is limited and also that he may sell his daughter only once. Rabbi Simon expresses this as her first master (her father) who may not make a slave out of her after he has driven her into slavery once before from his house. Rabbi Simon explains "bigdo" exactly as Rabbi Eleazer in Kiddushin 18a. However, while Simon rejects the

<sup>1-</sup> Exodus xxi:8

comprehension of Akiba that "מנדו" means יותר , he accepts the general law but ascribes a different meaning to "bigdo". "בנדו"

There are many places which show definitely that the opinions are of Rabbi Simon and that the twopicind in the manuscript which are definitely in contradiction to Akiba's view are, however, in conformity with those of Rabbi Simon. While many views seem to be in accordance with Rabbi Akiba it is safe to say that Simon as Akiba's pupil acquired many of these views. Since many of the nicipo continues Ginsberg, are in accordance with Rabbi Simon, the tradition accribing the manuscript to this Tanna seems to be a correct one although we do not intend to say that the manuscript as we have it was such as left the hand of the author.

Just as we call the other Mekilta that of Rabbi Ishazel thou h it contains only part of the teachings of that Tanna and also material which is both of a later date and even contradictory to the views of Ishazel, no as we call the Signe that of Rabbi Judge, of which we may say the same thing, there is no reason tien to deny that the manuscript which we possess is largely that of the work of Simon even though it contains ideas and views which this Tanna would never have accepted.

### CHAPTER II

#### GOD

In considering the theology of any Rabbinic writing the first picture that meets our gaze is that of a triad in Judaism. This triad, namely: God, Israel and Torah, is to be found in all of Rabbinic literature, and there is no book of that type extant which does not concern itself with these three fundamental dogmas in Judaism. It is therefore necessary, in discussing any Rabbinic Midrash, to deal firstly with these three basic principles of Rabbinic Theology and to examine just how these ideas were developed in the collection under consideration.

The existence of God was never questioned by the Jew who lived in the age of the Tanmaim. Scripture was enough croof of His existence. However with the way in which God made Himself manifest, His attributes, His relation to the people of the world in general and to Israel in particular, His omnipotence and omniscience, and His abode, Midrashic literature does concern itself. It is therefore our task to show just what the Mechilta of Rabbi Simon ben Jochai has to teach concerning the aforementioned references to God.

The sentence found in Genesis Rabba (1) דוא כקומו של עולם "ווין עולם "He is the seat of the "niverse, but the

<sup>1-</sup> Genesis Rabba 68

Universe is not His seat", sums up a general Rabbinic view of God.

God is everywhere to be found. He is all inclusive as well as exclusive. This idea gives a totality to Jewish life; a life in which everything, no matter how simple or complex, is a manifestation from Him. There is no place in the Universe which is free of God. This same idea is to be found in our Mechilta in the following form: "A non-Jew asked Rabbi Gamliel, 'For what purpose did God appear to Moses in the midst of a hip?' (1) Rabban Gamliel answered, 'If God had revealed Himself to Moses in the midst of a carob tree, or a fig tree, I might be able to say, that there are places in the world which are void of God. Therefore we are taught that God revealed Himself to Moses from the midst of a hip to teach us that there are no places in the Universe which are void of God'". (2)

The first great quality that was attributed to God was His Omnipotence. Throughout the Bible one also receives the feeling of God's great sight and power. The Rabbis in the Midrish have used the word animal, the Almighty, and in certain instances call God by his name. We read in the Mechilta of Rabbi Simon, "Rabbi Eleazer of Modim says, 'The Israelites were accustomed to speak complainingly against Moses, and not alone against Moses did they speak but also against the Almighty and '". (3)

Vavassa

<sup>1-</sup> ASD lowliest of trees, is being a men hish 2- Ms. 2 to Exodus iii:2

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 72 commentary to Exodus xv:23: cf. Mechilta of Ismael Tractate

Many other manifestations of God's omnipotence are given by
the Rabbis and the Mechilta of Rabbi Simon has its share of them.
The great and famous idea that God created the world by the Ford;
that all that was required of Him to Create this wast Universe was
an utterence, is also to be found in this Midrash. This idea shows
very conclusively the theology of Rabbinic Judaism. God to the Rabbis
was so all-powerful that by his mere utterence all this great Universe came into being. "And God spoke unto Moses and said unto him,
I am the Lord", says the Scriptures and to this our Midrash adds,
"Rabbi blieser says, 'The Holy One Blessed be He said unto Moses, I
am He who spoke and the world came into being' for it is written,
(Ps. L:1)'God the Lord has spoken and called the earth'." (1)

whatever he wills, and nothing can stand in His way. If He wishes to retract he may, if not he does not. The picture of the soldier is given by our Mechilta to illustrate this. In commenting upon the verse "The Lord is a man of War", (Ex. xv:3) the Hidrash says; "There may be a mighty here in the war, but once his arrow has left the bow it is impossible for him to retrieve it; but He who spoke and the world came into being is not like this here (i.e. He is able to retrieve it if necessary). When Israel does not do the will of God a decree comes forth from Him as it is written, (Dt. xxxii:41)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 4 Exodus vi:2

'If I what my glittering sword' and then they repent, God immediately withdraws it, did said, 'And my hand takes hold on judgment'. Ferhaps it might be then said that He turns it back into nothingness, but Scripture says, 'I will render vengeance on my enemies.' And upon whom does He turn it? Upon the nations of the world, as it is said 'And will recompense them that hate me'". (1)

It is God Himself who will destroy the nations who do not recognize His Name and refuse to realize that He is God in Heaven above and upon the earth beneath. It is for this reason that God smote the Egyptians. Rabbi Jose the Galilean says, "And God spoke unto Moses saying (Ex. vi-2), The Yoly One Blessed be He said to Moses, 'My children were worthy of destruction in Egypt'. As it is said, (Ezk.xxxi:7,8) 'And I said unto them, Cast ye away every man the detestable things of his eyes, and defile not yourselves with the idols of Egypt; I am the Lord your God. But they retelled against Me, and would not hearken unto Me. They did not every man cast away the detestable things of their eyes, neither did they forsake the idols of Egypt, then I said I would pour out My fury upon them, to spend My anger upon them in the midst of the land of Egypt'. But behold I shall do so tething for them because of My great name, so that it may not be profined. As it is said, (Ezek. xx:9) 'But I.wrought for My name's sake that it should not be profaned in the sight of the nations, among whom they were, in

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 62 Exodus xv:3 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tractate Thirata

whose sight I made myself known to them so as to bring them forth out of the land of Egypt'. And ye say unto me send by the hand of him whom Thou walt send." (1)

The all powerful God rules over the world and is the cause of all things. "I am the Lord" (Ex. xii:12), "God is the ruler of all things and wherever the pride of idolatry is mentioned there is to be found the praise of God. For so it is written (Jer. x:9), 'Silver beaten into plates is brought from Tarshish and gold from Opher; the work of the craftsman and of the hands of the goldsmiths; blue and purple is their clathing; they are all the work of skillful men'; And there follows, 'Thus shall ye say unto them, the gods that have not made the heavens and the earth, they shall perish from the earth and from under the heavens (Jer. x:11). And it says, 'He that hath made the earth by His power, that hath established the world by His wisdom and hath stretched out the heavens by His understanding'. It is written (Ps. cxv:5), 'Mouths they have and they speak not'. And it is said (ibid 3), 'But our God is in the heavens, all that he wishes he does'". (2)

It was to show His might that God hardened the hearts of the Egyptians and even when Fharoah weakened, the Egyptians' hearts became harder. "From whence do we learn when Pharoah weakened, the Egyptians' hearts were hardened? We are told, 'And I

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 4, Exodus iv:13

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 13

harden the hearts of the Egyptians. (1) And when both of them,
Egyptians and Pharoah, seemed to weaken, God hardened their hearts,
as it is written (Ex. x:1) 'For I have hardened his heart and the
hearts of all his servents (abid).'" Not only did God harden their
hearts but He also enticed them. It says "over against it shall ye
encamp by the sea" to which our Midrash adds "in order to entice the
hearts of Egypt." (2)

Once again does God show his greatness and power when He punishes the wiked, (tiv:4) "And I hall harden the heart of Pharoah", to which the Mechilta of R. Simon adds, "When the Holy One Blessed to He punishes the wicked His name becomes great in the wirld as it is written (Is. lxvi:19), 'And I will mark sign among them and I will send such as escape of them to the nations, to Tershish, Pul and Lud, that draw the bow to Jubal and Jevan, to the isles afar off that have not heard My fame and have not seen My plory. What does it say? 'And they shall tell of My pory a ong the nations'; and these you must interpret 'And I will plead against him with pistilence and with blood and will cause to rain upon him and upon his bands, and upon the many people that are with him, an overflowing shower, and great hail stones, fire and brimstone'. And what does it say then? 'Thus will I magnify Myself and sanctify Myself and make Myself known in

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 36:37 to Ex. xiii:15

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 41 Ex. xiv:2

the eyes of many nations; and they shall know that I am the Lord'. And thus you must interpret, (Jer. xvi:1) 'O Lord ay strength and my stronghold and at refuge in the day of affliction. What does it say then? 'Unto Thee, nations shall come from the ends of the earth and shall say, Our fathers have inverited nautht but lies, manity and things wherein there is no profit. And so must you interpret (Is. xlv:14), 'Thus suith the Lord, The labor of Egypt and the merchandise of Ethiopa and of the Sabaens, men of stature shall come over unto thee and shall be thine. They shall go after thee in chains. They so 11 come over and they shall fall down unto thes. They shall make supplications unto thes.' What then does it say? 'Surely God is Thee and there is none else'. In like manner you must interpret the verse (Obadiah, 1:21), 'And saviors so'll come upon Mt. Zion t judge the Mt. of Esau'. And what does it say then? 'And the kingdom shall be the Lord's'. and it says (Ps. x:16), 'The Lord as King for ever; the mations are perished out of Mis land'. And it says (Ps. Biv:35) \*Let sinners cease out of the earth and let the wicked be no more. Bless the Lord, O my soul, Wallelujah'. And it says (Ps. cxlvi:9), 'The Lord preserveth the strangers. He upholdeth the fatnerless and the widow. But the way of the wicked He maketh crooked. "That then does it say? 'The ord will reign for ever and ever. Thy God O Zion unto all generations. Hallelujah! " (1)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 42 cf. 'ekilta of Ismael tractate Beah llach

For this reason it was God Himself who mestroyed Sodom and Gemoreh and the evil men of the flood and dispersion periods for in commenting upon the verse, "I am the Lord" (xii:12) our Midrash adds, "I am He who took punishment from the men of the generation of the flood and of the dispersion and of the people of Sodom" 1). Likewise God destr ys all the vicked ones upon the earth. "Scripture tells us that then God stretches out his hand the evil ones per sh from the earth. As it is said (Zeph ii:13), 'And He vill stretch out his hand against the north and destroy Assyria'. And it a so says (Ezek. xxv:16), 'Pehold I will stretch out my h nd against the Philist nes and I will cut off the Cherethin, and destroy the remnant of the sea coast'. And it is written (Zeph. 1:4), 'And I will stretch out my hand against Judah and aganist all the inhabitants of Jerusalems'. To give an example of that this is like, then ergs are placed in a man's hand, if he but turn his hand a little the eggs will fall and be broken. Likewise 'when God stretches out His hand both he that helped shall stumble and he that is helped will fall and together they shill be destroyed'". (Is. xxxi:3) (2)

The Rabbis were unable to conceive of any one's being as powerful or as great as God and this idea recurs in the Rabbinic writ ngs. To the verse (Ex. xv:11), "Who is like unto thee among the nighty?" the Midrash comments, "When they saw Fn roah and his army destroyed in the

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 13

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 58 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Shirata

see and the kingdom of the Egyptians had come to an end and judgments executed upon t eir idols, they all opened their mouths and said, 'Who is like unto thee C Lo:d among the gods?' Not only did Israel alone feel this but when the nations of the world perceived what had happened to Egypt and its idols, all of them threw away their idolatrous practices and gave oblesance to God and said, 'Who is 1 ke unto thee along the gods?' And so did Rahab say (Josh ii:10), 'For we have neard now God dried up the Red Sea for thee and we have hearkened no our hearts have melted, and so do we find that in the future the nations of the world will renounce their idols'. So it is said (Is. ii:20), 'On that day man shall cast away his idols of silver and of gold, which they have made to worship, to the noise and bats; to go into the clefts of the rocks, and into the crevices, from before the terror of the Lord and from the glory of His majesty, when He ariseth to snake mightily the earth'. And wha does it then say? 'And the idols will be utterly destroyed (Is. ii:13)." (1)

When He saites the nations He also smites their gods. For we read,

"And upon the gods of Egypt shall I execute judgments "Ex. xii:12)";

to which the Midrash adds, "There is no nation which is saitten that its gods are not smitten also. For it says (Jer. 1,2) "Bel is put to

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 66 of. Mekilta of Ism el tract. Shirata

shame, Merodoch is broken in pieces.' Fut to shame are her idols; broken in pieces are her images. And so it says (Is. xxi:9), 'Fallen, fallen is Pabylon and all the idols of her gods are broken to the ground'. And so it says concerning our fathers (Lev. xxvi:30), 'And I shall lace their corpses upon the corpses of their idols'. And so is it to be in the future when the nations of the world perish; their idols shall be completely destroyed'". (1)

Once arain is the impotency of the gods of the rest of the world shown in the case of the first born of the captives who also received the death penalty as the rest of the first born in Egypt.

(Ex. xii:29) "Why were they also smitten? Because they said our gods shall bunish the Egyptians who caused us to serve them. (2) 'The welling was so great in Egypt' (Ex. xii:30) because 'the Egyptians saw their gods break up and fall before them'. And even though Ecripture does not specifically say so, it is explained (II San. xxii:8), 'Then shook and trembled the earth, the foliations of the neavens were moved; and they shook because He was wroth'". (2)

But Theroah thought that Baal Zephon would come to his aid,
coording to our Midrash, for, "He closed the wilderness about them".

\*\*

(xiv:3) And in explaining this verse the Rabbis say, "Pharoah said,

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 13 and 14

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 23

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 41 to Ex. xiv:2 cf. Mexilta of Ismael tractate Beshallach

Boal Zephon shall gather all the wild animals a minst them (Israel) and they shall not be able to pass through". (1) This treachery is carried out, for when the Egyptians "overtook them encamping by the sea" (Ex. xiv:9) before Baal Zephon "Fharoah rejoiced greatly when he saw Baal Zephon for he said, 'Baal Zephon has listened to my decree; I have said to destroy them in the sea and Baal Zephon has agreed to drown them in the sea.' Pharoah thus began to offer incense to the idols for it says, before Paal Zephon Pharoah sacrificed". (2)

Once again do the Rabbis show the greatness of God when they say that until the exodus, "no slave had ever been able to run away from Taypt and at this time the "oly One Blessed be "e brought out six hundred thousand people from Egypt. Referring to this it is said, 'The Lord is greater than all gods'." (Ex. xviii-11) (2)

The Rabbis held Jethro, the father of Moses in high esteem, for he suggested to Moses a plan to settle the dispute of the people. They also see in his words the realization that the God of Israel was the greatest of all gods. And so our 'idrash says, "There was not an idol in the world which Jethro had not served, but now he recognized that God was above all the gods". (Ex. xviii:11) (4)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 41

<sup>2- 1&#</sup>x27;s. 45

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 88 Ex. xviii:11 cf. Wekilta of Isasel tract. Amalek

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 38 Ex. xviii:11 cf. Mekilta of Is ael tract. Amalek

Not only did Jethro recognize this but other great figures in those days did also, for we read, "Naaman recognized the fact even more for it is said, 'Behold now I know that there is no God in all the earth, but in Israel'. (II Kgs. v:15)(1)50 too Rahab the harlot says, 'For the Lord your God, He is God in heaven above, and on earth beneath'". (Josh. ii:11) (2)

Comparing God to the idols of the other nations the Rabbis nce again show His sovereign power. The Psalms say, the idols "have eyes but they see not". (Ps. cxv:5) "But He who spoke and the world came into being is not as these idols for the eyes of the Lord wender about the earth'. (Zech. iv:10) And also it says, 'The eyes of the Lord are in every place, keeping ratch upon the evil and the good'. (Prov. xv:3) 'Ears they posses but they hear not', (Fs. cxv:6) But He who spoke nd the world came into being is not so for it says, (Fs. lxv:2) 'O thou that hearest prayer, unto Thee doth all flesh come'. And it says, (Ps. x:17) 'Thou wilt direct thine heart, thou wilt cause thy ear to attend'. It says concerning the idols, 'nostrils they have but they smell not'. (Ps. cxv:6) But He who spoke and the world came into being is not so for it is written (Lev. 1:10), 'an offering of sweet savor to the Lord'. And it also says, (Lev. viii:21) 'And the Lord smelled the sweet savor'. 'Hands the; have but they move not' (7s. cxv:7) is said of the idols but "He who soke and the world came into being is not so. (Is. xlviii:13) 'Yea My hand has laid the found-

<sup>1-</sup> i.e. Jethro said, Too was above gods and Maaman recognized his oneness.

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 88 to Ex. xviii:11; cf. Makilta of Ismael tract. Analek (22)

And it also says, (Is. xlv:12) 'I, even My hands, have stretched out the heavens and all their host have I commanded'. 'Feet they possess but they walk not'. (Ps. cxv:7) But He who spoke and the world came into being is not so, for it says, 'And God went out and fought against those nations'. (Zech. xiv:3) And it also says, (Zech xiv:4) 'And His feet stood on that day on the Mount of Olives' 'Nor do they speak with their throats' (Fs. cxv:7). But He who spoke and the earth was, is not so (S. S. v:16) 'His mouth is most eweet, He is altogether lovely'. And it also says, (Job xxxvii:2) 'And the sound that goeth out of His mouth'". (1)

All these things which God does are to emphasize Mis great name and even though the people should have been destroyed, yet God has known Israel 'is greatness. In commenting upon the varse, "And the waters clove", (Ex. xiv:27) our Midrash says, "The Holy One Blessed be He dried up the waters first. But the and Me did not dry up.

Israel began to say, the waters He was able to dry up but the mud.

Me wasn't. The Yoly One Blessed be He then said to them, I have tested you and ye have not withstood the test. You should be destroyed from the world as the Egyptians were. But what shill I do? Behold I shall do this because of My great name which is called upon you. For so scripture says, (Ps. cvi:7) But were rebellious at the sea'. Immediately the Holy One Elessed be He dried up the mud". (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 66 to x. xv:11

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 50

understand that this power was not meant to be an attribute of omnipotence but an assurance that nothing can thwart God's purpose. This greatness on the part of God has ever been linked with Israel's history. In understanding the various miracles which God performed, we must recognize the fact that they too were linked with Israel's history. One of the greatest continuitions that Judaism has given to the world is this feeling of continuity of life, a manifestation of "the one great divine event to which the whole creating moves". This fundamental philosophy of Judaism was easily reconcileable with the Platonic doctrine for both were teleological. It is for this reason that many of our tannaltic Rabbis were neo-Platonists.(1)

George Foote Moore in his "Ju aism" (2' says:-

"To understand the Jewish conception of miracle, we must enter into their way of thinking about God and neture. A miracle, from this point of view, is an extraordinary phenomenon or occurrence wrought by God, presumably for some special purpose. It cannot be described as something at variance with the lows of nature, transcending or suspending them, for, as has been said, there was no idea of laws of nature in the modern sense. Nor is it the mere wonder of it that makes such an event a miracle; it is the religious interpretation of the occurrence, the belief that in this phenomenon or event God in a peculiar way manifests his presence, reveals his will, or intervenes for the deliverance of his worshippers and the discomforture of their enemies, to provide for their needs in distressful times, to avert calamities, to heal mortal diseases, and to save from a thousand evils where human help is

<sup>1-</sup> See Gen. Rabba 1:1 tanchuma to Genesis 1:1

<sup>2-</sup> Vol. I Part II Ch. I 5. 376

vain. The greatness, the power of God is abundantly manifest in the ordinary course of nature; it is His goodness that is pecultarly revealed in the miracle as faith interprets and appropriates it."

Since the .echilta de Rabbi Simon deals with the book of Exodus, the departure from Egypt, the Rabbis quoted therein have
shown countless more miracles which God wrought for the people
of Israel when they were about to leave Egypt and while they were
in the vilderness marching toward the promised land. Most of the
above-mentioned reasons for the miracles may be found in the large
collection of miracles recorded in our Midrash.

There are miracles connected with the call of Moses; we read,
"A great miracle Moses saw when he saw the tree burn and not be consumed; and if you wonder at that, then come and see how the staff
of Aaron which was of dry (dead) wood gave forth leaves and flowers". (1)
When Moses answered God saying that he feared to come to Egypt for
his enemies wished to will him God answered him saying, "Fear not
Moses, for these men are already dead'. (fx. iv:19) For all who
seek we are dead, The Holy One Blessed be He answered Moses and
said unto him, You tell me that you fear the children of men from
whom you fled. The children of men whom Pharpah has sent after you
to seize you; who has made them deaf, dumb and blind? Behold I
the Lord have". (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 2 to Ex. iii:2

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 3

Many other miracles were added by the Rabbis to the ten plagues which harassed the Egy tians before the Israelites left Egypt. Not only were the gods in Egypt destroyed but also were the gods of the Egyptians in other places destroyed. In sommenting upon the verse "and against all the gods of Egypt" (Ex. xii:12) the Rabbis say, "This seems to say only the gods of Egypt which were in the land. Concerning the gods of other peoples in Egypt, how do we know they also were destroyed? Scripture says 'and against all the gods of Egypt'. How do we know the gods of Egypt in other places were destroyed? Scripture teaches against all gods, 'The gods of Ham and Ethiopia and Egypt and Fut and Canaan'. From whence do we learn these gods were also destroyed? Scripture teaches against all gods. 'And so He says, (Is. #lili:3) 'I have given Egypt as thy ransom, Ethiopia and Beba for thee'. Different punishm nts have I given them, the wooden idols have been broken, the stone ones burned and the clay ones rotted". (1)

"The smiting of the first born was a complete destruction, no first born of Egypt had the power to escape God's wrath, for it says, 'And I smote all the first born of Egypt'. (Ex. xii:12) This seems to tell us that the first born of the Egyptians in Egypt were smitten but how about the first born of others in Egypt? This we learn from the wording 'all the first born', that even the first born of the Egyptians

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 13 to Ex. xii:12 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Piska

in other lands were smitten? This too is derived from the wording, 'all the first born'". (1) "The first born of Ham, Ethiopia, Egypt, Put and Canaan: from whence do we deduce these too were smitten? Scripture teaches (Ps. lxxviii:51) 'And He smote all the first born of Egypt the first of the strength in the tents of Ham'. Not only were the first born of the people killed but even of the cattle. We derive this from the statement 'from man unto cattle'. (2)

To prove that there "was no house which was void of a death" the Midrash is the same of R. Jacob says, "And was there no house which did not have a son as first born? But the same of the early people was that he who did not have a son as the first born would call the eldest son the nine (first born), as it says (I Chr. xxvi:10) 'Hosaib of the children of Merari had sons: Simithe chief (for though he was not the first born yet his father made him chief'. A house that had many men and none of them had sons, the oldest of the house died. From whence do we deduce that the eldest of a house is called the first born? For so it is said of David (Ps. lxxxix:28) 'I also will appoint him first-born'." (3)

Rabbi Simon even estimated the number of deaths among the Egyptians. He says, "There were no less than 600,000 first born

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 13 cf exilta of Ismael tract. Fisha

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 13

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 23 Ex. xii:30 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Fisha

who died in that night. For it is said (Is. xliii:3) 'I shall place Egypt as thy ransom'. And the ransom is one for one" (1) If the first born had already died R. Nathan said the following happened, when a first born son was born to one of the Egyptians a statue was made of him, and on that d y the statue was destroyed in front of them and it was as though it were the day when they buried the fir t born son". An anonymous Tanna gives an even more gruesome picture to n this, "The Eryptians were accustomed to bury their dead in their houses and on that day the dogs entered through the drains and dug their way in and brought out the first born from their graves and sported with them. It was then as evil a day for the parents as on the may of their death". (2)

The other plagues, too, were a source of hourly for the Tinnaim and we read of the various plagues with which God plagued Egypt. The verse conversing the Israelites "and there shall be no plague upon you" (Ex. xii:15) proves according to ur Midrash that, "in Egypt there were plagues and destruction". (3) "That three kinds of punishments affiliated Egypt on that night, namel, playes, destruction, and affliction is proved by the verse 'when I smote Egypt'". (4)

These plagues were emphasized by our Rabbis and wherever they

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 23 to Ex. xii:30

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 23,24

<sup>3- 11</sup>s. 14

<sup>4- 1</sup>s. 14 to Ex. xii:13

could infer that plagues took place they did. "For it says (Exodus xii:12) 'And I shall pass through the land of Egypt'. Just as the word here used is 'Dy', the word further on (Ex. xii:23) is 'And the Lord will pass through and shite the Egyptians'. Just as

it is written (Amos v:17), 'And in all the vineyards there is mourning for I shall pass into thy lidst said the Lord'.(1)'For with a strong hand did God take the Jews from Egypt'; is to be interpreted as the ten plagues and the tenth one is equal to all the former ones." (2) This same method of interpretation is again used, for the Mekilta says, "The word discomfit means plague as it is said (D1. vii:23) 'And shall discomfort them with a great discomforture, until they be destroyed'". (3)

The going out of Egypt was accompanied by many types of mirroles which aided Israel and added to the discomforture of the Egyptians. When Israel left Egypt Moses' voice traveled greatly. In considering the verse, "Fro. Ramesis to Succoth", (Ex. xii:37) which is 160 miles a cording to the Mekilta, the Rabbis say, "And the voice of Moses went for forty days'; and do not wonder at this, for so it is said (Ex. ix:3) 'And the Lord's oke to Moses and Aaron, Take ye handfulls of soot from the furnace, and let Moses throw them heaven-

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 13

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 31, 32 to Ex. xiii:2

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 47 to Ex. xiv:13

ward. And behold here we may deduce this, by an a fortiori argument. If dust, whose manner is not to go, goes for a distance of forty days, how much the more can the voice which is wont to travel, go". (1)

When the people were about to leave Egypt Moses remembered the command of their forefather Joseph who had asked that his bones be buried out of Egypt. This incident gave the Rabbis a means of showing a miracle. The first quest on that would naturally have to be answered was, "How did Moses know where to find the bones of Joseph"?

"It so happened that Sarah the daugher of Asher was still alive. She lived in Joseph's generation and Moses inquired of her where Joseph was buried. Sara, answered Moses and said, 'Accomin of clay the Egyptians made for Joseph and placed it in the Mile so that its waters might be blessed. Moses thereupon went to the banks of the Mile and said, 'Joseph, Joseph the oath which God took to redeem Israel is about to be fulfilled and the time has come for the fulfillment of the oath which Israel took. Now the oath of Israel is being prevented of fulfillment by you. If you reveal yourself, it is well; if not we are free from our oath. Immediately the coffin of Joseph appeared on the water". (2) R. Mathan has another interpretation. "Joseph was buried in the sepulchre of the kings. Moses went and stood at the sepulchre of the kings and said, 'Joseph, Joseph.' Im-

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 26 cf. ekilta of Janael tractate Fisha 2- Ms. 39 cf. L. of Is. to Ex. xiii:19

medi tely the coffin of Joseph moved and Moses went to it, took it and brought it with him. For so it is written, 'And Moses took the bones of Jose h'". (1)

In order t. protect Israel the clouds of glory were over them when they encamped at Ramsis. In explaining the word Succoth, (Ex. xii:37) Rabbi Eliezer says, "There were the clouds of glory which came and encamped over Israel at Ramesis. This is to be likened to a bridegroom who brings a palanquin to the noor of his bride's house in order that see may enter immediately". (2)

The Jews finally reiched the Red Sea where God was to perform many fore miracles for them. The Rabbis find there were ten miracles performed for Israel at the Red Sea". The waters were divided, as it is written (Ex. xiv:21) 'And the waters were divided;' and made like a vault, as it says (Habakuk iii:14) 'Thou hast stricken through with his own rods the head of his rulers'; made the sea in sunder, as it is written (Ps. cxxxvi:13) 'To Him who divided the Red Sea in sunder', made of it dry land, as it is said (Ex. xiv:29) 'But the children of Israel walked on dry land', made a wall against the Egyptians, as it is said (Habakkuk iii;15) 'Thou hast trodden the sea with thy houses, through the foaming of mighty waters', made it as rocks, as it is written (Ps. lxxiv:13) 'Thou didst shatter the heads of the sea monsters in the waters', hast split it, as it is written (ibid)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 39 cf. Mekilta of Ism el tract. Besullach

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 26 to Ex. xii:37

"Thou didst break the sea in paices by Thy strength; made of it as a pane of glass and they were able to see each one the next, as it is said (II Sam. xxii:12) 'Gat ering of vaters, thick clouds of the skies;' (1) made of it for them a memorial, sweet water in the midst of salt wat r, as it is said (Ex. xv:8) 'The floods stood upright as a heap'; and those waters, as soon as they had subsided, congealed, as it is said, 'The deeps were congealed in the heart of the sea'" (2)

Not only were the waters of the Red See turned back but also the waters of the rest of the world. From whence do we gather that the water in the wells, and caves and springs and pitchers and barrels and glasses and flacks were also turned back? We read in the word 'And the waters were divided' in the plural not in the singular, i.e. all waters of the world were divided".(3) R. Nathan asked, "How do we know that the upper and lower waters were divided? It is written, (Fs. lxxvii:16) 'The vaters saw Thee and they were in pain'. These are the upper vaters. The depths also treabled'. These are the lower waters. And it also says (Habakkuk iii:10) 'The deep uttereth its voice and lifteth up its hands on nigh'. And when the waters receded so did all the waters of the earth do likewise." (4)

<sup>1-</sup> The מה"נ adds, "that is to say, there were divisions as clear as the heavens

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 48 and 49 to Ex. xiv:16 cf. Wekilta of Tsmael tract. Best mlach

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 50

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. Exodus tiv:21 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Beshallach

"Both the upper and lower waters covered the Egyptians. The very depths come up and covered the sky and made dark the lights for so it says (Ezek. \*xxii:8,9), 'All the bright lights of the heaven will I make black over thee and I shall place darkness upon thy land, says the Lord God. And I shall vex the hearts of many peoples when I shall bring thy destruction among the nations, unto the countries which thou hast not known.' And it says (Is. xiii:10) 'For the stars of the heavens and the constellations thereof shall not give their lights. The sun shall be darkened in his going forth, and the moon shall not cause her light to shine'. Why? 'For I will visit upon the world their cvil and upon the wicked their iniquity'. And it is written (Ezek. xxx:18), 'At Tehaph@es also the day shall be darkened when I shall break there the yokes of Egypt; and the pride of her power shall cease in her; as for her a cloud shall cover her, and her daughters shall go into captivity'". (1)

It is also said that "the depths covered them" (Ex. xiv:5). The Rabbis ask, "Were the any abysses there? Was it not a beach there? But this teaches us that the lower depths arose as well as the upper depths and began fighting with the Egyptians and inflicted upon them all kinds of punishments. Jonah only went lown to one depth as it is written (Jonah ii:4) 'For Thou didst cast me into the depth, into the heart of the seas'. And the Egyptians were cast to two depths, as it says 'They went down to the depths'". 2)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 62 to Ex. xv:5 cf. Kidresh of Tamael Tract. Shirata

<sup>2-</sup> Plural use here of Hebrev word "s. 62 cf. ". of Ismel-does not contain full passage.

In comment on the verse, "And He took off their chariot wheels", R. Judah says, "By the fire from above, the wheels below burned. But the vokes and the chariots kept on running ahead even in spite of the drivers for these were laden with vessels of silver and gold and with precious stones and pearls, and the Israelites were to get the spoil". The Israelites were to get the many spoils of the Egyptians, and even though the chariots were destroyed, God saw to it that they would get the precious contents.

The Egyptians were sorely afflicted while they waited to destroy Israel the evening before their destruction. "And there was a cloud and darkness. The coud was at Israel and the darkness about the Egyptians. One who is enveloped in darkness, can see what hap ens in the light. And since the Elyptians were enveloped in darkness they saw Israel, who were enveloped in light. Israel was eating and drinking and rejoicing. Egypt thereupon shot arrows and burning stowes at Israel but an angel and the cloud received them as it is said, (II Sam. xxii:3) 'The God who is my rock, in Him I take refige, my shield and my horn of salvation, my high tower and my refuge'. And it also says (ibid 1). 'The word of the Lord is tried. He is a shield unto all of them that take refuge in Hia'. So dark was it among the Egyptians that if an Egyptian stood up he was then un ble to sit down again, "if he were sitting he could not get up. If he were carrying so ething he couldn't get rid of his burden; if he were free of a burden he couldn't pick one up. And the dark was so thick it was touchable, as it says, (Ex. x:21) 'And darkness was felt'". (1)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 49 to Ex. xiv:20 cf. Mekilta of Ismael, tract. Beshallach

Egypt tried to flee (Ex. xiv:27) but war unable, "From whence do we know that wherever an Egypti n ran the vater would get there first? The verse days, 'And Egypt tried to flee'. This is to be lakened to a dove that flees before a howk and enters into the pallice of the king. The king opens the eastern widows and the dove goes out. The fark enters after it and the king locks all the windows and shoots arrows. So it was when the last Israelite came up out of the sea; the Egyptians went into it. The sea was closed upon them from the four sites in order to destroy them and the administering angels threw at them hail stones and brimsone, as it says (Ezek. xxxviii:22) 'and I will plead gainst him with pestilence and with blood; and I will cause to rain upon him hailstones, fire and brimstone'". Not only did God give them many punishments but the Rabbis say, "God made them as strong as youths so he could punish them even more". (1)

"When any and of wood burns there is no sound but when stubble burns there is a sound. So was it with the Egyptians. The noise wood because of the punishment which was brought upon them. Every wood that burns has substance to it but stubble has no substance to it. So it was with Egypt. They did not have any substance because of the great punishments that came upon them, as it is written (Is. xliii:17) 'They lie own together, they sall not rise, they are extinct. They are quenched as a wick'". (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 53 and 54 to Ex. xiv:27 lay on word of Ismael, tract Beshallach
2- Ms. 63 to Ex. xv:7 cf. Mekilts. of Ismael, tract. Shirata.

Not only did Israel take many t ings out of Egypt when they left but God saw to it that the spoil at the Red Sea was even greater. Our Midrash asks, "Why does Scripture praise the spoil at the sea over the spoils in Egypt? Because what was in the house they took from Egypt, and what was in the treasury they took at the sea. For it is the custom of kings when they engage in war to bring out all their silver and gold with them in order to subject others to them. (1) For so it says (Ps. lxviii:14), 'The vings of the dove are covered with silver and her pinions with the shimmer of gold'. 'The wings of the dove are covered with silver' refers to the spoils in Sgypt, 'and her pinions with shimmers of gold' refers to the spoils at the Red Sea. It is written (SS. 1:11), 'We will make thee circlets of gold'. This refers to t e spoil in Zayot; "With stude of silver". This refers to the shoils at the Red Sea. and leo (Tzek. xvi:7), 'And thou didst increase and gr w up'. This refers to the spoils in Egypt. 'and thou camest to excellent beauty'. This refers to the spoils at the Red Sec. The spoils at the Red Sea were more than two fold those in Egypt." (2)

So great was the soing out of Egynt that it eshoed over the whole world. In commenting upon the verse, "And Jethro the father-in-law of Moses heard" (Ex. xviii:1), Rubbi Gliezer said, "He heard about the dividing of the Red Sea and he came. For we find that on

<sup>1-</sup> So that the cartives will not rebel, seeing the great wealth.
2- 's. 25 and 26 to Ex. xii:36 cf. Mekilts of Ismael tract. Pisha.

the day the Red Sea was divided for Israe', it was heard from one end of the world to snother, as it is said, (Jish. v:1) 'And it came to pass when all the kings of the amonites who were on the other side of the Jordan and all the Cansanite kings who were at the sea heard that the Lord dried up the river Jordan so that Israel could pass over'". (1)

The going out of Egypt was a very great tong in the eyes of the Rabbis for it marked the beginning of the nationhood of Israel. The People of Israel were brought out so they wild be given the Torah with which they were to become a special people. It is therefore from this time on that Israel begins not only its physical freedom from conduct but also its advent into nationhood. The Rabbis therefore say that the verse, "The Lord brought Israel out of Egypt" tells us that the exodus from Egypt was equal in the eless of the Lord to all the miracles and greatnesses which the Lord wrought for the children of Israel". (2)

Mot only did God bring the forces of nature or inst Egypt but he also brought them to sid Israel in the going out of Egypt. "When Israel left Egypt 'seven clouds of slory went out with them to protect them'. During the day, 'a pillar of cloud, which was one", 'Thy clouds standeth over them' (Num. xiv:14) is two", 'and in a pillar of cloud thou guest but e them' is three" (ibid); 'And when the

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 85 of. Mekiltek of Damael , tract. Amalek

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 86 to Ex. xviii: 1 and Ms. 76 to Ex. xvi: 6 cf. Mechilta of Ismael M. Vayassa

cloud tarried' (ibid ix:19) is four; 'And when the cloud was taken up' (Ex. x1:36) is five; 'and when the cloud was not taken up' (Ex. x1:37) is six; 'For the cloud of the lord was upon the taternacle by day' (Ex. x1:33) is seven. Four on the four sides of them and one above and one below, and one went before them to prepare the way for them. It raised the low places and made lower the high places and made for them a plain, as it is said (Is. x1:4) 'Every valled shall be lifted and every countain and hill shall be made low; and the rugged shall be made level and the rough places a plain'. And it also says, (Is. xi:16) 'And there is all be a highway for the remnant of his people is that shall remain from assyrie, as there was for Israel in the day that she came up out of the land of Egypt'. This also teaches us that just as in the future all valleys will be raised and all countains and halls will be lowered so it was when Israel went up from Egypt." (1)

"The pillar of cloud did not move by way nor the pillar of fire by night". (Ex. xii:22) This proves that the pillar of cloud was still there, when the millar of fire shone forth, and while the pillar of fire was get there the pillar of cloud came forth. The lights of the sun did not annihilate the pillars of the cloud by day nor the light of the moon make vivid the pillar of fire at night".(2)

From the verse "to go by d y and ni "ht", the Rabbis deduce the fact that, "The journeying during the day was with the same comfort

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 40 to Ex. xiv:21 cf. M. Beshallach in the Mekilta of Ismael 2- Ms. 40 to Ex. xiv:21 cf. M. Peshallach in the Mekilta of Ismael

as their march at night. Just as during the day they didn't lack the light necessary for travel, so too at night. and just as at night the sun did not scorch them nor did they become beingry and thirsty, so too did it happen that during the day their journey was without hunger, thirst or the scorch of the sun." (1)

"In order to prevent them from entering the wilderness recklessly when Israel saw the waters in front of them and the enemy pursuing them, God sent wild beasts to keep them from running into the wilderness. For so it is said, 'And the wilderness closed them in' (Ex. giv:3). The word closing can mean nothing else but wild beasts as it is said, (Dan. vi:27), 'My God hath sent his angels and hath shut the lion's mouth.'" (2)

The Israelites became dishertened and came unto Moses asking him when will God save us, for we are afraid. The Israelites felt that they were unable to endure this and wait for redemption. "Moses then prayed to God and God caused the Israelites to see squadrons upon squadrons of ministering ngels stading before them, as it is said, "and when the servant of the mun of God was risen early and gone forth, benold, a host with horses and chariots were around the city. And his servant said to him, Alas, my master how shall we do? And the master answered, Fear not; for they are with us more than they are with them. And Elisha prayed and said, "Lord I pray thee onen his eyes," that he may see. And the Lord opened the eyes of the roung man and he saw, and behold, the mountain was full of horses and chariots of fire round

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 40

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 41 and 42 cf. Beshallach in the Mekilta of Tsmael

about Elisha'. (II kgs. vi:15-17) And so also at this time Moses prayed and God caused them to see scuadrons of minestering angels stinding before them. And thus it says, 'At the brightness before Him, there passed through His thick clouds hailstones and clouds of fire'. (Ps. xviii:13) His thick clouds as against their squadrons, hailstones as against their catapults; roals as against their arrows, fire as against their naptha. 'The Lord also thundered in the heavens' (ibid 14) as against the clashing of their shields and the noise of their transpling shoes. 'And the Most High gave forth His voice' (ibid) as against their whetting the swords. 'And He sent out His arrows and scattered them' (15) as ainst their arrows. 'And He shot forth lighnings and discomforted them', as against their shoutings. He confounded them and trought confusion among them. He took away their signals so they did not know what they were doing".(1)

The forty years wandering in the wilderness also occasioned many miracles. It was here that God gave Isr el the manna to eat; it was here that water gushed forth from the rock, and it was here that salt water became sweet. These miracles given in the Fible were added to end embellished by our Rabbis. New speculations accompanied the discussions of each of these. "We are told in the Fible, 'The people took their dough before it was leavened, their kneading troughs being bound up in their clothes upon their shoulders'. (Ex. xii:34) This was done because they didn't have a caravan to carry

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 46 and 47 to Ex. xiv:13 cf. M. of Isamel tractate Be-shellach.

the things. Later in the Bible it seems to say that the children of Israel were wealthy, but this teaches us that though they were able to carry only a little food yet a blessing entered there; upon and they ate of this unleavened bread for thirty-one days. This was as tasty to them as the manna." (1)

The Rabbis, too, delighted in showing the various kinds of tastes that the manna and water gave the Israelites. "Rabbi Joshua said Jethro was talking about the goodness of the manna when the verse says, 'And Jethro rejiced for all the goodness with the Lord had done to Israel'. (Ex. xviii:0) He said in the Manna that the Holy One Elessed be He gave to us we are able to taste bread, or meat, or fish or locusts, and all the sweet testing tings in the world as it is said, the best, that is, the best of everything that is good". (2)

"Rabbi Eliezer of Modium says that Jethro here means not the manna but the water of the well. He said, in the water that God gave us we tasted honey, milk, time, both old and new, and the taste of all the sweet things in the world for it is written, the best of the best, that is, all the best of everything that is good". (3)

The manna appeared to the person in any form or taste that he wished according to our Mekilta. "R. Joshu said, for him who wished it to be baked, it was baked; for him who wished it to be cooked, it

I-Ms. 24 cf. M. of Işmael to verse 39 which reads נס גדול נעשה להם המל שאכלו מסנה שלשים יום יוד שירד להם המן

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 37

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 87-88 cf. M. of Is. Tractate Amalek

was cooked; and R. Eliezer of Modium said, he who wished to taste in it a baked thing did, he who wished to taste in it a cooked thing also did. He could taste in it the teste of any baked food in the world". (1)

The Rabbis were very interested in showing that God caused water to come from the rocks, "And to make sure that there were no wells there He as ed loses to take the Elders of the people with Him": (2)

"The symbol of Moses' election was the staff of God. Moses said to God, with this staff Thou hast trought them from Egypt and caused them to pass over the Red Sea and given them the quails, and sent them manna and wrought for them many miracles and now in this hour Thou shalt also create many miracles and wonders for them". (3)

Not only is God manipotent, the all powerful creator of heaven and earth; the source from which all might and nower flows; but He is also all-knowing and an all vise God. The Rabbis of the Tahaitic age stressed t is fact and we have a number of such reminisc noes in our Takilta.

"God did not really need the blood of the lamb on the nouses of the children of Israel for everything is revealed before him and this blood is placed there for God alone to see but so others would not see it."(4)

To show the omniscience of God the Rabbis play beautifully on the words ριχπραπά μιχπρ used by God and Moses respectively. Says

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 78 to Ex. xvi:23 cf. M. Vayassa of Mekilta of Ismael

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 31 to Ix. xvii:5 of. Is. Tact. Yayassa

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 82 to Ex. xvii:9

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 14 to Ex. xii:13 of. M. of Is. to xii:7

Rabbi Simeon ben Jochai, (Ex. xii: 9) "Moses who did not know the hours and minutes and seconds of the night said (ex. xi:4), 'About midnight; but the Holy 'me Blessed be He who knows the exact hour, minutes and seconds of the night says And it shall come to pass at mignight." (1)

"Moses said to Israel (Ex. xii:12), And I shall pass over the land of Egypt on this night; but he did not fix the exact time of God's passing so that Israel might not sit and think evil thoughts, saying that the time has already once and we have not been redeemed. But as Moses said unto Pharoah, Thus saith the Lord, About Midnight. He said unto him, 'The thing is weighed when midnight will be reached.' Perhaps it will be a split second earlier or later; but the Holy One blessed be He said, and it small come to pass at midnight for He sitteth up n the hour stane and superintends the hour as a needle point for no kingdom shall touch its neighbor even a split second. (V. Berachot 48:b) But when the time of a kingdom comes to be destroyed, if it is in the day so it is done; and if in the night so it is done and so it is written, (Ezek. xxx:16) 'And in Noth stall come adversaries in the day time' and also (at 18) 'At Tehaphyenes also shall the day "ithdraw itself' and it also says, (Dan. v:30) 'In that night Bel shazzar the Chaldean king was slain'". (2)

God knows the migutest detail of every one's existence. Even

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 22 cf. M. to same verse M. Fischo of Mekilta of Ismael

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 22 to Ex. xii:29

clandestine love affairs and the committing of adultery in secret do not escape His gaze. "For the Egyptians wondered why 'we are all dead men' (Ex. xii:33) for according to the decree of Moses only the first born were to die. Yet many others who were not first born in the household died. "What they did not know", say the Rabbis was the fact that "all of them were steeped in adultery. One man would come upon ten wives not these would bear children and all these would be first born of the woman; not ten men would come into one woman and ten children would be born and these were all first born of the men". God knew all this and in their death exposed their adulterous parents. (1)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 24 cf. M. of I. Tract. Pischa

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 76 to Ex. xvi:12 cf. Is. Tract. Vayassa

God is the summation of all those moral perfections which man in his small way hopes some day to reach. God is so great, however, that man cannot approach Him. The Rabbis often accentuated the vast gulf that lies between God and man for His ways are not as man's. (1)

"R. Ishmael ben Johan n son of Brika said, come and see how different the ways of man re frem the ways of God. Man adds sweetness in order to cure the bitter but He who spoke and the world came into being does not so; He adds bitterness to cure the bitterness. How so? He puts a thing that is spoiled into something that has spoiled in order to perform a miracle. In like manner you must interpret (2 Kgs. ii:21), 'And He went forth with the spring of waters, and cast some salt therein and said, Thus saith the Lord, I have healed these waters; there shall not be from thence any more death or miscarrying'. And behold even good water if you put salt into it will taste bad. How so then? He outs a thing with a thing that spoils that spoils in order to perform a miracle therewith. And so must you interpret (Is. xxxviii; 21) 'And Isaiah said, Let them take a cake of figs and lay it for a plaster upon the boil and he shall recover'. Is it not true if you put figs upon raw flesh they will spoil? But He places a thing that spoils on something which has spoiled in order to perform a miracle therewith". (2)

"Who is like unto Thee glorious in holiness'. (xv:11) Thou art fair and mighty in holiness for the nature of Man is not as the nature

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 169 to Ex. iii:17

ללמדך שלא כסרת בשר ודם דרכי המקום

<sup>2-</sup> s. 73 to Tx. Av: 25 cf. Mekilta of Js. tract. " yassa

of God. Man is not able to say two words in one utterance but He who spoke and the world come into being is not so, for He spoke ten commandments in one utterance, as it is said (Ex. xx:1) 'And God spoke all these words saying'. Man is not able to listen to two people at once, but He who spoke and the world came into being is not so, for the whole universe comes and prays to him and He listens to their prayers as it is said, 'Thou that hearest prayer, unto Thee doth 11 flesh come'. (Fs. lxv:3) And also in this way see man and God different. man works for a m ster; he sows for him, cloughs for him, weeds for him and he receives a coin for his work. But He who spoke and the world come into being is not so. If the an de ires children, He gives them to him, as it is written (Fs. cxxvii:3) Behold children are a meritage of the ford'. If We desires wisdom, He gives it to num as it is written, 'Prov. ii:6' 'For the lord giveta wisdom. Df he desires riches, He gives them to him, as it s ys, (I Ch. xxix:12) . 'Riches and nonor code from Thee'. 'Ferrful in praises'not as arm, is Ted. For with man, He is more revered who is farther away from him: but with Him who spoke and the world was, it is not so. For He is revered by those who are near Him more than by those who are far from Him, as it says (Ps. 1:3) 'And round about Him it stormeth nightily'. And it says, (Ps. lxxxix:8) 'A God dreaded in t e Council of the Holy Ones and feared of .11 them that are round about Him'. and it says. (Job xxv:2) 'Dominion and fear are with Him'. And also (Lev. x:3) 'Through them that are ner Me I will be sanctified'. Not as the

manner of man is the manner of God in doing wonders. Man builds the lower stories first and then the upper stories. But He who spoke and the w rld ome into being is not so; builds the upper parts first and then the lower, as it is said, (Gen. 1:1) 'In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth'. And so is it when man makes a roof, he builds it with wood and stones and dirt. But He who spoke and the w rld came into being is not so. For when He makes a roof, he shapes it with water, as it is said, (Fs. civ:3) 'Who maketh of water a cover for his upper chambers.' Man is unable to form things from water alone but He by whose word the world came into being can, as it is said, (Gen. 1:20) 'And the Lord said, Let the waters swarm forth creeping things with life'. (1) Likewise man cannot make forms with derkness. But He by whose hand the world came into being can, as it is said, (Ps. exxxix:15) 'When I was made in secret and wrought in the lowest part of the earth'. Likewise when man vishes to create a form, he begins with the head or foot or another of its members. But He was spoke and the world came into being is not so, for when He forms a creature it is all formed at once, as it is written (Jer. x:15) 'For He creates all'. And also, (I Sam. ii:2) 'There is no rock like our God', that is, there is no creater like our God.(2) When man makes a form he .ust put (701989 all the colors into it. But the Holy One Blessed be He creates Man from one color; the pupil

<sup>1-</sup> Cf. Is. tractate Shirata

<sup>2-</sup> MS. eads 7,15 for 713

teeth he surrounds with red. Man us unable to create one from within another, while God creates man from the womb of his mother. Man makes an image and it cannot move from its place; but the Holy One Blessed Be He created man who on go from one end of the world to another. Man creates a form which can neither see, hear nor speck; but the Holy One Blessed be He created man and he sees, hears and speaks. Man creates an image but he cannot place therein a soul and insides; but the Holy One Blessed be He created man and gave him a soul and insides, as it is written concerning David (Ps. ciii:1) 'Bless the Lord O my soul; and all that is within me bless His Holy Mame'". (1)

Since, as we have seen, the Robbis believed that God was the Creator of he ven and earth, the all powerful and all knowing God, one might expect that He was unrelenting, avenging terror who made people worship Hill and who acted as a tyrant over them. We find just this kind of chief god among many primitive peoples, gods who need propitiation in order to look upon their peoples with favor. The Rabbis, however, felt that because God was so great and powerful He was able to allow His leniency and His mercy to temper His divine justice. We therefore find that God created this world with the attribute of mercy as well as that of Justice.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 66-67 Ex. xv:11

"The use of the word ? \* (God) reflects his attribute of marcy, as it says, 'God of my father'" (Ex. xv:2), to which the Rabbis add, "With me He used his attribute of mercy whereas with my fathers He used the attribute of justice. One use of ni? \* means the attribute of mercy, as it is written (Ps. xxii:2), 'My God, my God why hast thou forsaken me'. And also, (Num. xii:13) 'God please heal her', and also (Ps. cxviii:27) 'The Lord is God and has given us light'". (1)

Not only is God this way but he is also ( ... 0.055 793, the master of mercy. "For God said unto Moses, thou art a leader, for I do not have any agents nor armies, nor scraphin nor ophanim nor ministering angels nor chariots that I should send forth to take out My people, that you should say unto Me, send by the hand whom should say unto Me, send by the hand whom should send. I am He who has been called from the rising of the sun

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 61 to Ex. xv:2

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 103 to Ex. xx:2

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 103 to Ex. xx:1

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 105 to Ex. xx:5

unto its setting.' For according to strict justice you are worthy of annihilation, b t I am all-merciful, therefore it is blid, 'And God spoke to Moses and He said to him, 'I am a Lord'. (1) R. Judah says, 'And God spoke unto M ses' (Ex. vi:2); the Holy One Blessed be He said unto Moses, I am the master of the world, I am full of mercy, I am faithful to reward my children who have been enslaved by man and you say unto me, 'Send by the hand whom thou shall send'". (2)

In the foregoing picture of God, we have seen Him as the great and powerful. The wast God to whom it would seem uite difficult for man to reach. The psalaist is able to dicture t is God by saying the heavens declare the glory of God. It takes the wastness of the heavens to approach Him. God, however, according to Rabbinic theology, had another aspect, a God to whom each and every man was able to come and pray; a God who would listen to the woes and heartaches of puny man and answer him with a comforting reply; a God to whom man could go and pour out his soul, a God with whom man could commune. This type of God also appears in the Bible, and a most touching scene is given in the book of Smauel, when Hannah came before God to ask "in the bitterness of her soul" (I Samuel 1:10) to be blessed with a son.

"All souls are in the hand of Him by whose word the world came to seing as it is written; (Job. xii:10) 'In whose hand is the soul

<sup>1-.</sup>Ms. 3

<sup>2- .</sup>s. 4 to Ex. vi:2

of every living thing.' (1) Since this is so, all may came to Him for comfort for 'Thou art the melper and susporter of all those that came into the world'. (2) For God loveth all His creatures and Thou hast shown us mercy even though we had no good deeds, as it is said, 'I shall make mention of the love of the Lord' (Is. lxiii:7) and 'The love of God fills the earth.'" (Ps. cxix:44) (3)

Enroughout the history of Hebrew theology the possibility of approaching God has ever the up. We find that the Rabbis, too, felt that few can get near to God, physically, for when the Bible tells us that "Moses approached toward the thick darkness" the Rabbis ask, "Is it the inner or the outer darkness? The verse says, 'There whence God was'. From this we know that there are two walls of darkness and Moses walked between them until he reached the innermost darkness, as Solomon says, (I kgs. viii:12) 'the Lord math said that He would dwell in the thick darkness.' From this it is said all the prophets received their prophecy from behind the spectrum which is not lit'; but Moses our teacher received it from behind the one lit spectrum." (4)

"Not only does God dwell on kigh ar away from the reach of man but His dwelling places may also be found here on earth. The

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 57 to Ex. xv:12

<sup>2-</sup> is. 59 to Ex. xv:2 cf. Is. Tractat e Shirata

<sup>3-</sup> as. 68 to Ex. xv:13 cf. Is. Tractate Shirata

<sup>4-</sup> as. 114 to Ex. xx:21

verse, 'The place, O Lord, which inou hast made for thee to dwell in'(
Ex. xv:17) is one of the places which shows the throne on earth is
as the throne on high; as it says, (Is. lxvi:1) 'Thus saith the Lord,
The neaven is My throne and the earth My footstool'; likewise, (Ezek.
xliii:7) 'Son of man, this is the place of My throne, and the place
of the soles of My feet where I will dwell in the midst of the children of Israel forever'. It also says, (Ps. xI:4) 'The Lord is in
His Holy Temple, the Lord's throne is in heaven; Has eyes behold, His
eyelids try the children of men'; and also, (I Kgs. viii:13) 'I have
surely built thee a house of h bitation, a place for thee to dwell in
forever'". (1)

Once again God as a personal God is stressed by the Rabbis of the Mekiltz of Rabbi Simon when they show that God, too, has humility and wishes as n to be humble. The Great God was willing to talk to Moses from the midst of the lowliest of trees, the Sineh, when He could have spoken to Moses from the heights of the world or from the tall cedars of Lebandn. But no, says R. Eliezar ben mroch, "He humbled himself and spoke from the midst of the Sineh and so has Solomon said of Him, (Prov. xxix:23) 'But he that is of humble spirit small attain to honor'". (2) "And when God spoke to moses", says this same Rabbi, "He spoke to him in the manner of the earth, so that the despoilers of the world

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 69 to Ex. xv:17

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 2 to Ex. iii:2

would not say that since He is so great and the laster of the Universe, He dies things which disregard every sense of decency and morality." (1)

We see that the Rabbis too, had this double ficture of God, on the one hand the great, all powerful, all cognizant creator of the world and on the ther the personal, perciful and just God. This personal one was the relationship that God ad for Israel.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 2 to Exedus iii:14

## CHAPTER III

## GOD AND ISRAEL

"And I have taken you for a people and I shall be to you as a God". This verse from our holy scriptures epitomizes the relationship between the people of Israel and God, as the Rabbis of old saw it. Israel has been accepted by God as an especial people. It is Israel who is to carry God's revelation to men upon earth. It is they who by their very actions are to reflect the great moral and ethical precents that were given to mankind at the mountain of Sinai. Since Israel his been accepted by God as his people there are certain reciproc 1 relationships which have been entered into. The Rabbis of the Tannaitic age often stress these relationships and a great number of correlative relations have arisen. The Mechilta of Rabbi Simon be Jochai sets forth some of these which we shall examine.

Since God has acce ted Israel, He acts as a father or guardian to them, and the picture of the bird caring for its young is given, in a commentary to the verse "Upon the wings of eagles" (Ex. xix:4) "It is the manner of birds" says the Rabbis, "to rest their young between their feet for they fear birds stronger than they; but the eagle who has no fear of a stronger bird rests its young upon its shoulders for it fears the stones of the sling and the arrows which

men throw up at it; therefore it makes a partition between its young and man. So did God. He placed the inestering angels between Israel and Expt, as it is said. 'And the angel of God journeyed'". (Ex. xiv:19) (1)

God, toe, acts as the Father of the Israelites and He therefore holds Israel very dear to Him. Rabbi Simon ben Gamliel soid, "Come and see now dear Israel is to their father in heaven. And because of this endearment He changed the course of nature for them, that is He made the upper things lower and the lower things come from above. For from the beginning the bread came up from the earth, as it is said, (Bt. xxxiii:28) 'To a fand of form and wine'. And the dew came down from heaven, as it is said, (Dt. xxxiii:28) 'Even the heavens drip down dew'. Now have the things changed and bread came down from heaven, as it is said, (Ex. xvi:14) 'bread from the heavens', and down came up from the earth', as it is said, (Ex. xvi:14) 'And when the layer of down was gone up'."(2)

Not only does God look over Israel s a father over a son but,
"Israel is precious in God's sight and they are especially His." (3)
"Israel is treasured above all peoples and just as the treasure of
a man is dear to him, so is Israel dear to God". (4)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 94 Cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Bahodesh- gives in an entirely different form the sime idea.

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 75 to Ex. xx1:4 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Vayassa

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 95 to Ex. xix:5

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 95 to Ex. xix:5 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Behodesh.

"Because Israel is so dear unto God the Holy One Blessed be He watches over Israel as it is said, [Ps. cxxi:4) 'Behold the watches of Israel sleepest not nor slumbereth'". (1)

Rabbi Simon said, that because of Israel's preciousness in the sight of God He gave them bread each day. "To what may this be compared?" asked the Rabbi. "To an earthly king who became angry at his son and said, 'Do not see my face but at the beginning of the year you shall come and receive your sustenance'. The son was sustained all the year and he feared lest he see the face of his father and then he would not be sustained. "owever when he became endeared again, the king s id, 'Due every day and take your sustenance'. The son said, 'If I have nothing else but the sight of the king ach day that is enough'. So Israel; because of their preciousness; sustenance is given every day so that they may atone and receive the Divine Presence every day". (2)

Our Rabbis have said that "God has proclaimed Israel of special distinction and Israel has proclaimed God of special distinction, as it is said, (Dt. xxvi:18) "And the Lord has avouched thee this day"; and Israel has proclaimed Him of special distinction as it is said, (Dt. xxvi:17) 'Thom hast avouched the Lord this day'. Israel said, (Ex. xvi:11) 'Who is like thee among the mighty O God'. And the Spirit of God answered, (Dt. xxxiii:29)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 27 to Ex. xii:42 R. Eliezer

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 75 to Ex. xvi:4

'Happy art thou 0 Isr el; who is like thee'. Israel has said,

(Dt. vi:4) 'Hear 0 Isr el the Lord is our God, the Lord is One'.

And the Spirit of God has answered, (I Chron. xvii:21) 'who is as thy people Israel, one nation in the earth'. Israel has said,

(S. of S. ii:3) 'As an apple tree mong the trees of the forest,

so is my loved one among the sons'. And the Spirit of the Lord has answered and s.id, (S. of S. ii:2) 'As rose mong the thorns, so is my loved one among the daughters'. Israel has said, (Ex. xv:2)

'This is my God and I will glorify Him'. and the Spirit of God has onswered, (Is. xl\*xii:3) 'Israel in whom I shall become great'. (1)

Israel is especially to be saved from all peoples even though God saves others. "And this salvation was and shall be in the future as well, as it is said, (Is. xlv:17) 'O Israel thou art saved by the Lord with an evel sting salvation'". (2)

The idea of a partnership of God and Isr el is cuite evident

from many of the passages in the mekilth of R. Simon. "It was God

Himself who led Israel from out of Egypt, for the word can means

leading, as it is said, (Fs. lxxvii:21) (3) 'Thou didst lend thy

prople like a flock'." "God always dwells with Israel, be they in

a good state or a sorrowful one. This care of Israel by God is also

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 60 to Ex. xtv2 cf. Mekilta of Isa el tract. Shirata

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 60 to Ex. xv:2

<sup>3- 6</sup>f. Mexilta to Ismael tract. Beshalath

seen in our Midrash. "For all who harm Israel harm Him by whose word the world come into being, and so did R. Joshua say, Come and see how merciful and gracious is the Holy One Blessed be He over Israel. Israel went down to Egypt and the Divine presence went with them, as it is said, (Gen. xlvi:4) 'I sh ll go down with you to Egypt'. They went up fro: Egypt and the Divine Presence went up, as it is said, (ibid) 'and I sh 11 also go up'. They went down to the sea and the Divine Presence also accompenied them, as it is said, (Ex. xiv:19) 'And the angel of God journeyed'. They came to the wilderness and the Divine Presence was with them, as it is said, (Dt. 1:31) 'and in the wilderness, where thou hast sean how that the Lord thy God bore thee'. When Israel is in distress so does God dwell in distress, as it is written, (Ex. iii:2) 'In the midst of a tree', when they dwell in omfort so God dwells with them in comfort, as it is written ("s. cvi:5) 'that I may behold the prosperity of thy chosen, that I may rejoice in the gladness of thy nation, that I may glory with thy inheritance "(1) R. Joshua said, "Why did God sceak to Loses through a tree from the hei hts of heaven? Because at the time that Isr al is in trouble it is as if God were in trouble as it says, (Is. lxiii:9) 'in all their troubles is He troubled' the same as ours". (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 1-2 to Ex. iii:1 repeated again Ms. 60 to Ex. xv:2

<sup>2-</sup> s. l to x. iii:l cf. ekilta of Işmael tract. Pinha to
Ex. xii:4l ויהי בעצם היום הזה יצאו כל צכאות ה' מארץ מצרים
סלמד שאף צבאות סקום היו עם ישראל בצער שנאמר (יש.ם'נ:ם)
בכל צרתם לו צעי

R. Nehemia said, "And God spoke with Moses. The Holy One Blessed be He said to Moses, It is revealed and known to me the oppression of my children in Egypt as it is said, (Ex. ii:25) 'and God saw the Children of Israel and God knew'. My children dwelt in distress and ye will dwell in comfort and I seek to take them out from Egypt and yet ye say, 'send by the hand of whom Thou will send'". (1)

R. Eliezer of Modium said, "God called it 'D' for every time
Israel dwells with a Miracle it is as though the miracle were before
Him; if they dwell in trouble it is as though trouble were before
Mim; if they dwell in joy it is as though joy were before Him; for
so it says, (I Sam. ii:1) 'for I rejoiced in thy salvation'". (2)

God realized the greatness of the sufferings of Israel. "For the slavery of Israel in Egypt was greater than all the slavery in the world before God, for it says, (Ex. iii:7) 'I surely see'; (2) the word "see" is written twice, for after Israel drowned the Egyptians in the sea they returned and subdued them in the houses. This is as a person who took a stick and hit two people; both of them received the lash of the stick. So was the oppression and slavery of Israel known and revealed before God, for it so states, 'For I know his pains'. (4)

<sup>1- .</sup>s. 4 to Ex. vi:2

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 84 to Ex. xvii:15 f. Makilts of Işamel truct. Amalek

ראה ראיתי -3

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 168 tolax. iii:7

God protects Israel from all these sufferings. R. Judah says commenting on the verse "'And the angel of God journe, ed', (Ex. xiv:19) This is like a man who is walking with his son in the wilderness. When robbers come to capture him, he takes the son and places him behind himse f. A volf comes to take him from be ind, he licas up his son before him. If robbers c me before him and a wolf comes behind him, he places his son upon his shoulders, as it is said [Dt. 1:31] 'And in the wilderness where ye say that the Lord thy God lifted thee up'. If the son begins to tire, he takes him in his arms, as it is said, (Hosen xi:3) 'And I, I taight Ephraim to walk, taking them by their arms; and they knew not that I he led them'. If he begins to be oppressed by the sun, he spreads his loak ver him, as it is said (Ps. cv:39) 'Te sprend a cloud for a screen'. If the son is hungry, no gets him something to est, as it is sid, (Ex. xvi:4) 'Behold I will cause it to rain bread from heaven or jou'. If he be ones t irsty, he gets water for him, as it is said (Fs. lxxviii:16) "le brought streams out of the rock'." This is, of course, a cict re of the deeds that God rought for Isr el. (1)

So, too, did God make sure that He took care of each and every Israelite when the children of Israel left Egypt, for there are three types of passing over given in our section of the book of

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 49 to Ex. xiv:19 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tractate Beshallach

Exodus. "From whence do we gather", askthe Rabbis, "that if a place held only Israelites, the passing over was accomplished for the whole house at once? For it says 'who passed over the houses of the children of Israel'. If Egyptians and Israelites lived however in the same place, the passing was over the door, as it says (Ex. xii:13) 'And God passed over the doors'. There an Egyptian and an Israelite slept in the same bed, the passing was over the Israelite, as it says (Ex. xii:13) 'and I passed over you.' 'And so does it say, (Is. xxxi:5) 'As birds hover, so does the Lord of hosts prote t Jer saleum'; protects, redeems, passes over and rescues". (1)

In protecting Isr.el God appeared to them in the form that
He thought would aid their cause in the best possible manner. "He
appeared to them as a hopem n, as it says, (Ps. xviii:11) 'And He
rose upon a Cheruo and aid fly'; He appeared to them with coat of
mail and helmet, as it is said, (Is. lix:17) 'And He put on
righteousness as a coat of mail and a helmet of salvation upon His
head'. He also appeared to them as a man of war girdled with a
sword, as it is said, (Ps. xlv:4) 'Gird Thy sword upon Thy thigh
O Mighty One'. He appeared to them with a bow as it is said,
(Hab. iii:9) 'Thy bow is made cuite base.' He appeared tith a
lance and shield, as it is said, (Ps. xxxv:2) 'Take hold of shield
and buckler'. He appeared to them with lance, as it is said, (Hab.

<sup>1-</sup> Mst. 21,22 to Exodus xii:27

ever does not need all these attributes for it says, 'The Lord is a man of war, the Lord is his name'. With his name he fights. Then why do the verses of Scripture at east ease various implements of war? They do so for if Israel needs any one of these, he provides it for them. At the Red Sea God appeared to them as a outh in war, as it says, 'The Lord is man of war, the Lord is his name'. At Sinai He a peared as an old man, as it says, (Dan. vii:9) 'I beheld till thrones were placed and one that was ancient of days did sit." His raigent was as white as snow and the heir of his head like pure vool'". (1)

This partnership of Israel, this feeling on the part of God that He would protect Israel, laced upon Israel certain obligations. These obligations were the fulfillment of the commandments and the will of God, for if Israel aid not live up to its part of the bargain then God would not continue to protect Israel. "If ye do according to My commandments and My Torch, and so it is written, (Lev. xx:26) 'And I shell divide you from the peoples to be Mine'. When you are separate from the peoples ye are Mine and if not ye are Nebechudnezzar's and his cohorts". (2)

Our Rabbis also say in considering the verse, (Ex. xv:6) "'Thy right hand O, Lord has dashed in pieces the enemy'. Come and see

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 61 to Ex. xv:3 cf. Mekilt of Isaael tractate Shirata where it is quoted only in part.

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 95 to Ex. xix:5

when Israel doeth the will of God, He makes the left hand right as it says, 'Thy right hand 0 God, thy right hand, 0 God; and when they do not do His will He makes the right hand left as it says (Lam. ii:5) 'He has drawn back His right hand from before the enemy'. When Israel doeth the will of the Creator there is no anger before Him, as it says, (Is. xxvii:4) 'I have no anger'; but if Israel does not do Mis will there is anger unto Him against those that hate Mim, as it says, (Dt. xi:17) 'And the anger of the Lord be kindled'. When Israel doeth the will of God, He fights for them, as it is said, (Ex. xiv:14) 'The Lord shall fight for you'. But when they do not do the will of God Ke f ghts against them, as it is said, (Is. lxiii:10) 'And He was turned to be their enemy and fought against them'. and even the all merciful becomes merciless. as it says, (Lam. ii:5) 'The Lord has become as an enemy'. When Israel does the will of God there is no sleeping before Him as it says, (Ps. cxxi:4) 'Behold He that watches Israel sleepeth not nor sluabereth'; but when they do not do His will there is sleep in front of Him as it says, (Ps. lxxviii:65) 'Then the Lord awakend as one out of sleep'; and so it says, (Job xvii:2) 'An does not my eye sleep in their provecation?'; as it were there is sleep before Him in the time when Ismeel is oppressed and the rest of the nations of the world are in comfort". (1)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 63 to Ex. xv:6 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Shirata

Rabbi Eliezer said "Did the hand of Moses make Israel strong or did it break the power of the Amalexites? Neither, for when Israel does the will of God and believes in that which God entrusted to Moses, God does for them miracles and wonders. And so we must interpret (Ex. xii:7) 'And they took from the blood and placed it on the two doorposts'. Was the blood a delight to the angel or to the door ost? No, for whenever Israel does the will of God and believes in whatever Moses was entrusted with, the Lord takes compassion upon them. And so you must interpret, (Num. xxi:8) 'And the Lord said unto Moses, Make thee a fiery serpent'. And did the serpent kill and restore to life? No. Whenever Israel does the vill of the Creator and believes in what Moses entrusted to them they are healed".

and so, too, do we see that if the Israelites hearkened unto the ways of God they were mided and if they did not, then they received their punishment. This reciprocal relationship was truly a mutual one; for God did not aid Israel ust because He liked them but because Israel was willing to do those things that God had asked them to do. It is said, "In three places the Holy One Blessed be He varned Israel that they should not return to Egypt. Here (Ex. xiv:13) For the Egyptian whom we have seen them ye shall never see them no more; and also, (Dt. xvii:16) And God s id to you, Thou shalt not continue to return in this way any more, and it

says, (Dt. xxviii:68) 'On the way I said to you thou shalt not any more see it'. Three times they returned and three times they stumbled". (1)

Since the lexilta deals with the book of exodus it is natural that man illustrations surround the exidus from Egypt and various happenings which took place while the Israelites wandered toward the promised land. God showed his care for Israel in many instances during this period in Israel's history, and wherever the Rabbis were able they stressed this care with numerous illustrations. In considering how many of the Lewish people went out of Israel, Rabbi Mehorai suid "By Jove", Not even one out of five hundred came out, as it says (Ezek. xvi:7) 'I cause thee to increase even as the growth of the field'. And when did these die? They died during the three days of dirkness when Egypt dwelt in darkness, so that Israel could bury their dead without being seen by those that hated them and those who were their enemies so that they might rejoice in Israel's punishment". (2)

God in caring for Israel will also destroy all the enemies of Israel. Rabbi Meir said, "When the Holy One Blessed be He revealed Himself to the Egyptians at the sea He was revealed to them in the form of nine hundred thousand angels of destruction.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 47 There is a fuller accounting in the sealta of Ismael tractate Beshallach-"The first time was in the days of Sennacher;" as it is said 'Woe to them that go down to Egypt for help' 'Is. xxx:

1) The second time was in the days of Johanan ben Kareah as it is said 'Then it shall come to pass that the sword, which ye fear, shall overtake you there in the land of Egypt'. (Jer. xlii:16) The third time was in the days of Trajan".

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 38 to Ex. xiii:18 cf. ekilta of Ismael tract. Beshallach

Some of them were angels of agitation and frightening angels; angels of hail; others fiery angels; and a great feur grassed those that saw them. The angels said to Mim, O Master of the Universe, Let us go and we will do Thy will against Egypt. He said to them, My mind is at unrest until I myself punish those who hate Israel. Therefore it is said, 'And made them to drive heavily'". (1)

Before God finally accepted Israel God tested Israel. He gave them the Torah and wrought for them miracles and wonders, to test them and to make them great among the nations. "As it says, (II Kgs. xxv:27) 'Evil Merodach did lift up the head of Jeholachim aing of Judeh from prison'. And also did He test them to make them great in the commandments, as it is said, (Zech. ix:16) 'For they shall be as the stones of a crown glittering over His land'. And also, (Ps. 1x:6) 'Thou hast given a banner to them that fear thee to the this partnership with God Israel was elected to fulfill a special place in the history of the nations of the world.

<sup>1-</sup> Mss. 52, 53 to Ex. xiv:25

## CHAPTER IV

## ISRAEL

Israel and God. This relationship would naturally tend toward the election of Israel as a people set apart from the rest of the world. The Rabbis however never felt that Israel was the best of the peoples, a people who were beyond reproach, a people selected and whose selection barred all other peoples from achieving greatness or salvation. The Talmud says that the heathen who practices the Law is to be considered even as the high priest.(1) This statement on the part of the Rabbis shows the universal outlook of Jewish theology. The Jew was entrusted with the Torah, oral and written, and it was his duty to practice all the commandments he found therein. If he were willing to do this them he would receive his place in the sun, and his eternal salvation; if, however, he refused, then he would be destroyed and another nation would come to take his place.

Israel was selected before the world was created, for God knew that the Israelites would proclaim Him King and would accept the yoke of His kingdom. Israel was therefore made a (Ex. X/X:6)

<sup>1-</sup> Talmud Babla Tractate Sanhedrin : .

"kingdom of priests and a holy nation". This holiness, however, did not exclude other peoples from being holy. The prophet Amos says, "Behold are ye not as the children of the Ethiopians to me, O children of Israel? saidh the Lord. Have I not brought up Israel out of the land of Egypt, and the Philistims from Caphter, and Aram from Kir?" (Amos ix:7) God is the God of all the nations; but He is especially the God of the Israelites.

Reasons for the selection of the people of Israel are apparent in the Mechilta of Rabbi Simon ben Yochai. Rabbi Eliezar of Modiim says, "The Holy One Blessed be He said to Moses; Do you urge the concerning My sons and the works of My hands? Do I need to be urged? Have they not already been designated to be before Me from the time of the six days of creation? As it says (Jer. xxxi:35) "If the heavens above can be measured and the foundations of the earth searched out beneath, then will I also cast off the seed of Israel". (1)

God in creating the world also created the twelve springs from which the twelve tribes were to drink and the seventy palm trees under which the seventy elders were to judge the people of Israel, says this same Rabbi Elieser of Modim. (2)

"The Lord will reign forever and ever", said the people of Israel at the Red Sea. (Bx. xv:18) This proclamation of God's

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 48 to Ex. xiv:15 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Vakehi Beshalach, Where the version differs a little.

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 74 to Ex. xv:27 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Vayassa

sovereignty was largely responsible for their election as the chosen people. "Not only for the miracles which thou hast performed for me (Israel) will I utter song and praise before Thee, but for the miracles which Thou hast performed for my fathers and for those in the future which thou shalt do for me, as it is written, 'My father's God, I will exalt Him'". (1) This exaltation was proclaimed at the Red Sea and acknowledged God as the God of the Israelites. Israel in accepting God showed their faith in Him even before He performed miracles for them. "Because of this faith that Israel had in God while they were yet in Egypt I dried up the Red Sea. For they did not say to Moses, 'Are we going out to this wilderness of waste, which has nothing in it, and there is no life in our hands on the way?' Thus seith the Lord, 'I have remembered for your good the love of thy youth, the love of thy bridal days; when ye went after me in the wilderness, in a land which was not sown'. (Jer. 11:2) The reward that they received for this was that Israel became holy unto God, 'the first of his produce'". (ibid 3) (2)

Not only did Israel accept God and therefore expect election, but they also listened attentively to the commandments of God. God told them to take out the bones of Joseph and we are told, (Joshua xxiv:32) 'The bones that the people of Israel brought up from the land of Egypt they buried in Shecem'. This tells us that all of

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 61 to Ex. xv:2 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Shirata

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 48 to Ex. xiv:15

Israel had expected to bring the bones of Joseph up from Egypt, but when they saw Moses engaged in the work they said they must give the honor to the great one rather than to the lesser ones. (1)

The Israelites had so much faith in God that they did everything Moses told them to do. "This shows the praiseworthiness of Israel, for they did not say to Moses, 'How can we turn back?' for fear that they might break the hearts of the children that were with them.

They believed and followed Moses. (2) Israel did everything that
Moses asked of them and did not transgress his command". (3)

"For surely," say the Rabbis, "if the people of Israel believed in Moses then how much more so did they believe in Him, by Whose word the world came into being? This teaches us that he who believes in the pastor of Israel believes in God. And so must you interpret the following verse, (Num. xxi:5) "And the people spoke against God and against Moses". If they spoke against God how much more so against Moses? This teaches us that all who speak against the leader of Israel speak also against Him who spoke and the world was."

"Israel gladly accepted the yoke of the kingdom of God at Mount Sinai and after gourneying to the mount they encamped there. 1511

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 40 to Ex. xiii:19

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 42 to Ex. xiv:4 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Beshalach

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 77 to Ex. xvi:17 cf. Mekilta of Imael tract. Vayassa

It is written, 'And the children of Israel journeyed, and the children of Israel encamped'. (pl. 115'1) This shows that they journeyed with dissension and encamped with dissension. But when they came to Sinai to accept the yoke of God's kingdom it says, 'And it encamped there' (s. 15'1) to show that all the people were of one intention, that all loved each other and wished to receive the Torah". (1) "All the people answered together that they would do what God had spoken. They did not answer with hypocrasy nor did they take council with one another". (2)

Israel was willing to accept the Borah and to whow their willingness where other peoples had refused. "They entered a covenant with God, which stipulated three things: circumcision, ritual bathing, and sacrifices. Of circumcision it is written, (Ex. xii:48) 'But no uncircumcised person shall eat thereof'.

Moses, our teacher, circumcised them in Egypt in order that they might eat of the Passover feast. And so it says, (Erek. xvi:6)

'And when I passed by thee and saw thee wallowing in thy blood,
I said unto thee, In thy blood live; yea, I said unto thee, In thy blood live'. These two types of blood are the blood of circumcision and the blood of the Passover feast. Concerning the sacrifices it is written, (Ex. xxiv:5) 'And Be sent forth the youth of Israel who offered burnt offerings for the sake of all of Israel'. And con-

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 94 to Ex. xix:2 cf. Nekilta of Ismael tract. Bahodeh 2- Ms. 86 to Ex. xix:8 cf. Nekilta of Ismael tract Bahodesh.

cerning ritual immersion it is written, (Ex. xix:10) 'And let them wash their grements', It only says that they were required to wash, their garments; from whence do we know that they were also required to immerse? In cases where they are not required to wash their garments; they are required to undergo the ritual of immersion. Therefore in those cases where they are required to wash their garments, it is logical that they are required fulfill the ritual of immersion. (1)

"In accepting the Torah the children of Israel were required to give something as a pledge that they would live up to it. They immediately came forth with their children. And God said that they were acceptable pledges, as it is said, (Ps. viii:3) 'Out of the mouths of babes and sucklings hast thou found strength'. And it is also said, (Hos. iv:6) 'Seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I also will forget thy children'". (2)

"Israel walked in light while the other people walked in darkness, for the children of Israel busied themselves with the Torah.

Among the Egyptians a 'man could not see his brother, nor could he
rise from his place. The Egyptian who was standing was unable to
sit; if he were sitting he was unable to get up; he who was bowed
down could not straighten himself out; and he who was straight
could not bown down. As it is written, (Ex. x:23) 'And a man

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 97 to Ex. xix:10

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 100 to Ex. xix:17

could not rise from his place'. Rabbi Isaac said, 'Woe to the nations of the world for they are judged according to their works'. And it is said, (Is. xxix:15) 'Woe unto them that seek deep to hide their counsel from the Lord', and their works are in the dark'. Therefore are they smitten in darkness, as it says, (Ps. xxxv:6) 'Let their way be dark and slippery'. But Israel, what is it written concerning them? 'And to all the children of Israel there was light in their habitations'; for they busied themselves in the Law and commandments, as it is said concerning them, (Prov. vi:23) 'For the commandment is a lamp and the teaching is light'". (1)

The people of Israel are a holy people, and this holiness was a reason for their selection. "Isi the son of Judah said, 'Every time that the Holy One Blessed be He adds a commandment to Israel, he also adds holiness to them.' (2) Rabbi Meir said, 'God spoke unto Moses saying, For one man I made the waters dry up' as it is written, (Gen. 1:9) 'And God said, Let the waters be gathered from under the heavens to one place and let dry land be seen'. Shall I not then, for this holy congregation, as it is said, (Ps. xvi:3) 'Es for the holy that are in the earth', shall I not dry up the sea for them?" (3)

This holiness of Israel was also the basis of their great glory.

The Rabbis, in showing the glory given to Israel, say that all of

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 173 to Ex. x:23

<sup>2-</sup> Me. 153 to Ex. xxii:30 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Kaspa

<sup>3-</sup> Me. 47 to Ex. xiv:15

Israel's great sufferings were not really as great as one might think. When Israel was enshaved to a country, that country was the greatest in the world, for it is not as shameful to be slaves to a great country as it is to be enslaved by a small and insignificant one. We are also acquainted with the philosophy of Isaiah in which he says that the other mations of the world are but the

'DN DDW , the staff of vengeance of God, and that God uses these nations to chastise Israel when she does evil in Mis sight. The Rebbis have also said that Egypt's work was finished after the people of Israel had left her land. (1) "Pharoah ruled from one end of the world to the other and had governors from one end of the world to the other because of the glory of Israel. About him it is written, (Psalm ev:20) 'The King sent and loosed him; even the ruler of peoples set him free'". (2)

"There was no people or kingdom that enslaved Israel which had not ruled from one end of the world to the other", say our Rabbis. This was done "for the glory of Israel. Concerning the king of Assyria it is written, (Is. x:14) 'And my hand has found as a nest the riches of the peoples and as one gathereth forsaken eggs, have I gathered all the peoples of the earth'. Concerning the kingdom of Abylon, it is written, (Jer. xxvii:8) 'And it shall come to pass that the nation and the kingdom which will not serve the same

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 43 to Ex. xiv:5

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 43 to Ex. xiv:5 of. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Beshallach

Nebuchadnessar, king of Babylon, that nation will I visit, saith the Lord, with the sword, and with famine, and with pestilence until I have consumed them by his hand. Concerning the kingdom of the Medes it is written, (Dan. vi:26) 'Then King Darius wrote unto all the peoples, nations, and languages that evell in all the earth, Peace be multiplied unto you'. And, (Ester x:1) 'The king Ahasuerus laid a tribute upon the land, and upon the isless of the sea'. Concerning the kingdom of the Greeks, it is written, (Dan. vii:6) 'The beast had also four heads; and dominion was given to it". Concerning the fourth kingdom it is written, (Dan. vii:23) 'And shall devour the whole earth, and shall tread it down and break it in pieces'. Therefore we learn that there was no kingdom or people that ruled them who did not rule from one end of the earth to the ether because of the glory of Israel. It is therefore said, 'And they (Egyptians) said, What is this that we have done?'" (1)

Although the people of Israel recognised that "Ila" was the God of the world, He who created heavens and earth; He who ruled all the peoples and looked after them as a father looks after his children; and although this philosophy is again iterated in the various prophets (Amos-Jonsh-etc.); yet they felt that God was Sed of the whole world but particularly Sed of Israel. "For even though Thou art a saviour for the rest of the world, Thou art a savior to me

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 43 to Ex. xiv:5 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Beshallach

(Israel) especially. (1) Thou art the song of all the people of the world but to me (Israel) in particular. For behold, the peoples of the world have blessed and praised Him by Whose word the world came into being, but my praise is best before Him, as it is written, (II Sam. \*\*EXX:1) 'And sweet are the songs of Israel'". (2)

The Mekilta says, "Even though the whole world belongs to Thee, You have no other people but Israel, as it is written, (Is. xliii:21) 'The people which I formed for Myself'. (3) And Scripture further says, 'The people that Thou hast possessed'. (Ex. xv:16) Therefore since the Torah is also called a possession, (Prov. viii:22) 'The Lord possessed me in the beginning of His wny'; the land of Israel is also called a possession, (Gen. xiv:22) 'Possessors of Heaven and earth'; And the Beth Hamidrash is also called possession, (Ps. lxxviii:54) 'And he brought them to the mountain which His right hand has pessessed'. Let Israel that is called possession come to the land which is called a possession, and build the Temple which is called possession by the grace of the Torah which is called possession, there is it said a people whom thou hast possessed". (4)

When Israel gebelled against God, the Rabbis were not ashamed to record it. Ofttimes in the Midrash we have very bold statements,

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 58 to Ex. xv:1 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Shirate

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 59 to Ex. xv:2 I have thus translated the verse for the needs of the Midrash; the J.P.S. version is "sweet singer of Israel" זועים וסירות ישראל

<sup>3-</sup>Ms. 68 to Ex. xv:13 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Shirata

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 69 to Ex. xv:16 cf. Mekilta of Iqual tract. Shirata Where the order differs although the idea is the same.

reality show a piety that as unsurpassed. When the Rabbis feel that God has done a possible injustice they will emphasize it only to show their great love for and belief in Him. "Rabbi Eliesar of Modifie (MARINANT Masses, and not statement Masses, and not statement Masses, and not statement Masses, and not statement Masses, statement by ready proper them companies, said, The Israelites were in the habit of complaining but also against the Alaighty. In this sense it says, 'What shall we drink?' (1)

As an outward sign of this selection of Israel they were given three crowns: "The crown of the Torah, Priesthood and the kingdom. Concerning the Torah it is written, 'And Thou shalt keep My covenant.' Concerning the priesthood and the kingdom it is written, 'And ye shall be to Me as a kingdom of priests'. Rabbi Nathan said the crown of a good name was above all of them, (i.e. the good name of Israel)." (2)

This election of Israel lifts them above the other peoples of the earth, and raise them on high. "Just as the eagle goes up from the earth to the heavens in a short while, and just as their ascent is not in the accustomed way, so is their descent not in an accustomed way.

And so is it said, (Hosea vii:12) 'Even as they go I will spread my heat upon them; I will bring them down as the fowls of heaven; I will chestise them as their congregation has been made to bear'". (3)

A very beautiful and important note is struck by our Rabbis in the Midrash; a note which has been the rallying cry of the Jewish people

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 72 to Ex. xv:24 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Vayassa. This is repeated to Ex. xvi:2 by the same authority, the verse, "And all the congregation of Israel murmured". Ms. 74 tf. Mekilta of Ismaels Tract. Vayassa to the same verse.

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 96 to Ex. xix:6 cf. Pirke Aboth iv:13

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 95 to Ex. xix:4

for many years; a note which has enabled the Jewish people to bear all the burdens of persecution and pogrom, of captivity and dispersion. Always has the idea that, "All Israel are brethren" been with us and it is stated in our Midrash in the following way. "The word teaches that they (Israel) are as one body and soul and so it says, (I Chron. xvii:21) 'And who is like thy people Israel, a nation one in the earth'. If one of them sin, all are punished, as it says, (Josh. xxii:20) 'Did not Achen the son of Zerah commit a trespass concerning the devoted thing, and wrath fell upon all the congregation of Israel'. One man did not die for his sin, but one man was smitten and all of them felt it. And so it says, (Jer. 1217) 'Israel is a scattered sheep'. Just as when one of the limbs of an ewe is harmed, all of her body feels it, so is Israel: when one is mmitten, all of Israel feels it". (1) This idea is ever true among the Jewish people; for when one community of Jews were pillaged and plundered another community, no matter how far away, always came to rescue them and take them in, so that they might live again as Jews. (2)

Nothing can make the name of God greater than Israel's acceptance of His will for when Israel does the will of her Creator, "His hame is made great in all the world; as it is said, (Josh. ii:10) 'For we have heard how God dried up the waters of the Red Sea from before you, when you went out of the land of Egypt; and what you did

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 95 to Ex. xix:6 cf. Mekilta of Iquael tract. Bahodesh.

<sup>2-</sup> See chapter on Zecuth for discussion of situations where each dev suffers for and has zecuth from every other.

melted; and there did not arise the spirit in any man because of you, for the Lord your God, He is God in the heavens above and on the earth bemeath. 'But when Israel does not do the will of God, what is written? (Ezek. xxxvi:20) 'And when they came unto the nations whither they came, they profaned My Hely name, in that men said of them, 'These are the people of the Lord and are gone forth out of His land.'" (1)

Just as the people of Israel were elected as a distinct mation, so, too, was the land upon which they were to dwell a distinct land. The Rabbis have seid that the Bible was begun with the creation of the world so that all peoples might know that the land belongs to God and He may give any parcel of the globe to any people He so desires. The rest of the nations of the world may not then say that Israel are robbers, for they have stolen away the land of the other nations. Our Mekilta recognises that the land of Israel originally belonged to other peoples for in commenting upon the verse, "To the land of Canaan" (Ex. xiii:ll) they say "Tas it the land of Canaan? Was it not the land of the five peoples? This teaches us that Canaan was the father of them all and so it says, (Gen. x:15)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 61 to Ex. xv:2

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 35

And once again it says, to the verse, "And it shall come to pass when ye come into the land; this is the land of the seven peoples which I shall give unto thee; this is the land of the three peoples". (1)

"This land of Israel shall be given to the people as a present from God; it shall not be in thy eyes as an inheritance from thy fathers but as though God gave it unto thee (Israel) now", say our Rabbis. (2)

This land which the Lord, God premised Abraham he would give unto his descendants was to be a beautiful land; a land which no other would surpass. Throughout the literature of the Rabbis we find references to the choiceness of the land of Israel as well as to the election of the people of Israel. The land of Israel has ever been described as " a land flowing with milk and honey". (Ex. xiii:5) Rabbi Eliezer says of this verse, "This is the milk of the fruits and the honey of the palm trees". Rabbi Akiba said, "This is actual milk and so it is written, (Joel iv:18) 'And it shall come to pass in that day that the puntains shall drop down sweet wine and the hills shall flow with milk'. The honey is the honey of the forest, as it is written, (I Sam. xiv:26) 'And when the people were come unto the forest; behold a flow of honey'. (3) Just as it says, 'Here a land flowing with milk and honey' (Ex. xiii:5) and there it says, (Dt.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 21 to Ex. x11:25

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 35 to Ex. xiii:11

<sup>3-</sup> Me. 32

xxvi:9) 'A land flowing with milk and honey', just as it there means the land of the five peoples so does it mean here the land of the five peoples". (1)

The Israelites had to enter the land when it was as beautiful as God had promised their forefathers it would be and sowour Mekilta says, "When the Camaanites heard that Israel was about to enter the land, they arose and burnt the seeds, cut down the trees, destroyed the buildings, and stopped up the wells. The Holy One Blessed be He then said, I promised their fathers that I would not bring them to a desolate land but to houses full of all good things! (Dt. vi:ll)

Therefore will I detain them in the wilderness until the Camaanites will arise and fix what they have destroyed. (2) Said Rabbi Elieser, It is the goodness of the land that Scripture speaks when it says that Jethro rejoiced for all the goodness that God does for Israel". (3)

"This land is to be the highest in the world", say our Rabbis,

"A land good and wise; a land which makes the heart of man glow. And
so it says, (Jer. iii:19) 'And I shall give thee a pleasant land, the
goodliest heritage'. Just as the deer is swiftest of all the animals,
so all the fruits of the land of Israel will bloom the fastest of the
fruits of any other land". (4)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 32

<sup>2-</sup> Ex. xiii:17 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Beshallach

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 88 to Ex. xviii:29 cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tract. Amalek

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 168 to Ex. 111:8

"So good is this land, that every other land needs aids;

Egypt waters her land from the Nile; Babylon from the Tigris and

Euphrates; but the land of Israel does not need any aid. For they

sleep upon their beds and God sends them down rain. This teaches

that God's ways are not as man's, for man gets slaves in order to

have them sustain the man; but He, by Whose word the world came into

being, acquires servants and He feeds and sustains them". (1)

and thought is to flow. It is truly the land that Isaiah speaks of when he says, (Is. ii:3) "For out of Zion shall go forth the Law and the word of God from Jerusalem". It is in this land that the months of the year and the calendar are to be reckoned. "From whence do we know this?" ask the Rabbis. "The Bible says, 'And thou shalt keep this ordinance in its season'; and the verse, 'And it shall be when come into the land', follows this immediately. From this do we learn that you do not fix the months and calculate the leap years anywhere outside the land of Israel but in the cities of Judah. For the Divine Presence is in its midst, as it is said, (Dt. xii:5) 'Even unto this habitation ye shall seek'". (2)

Not only is this land a land of goodness and choiceness, but also it is a land from which prophecy smanates. "For all lands were

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 169 to Ex. 111:17

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 35 to Ex. xiii:10

proper for prophecy before Israel became holy; but as soon as the land of Israel became hely, then the visions came to the prophets only at the waters, as it is said, (Ezek. i:3) 'The word of the Lord came unto Ezekiel, son of Buzi, in the land of the Chaldeans by the river Chebar'. And it says, (Dan. viii:2) 'And I was by the stream Ulai' (Dan. x:4) 'And I was by the side of the great river, which is the Tigris'. And so also Jonah; He did not speak to him except by the water". (1)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 5 to Ex. xii:1

## CHAPTER V

## TORAH

There is hardly a concept in Judaism that is more complex, more inclusive and at times more exclusive than Torah. The Torah consists, according to the Rabbis, at times of but the Five Books of Moses, at other times of the whole written Law and at still other times the Oral Law. Torah is also used to designate the sum total of revelation without special regard to any particular element in it.

It has been considered one of the things which was predestined even before creation. (1) According to the neo-Platonic view, which finds expression in both Genesis Rabbah and Genesis Tanchumah the Torah was the effectuating and motivating force in Creation as well as the pattern from which this world was created. And the world itself was created for those who upneld the Torah.

Throughout the history of Rabbinic Judaism we find that the Torah, according to many of the Rabbis, was even hypostabized, that is, given almost a personality of its own. The Torah was the link between Divinity and Humanity for we read in many of our Midrashim that on the day of the Revelation, the earth was wedded to the heavens, that is, Torah as the bride was wedded to Israel. (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Gen. Rabbah 1:1

<sup>2-</sup> Pesikta Rabati 98 a and b; Exodus Rabbah xxviii:1

The giving of the Torah made such an impression upon the world in general that both heaven and earth gave ear to its revelation. This latter idea reflects the universalistic aspect of the Sinaitic revelation and for this reason we are told the Torah was not given in the land of Israel for if it had been given in that land Israel could have laid claim to the Torah as its very own. (1)

In accepting the Torah, Israel made peace between God and his world for without the Torah man could not exist. This Torah which Israel accepted was a full gift, a complete revelation and in accordance with our Rabbis everything which aids the religious, ethical, moral or ceremonial life of the Jew is a part of the Torah. (2)

Israel received the yoke of God's kingdom when we was willing to accept the Torah. In the Torah was to be found everyting that would make this kingdom of God a perfect kingdom, for God, according to the Rabbis, manifests himself in all minutiae of life. And for this reason there is a totality in Je ish life, a totality which not only includes the religious and ethical doctrines but also the ways of life which man is to follow in his every day existence.

According to our Rabbis, the physical freedom of the Jewish people instituted at their departure from Egypt could not be complete until they were spiritually free as well. This spiritual freedom had its genesis at Sinai when the Law was given to the people of Israel.

<sup>1-</sup>Mekilta de Ishmael 62 a

<sup>2-</sup> Sifre 79

In reading through the Mekilta of Rabbi Simon ben Yochai the above-mentioned theological views of most of our Midrashim are similarly discernible. Our Torah, according to the Mekilta of Simon, is eternal. It has existed since the beginnings of existence. Our Rabbis say that, "Because the Lord descended upon it in fire' (Ex. xix:18) teaches that the Torah was compared to fire. Just as fire exists forever so does our Torah exist forever." (1)

There are two attitudes toward the Torah which may be perceived in many of the lidrashim: the Torah is universalistic and the Torah is nationalistic. Every nation had the opportunity of accepting the Torah. Our Mekilta says, "The Holy One Blessed be He asked each of the peoples of the world to accept his Torah but they refused it. He then offered it to Israel."(1) Our 'ekilta further states "In the view of the world was the Torah given to Israel, for if it were given in the land of Israel the children of Israel could say 'it is ours' and if it were given in another place, the inhabitants of that place could say 'it is ours'. Therefore are we told it was given in view of the world so that all who wished to learn its ways might come and partake." (3)

"Rabbi Jose used to say 'God did not speak to the children of

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 100; of Mekilta Ishmael tractate Bahodesh

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 104 to verse "I am the Lord thy God" Ex. xx:2

<sup>3-</sup> Manuscript 93 to verse "And they encamped in the wilderness" Ex. xix:2; cf Mekilta de Ishmael tractate Bahodesh to the same verse.

Israel in secret nor did he speak to them in a dark place' (Isaiah xlv:19) 'when God save the Torah to Israel he did not give it in a secret place nor in a dark place; that is, God aid not say to the seed of Jacob the Torah is thine; God did not hide it but placed the reward within it.'" (1)

We read in Exodus xvi:4 "In order to test them whether they will walk in My Torah or not". The Rabbis ask, whose test is it and they answer, "It is a test for Israel and all of the peoples of the world, for all who fill their hearts so that they may serve God will find sufficiency for all their needs." (2)

The Rabbis to soler as to say that even if Israel had not wanted to accept the Torah, God gave them no alternative. This thought is minted at in the following quotation from our Mekilta, "God placed the mountains over their heads as a roof and said 'If ye receive the Torah it is well but if not, here shill be thy graves.' At that moment all of Israel moved and cried and poured out their hearts as water in penitence and exclaimed 'All that the Lord has spoken we will do and we will hearken' (Ex. xxiv:7). The Holy One Blessed be He then said 'I wish a security.' Israel offered the heavens and the earth as vouchers for them but God refused saying that these phenomena of nature were such too busy. Israel then offered their

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 93 to the verse "They came to the wilderness of Sinai" Txodus xix:1

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 75

children. God willingly accepted." (1)

The Torah was so liked up with Jewish life that its transgressin by Israel led to the destruction of Jerusalem. This viewpoint is expressed anonymously in the story of a man who met Rabbi Jose the son of Rabbi Halaphta and quoted Micah 1:5 "Because of the sin of Jacob is all this."

This monomous author continues the conversation with Robbi
Jose by saing, "Blessed be God who has given you the Torah that ye
should busy yourselves with it and trust in it and forsake a 1 the
vanities of the world." Rabbi Jose answers him, "I said to him, May
it be Thy will that our zeal shall not come up upon the heart of
others nor the zeal of others come upon our nearts." (2)

The Torch is so importent for the continuation of Judaism that it is considered by our Rabbis as the elan vital or Jewry. We recite every may "For they are our life and the length of our days." This same attitude is continually brought out by the Mekilta. "Our aggadists have said that, God taught him the words of the Torah which are compared to a tree, as it says (Proverbs 3:18) "It is a tree of life to those who take hold of it." (3)

"The Holy One Blessed be He said unto Moses, The words of the

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 100 to the verse "And they stood at the bottom of the mountain" Ex. xix:17

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 98 to Exodus xix:14

<sup>3-</sup> Manuscript 73 to the werse "And he cried unto God and the Lord showed him a tree." Ex. xv:25

Torah that I gave unto you are life and are healing as it is said (Proverbs 4:22) 'For they are life into those that find them and health to all their flesh.'" (1)

The verse "And Analek came" hints at and explains the verse in Job (viii:11) "Can the rush grow up without mare, can the reed grow without water?" According to the words of Rabti Josiah and Rabbi liezer Hisma, "Just as the rush cannot exist without mire and the reed without water so is it impossible for Israel to separate herself from the Torah. When Israel separates herself from the Torah she brings about issension so that an enemy may one up upon her. For only because of sin and transgression does an enemy come up." (2)

It is evident from the above quotation that whenever Is selforcook the law she laid herself open to attacks from vithout. The Torah was her protector and guide. "The agradists said 'Rephaim' means but weakness of hands because Israel weaknesd in living up to the law. Therefore an enemy came upon them and an enemy comes only as a result of sin and transgressin." To prove their point the Rebbis quote (II harmicles xii:1) "And it came to pass when Reheboam had established the kingdom and had strengthened himself, he forsook the law of the Lord, and all Israel with him" and there follows (it id 2) "and it came to pass that in the fifth year Shishak, King of Egypt,

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 74 to the verse "The Lord will heal thee" Ex. xv:26
2- Manuscript 81 to Ex. xvii:8 cf. Mexilta de Ishmael tractate Amalek

came up against Jerusalem because they had transgressed against the Lord." (1)

The Torah, according to the Neo-Platonists, is synonymous with the Logos of Philo. It is the Divine "isdom which, "was set up from everlasting, from the beginning before the earth was. When there were no depths it was brought forth. When there were no fountains abounding with water. Before the mount ins were settled, before the hills, was it brought forth." (Proverbs 8:23 ff). As Divine Wisdom it diffused Wisdom to all who were willing to possess it. "Just as a small fire lights a larger one or a large one may combine with a smaller one, so too will one greatly learned in the Law both give and receive from one who is not as learned." (2)

Those who are willing to tusy themselves with the study of the Torah, according to Rabbi Eleazer of Mediim, "Shall judge Israel at all times for so is it written. (Judges v:10) 'These that ride on white asses'. These are the learned scholars who go from city to city, from province to province, and busy t emselves in the law. The Bible continues, 'who sit on carpets,' that is, those who sit and judge honestly." (3)

The Mekilta of Rabbi Simon in discussing the verse, "And Moses

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 82 to Ex. xvii:8 cf. Ishmael tractate Amalek

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 100 to Exocus xix:18

<sup>3-</sup> Manuscript 90 to Exedus xviii:23

brought Israel from the Red Sea" (Ex. xv:22) tells us that the words of the Torah were compared to water for it says, (Isaiah lv:1) "Behold, all who are thirsty, let them come to the waters. Since they were separated from the Torah three days Israel rebelled." (1)

The Torah brings with it goodness, prosperity and reward, whereas the lack of Torah brings in its wake destruction and punishment. The giving of the Torah is itself a reward, according to the Rabbis, if the people of Israel will but hearken to it. "Since He said unto them the giving of the reward is in obeying it. From whence do we know that if Israel does not hearken unto the Law God said into her sie would receive all sorts of punishments? We read in Exodus xxiv:4 'And Moses came and he told to the people all the words of God and all the Laws.'" (2)

Many attributes that were given to God by the Bible have been interpreted by our Rabbis as the Torah. "The vords 'my strength' only mean Torah, as it is written (Psalm 29:11) 'The Lord will give strength to his people' a i it is likewise written (Psalm 99:4) 'The King's strength also loveth judgment'". (3)

The Torah was given by God to every an be he mature or not.

The Mekilta of Reb Simon emph sizes this view in the commentary to Ex.(xx:10), "'Thou and thy son and daughter'. One might say

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 72 Cf. Ishmael tractate Shirata

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 96 to Ex. xix:9

<sup>3-</sup> Manuscript 59 to Ex. xv:2; of. Ishmael tractate Shirata

that this refers to the mature sons and daughters, but by the use of 'thou', those who have reached their majority are included and the latter part of the verse refers, therefore, to the minor sons a d daughters.' (1)

Those who have ever felt that there existed among the ancient Jews an aristocracy of learning which because of its carning enjoyed leisure and the benefits of the community without working for them, are greatly distance. Throughout Vidrashic literature the very famous thought that "if there is no meet there is no Torsh and if there is no Torsh there is no meet there is no Torsh and if there is no Torsh there is no meet is ever perceptable. Ethics of the Fathers, Ch. 3:21 ). This idea is liter ed in our Midrash as well. Robbi Joshue says, "If a man but studies two "slekot in the morning and two in the evening and works all day it is as though the alfills the whole Torsh." (2)

Rabbi Eleazer says, "Onl, to those who have manna to eat is it given to study the Torah. How is it gossible for a man to sit in his atudy when he coes not knew where his food and drink will come from nor where he can get his clothes and covering? Therefore only to those who have manna is it given to study the Torah." (3)

<sup>1-</sup> Januscript 108

<sup>2-</sup> Manuscript 75 to Ex. xvi:4

<sup>3-</sup> Manuscript 75 to Ex. xvi:4 This quotation is given in the name of Rubbi Eleszer in our ekulta but in the Mekilta de Ishmael, tractate Vayassa, the ide tical reading is given under the name of Rabbi Simon ben "ochoi.

Rabbi Ele ver ben azariah says, ""ora is a great thing, for the Divine Presence of God did not dwell upon Israel until they persued some work, as it says, (Ex. xxv:3) 'And they shall make a tabernacle for the and I shall dwell therein.'" (1)

The Torch permettes all of the line of nim who studies it.

He who has tasted of it willnes to embrace it even more. The

story is told of Rabbi Jose ben Rabbi Halafta that he once met

a man who asked him from where he came. Rabbi Jose said that he

came from among a people of a large city which boasted many wise

men and scribes. The man was much impressed and asked Rabbi Jose

to remain in his city. The man was even tilling to sustain him and

grant him gifts of gold and silver. Rabbi Jose answered that for

all the money in the world he would not remain in this strange city

for man turns into dust and all his material possessions terish with

him. But that which remains at his death are the words of the Torah. (2)

The Rabbis, however, tell us that we should be careful not to try to grasp more than we are able. This thought is brought out by the following analogy. "Just as if one comes too near fire he is burnt but if he keeps too far away from it he is cold. So is it with the Torah. If man comes too near it he is consumed but if he remains too far away he is cold. We should therefore study the Torah so we may become whem from the light of the vise men but should be cautious in

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 107 to Exodus xx:9

<sup>2-</sup> Lanuscript 98 to Exodus xix:14

the study." (1)

The Rabbis also speculated on the form of the Ten Commandments. The picture which is sost familiar to us, five commandments written on each half of a double tablet was also the Rabbis' conception. They asked them elves why the commandments were arranged in the particular order that they were. "The command 'Thou shalt not kill' was placed opposite the command 'I am the Lord' for he who collits murder is the same as he who mainishes from the Divine Likeness. Opposite 'Thou shalt not com it adultery' was the com and 'There shall be no other gods before Me'. This te ches that he who simuits adultery is equal in the eyes of Tod to him who worships i ols. And so it says (Jeremiah iii:9) 'And it came to mass through the lightness of her harlotry that she defiled the land'. 'Thou shalt not steal' was written opposite 'Thou shalt not take the hane of the Lord thy God in vain' for all the steal will in the end stear falsely. 'Thou shalt not bear false vitness' is written opposite 'Reme ber the Sabuath day' for God said, so to speak, if you bear felse witness against your neighbor it is as tough you have denied that I created the world in six days and rested on the seventh way. 'Thou shalt not covet' was placed opposite 'Honor thy fat er and mother' for he who covets his nightfoor's wife, a son shall be born of her who will honor him who is not his father, but curse is father. (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 100 to Ex. xix:18 cf. Ishmael tractate Bahodesh where a much more incomplete version is given.

<sup>2-</sup> Mekilta of Ishmael reads "He will have a son"

"A man night say hat he is not guilty until he transgresses every command. Lest we think this, Scripture teaches 'Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet.' This teaches that each of them must not be transgressed. He who disobeys one of them vill in the end disobey all. From whence do we know that if a an transgresses the command 'Thou shalt not kill' he vill in the end commit adultery? It is written, (Troverbs 1:10) 'My son, if sinners entice thee, consent thou not'. How do we know that if one commits adultery he will in the end steal? It is written, (Psalm 50:18) 'When thou hast seen a talef then thou concented with him and hast been partaker with adulterers.' How do we know that he who steals will finally swear falsely? It is written (Proverbs 29:24) 'He who is partner with a thief hotest his own soul. He hears cursing and says nothing." (1)

The Torah itself is an entity, a totality. The Rabbis are able to read into the text of the Torah all the above-mentioned things for they have first est blished the principles that nothing release or succeeds anyt inglese. The Tekilta of Rabbi Si on ben Yo hai brings as illustration to this principle the following. "In Exodus xv:9, the verse 'The enemy said' is the beginning of the section. But a new there is a before or after in the Torah it is permissible. And so you must interpret (Leviticus ix:1) 'And it came to pass on the eighth day

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 113 to Ex. xx:17; cf. Ishmael tractate Bahodesh

<sup>1-</sup> Manuscript 64 cf. Ishmael tractate Shireta

## CHAPTER VI

## ZECUTH

There is in Judaism a conception called "Zecuth" hich may be translated approximately as "merit" or "grate". Throughout the midrashim, there appear certain men, the merits of whose righteousness accrue to the good of Israel. There is also a complementary conception, that of Israel suffering for the sins of others.

According to Dr. Shechter (1), there are three types of men fro, whom Israel may receive Zecuth. Firstly there is the Lecuth from a pious encestor; secondly the Zecuth that Israel receives from a contemporary; and thirdly the Zecuth which Israel gets in advince from a pious posterity.

We find in pseudo-Monathan to Ex. xviii: 9) that the Zecuth of the Fathers and Mothers is invoked in times of stress. The Zecuth of the Fathers appears most often throughout the Midrashim. One of the things predestined before creation was the Fathers. (2)

It is most interesting to note that the greatest and most worthy among Israel were never thought of as dieties, for the

<sup>1-</sup> Some Aspects of Robbinic Theology, Ch. xii

<sup>2-</sup> Genesis Rabba 1:4 and Firke de Rabbi Eliezer. 3

Rabbis always took caution to prevent this, lest the people fall into the habit of worshipping these reat men. The Rabbis therefore say that even The Fathers were not declared holy until they had died. (1)

Israel received such because of the Zecuth of The Fathers, for through it she was brought out of Egypt. It was necessary for soses to a peal to God in the name of the Zecuth of the Fathere after the episode of the Golden Calf, for not until he did, was his proper heard. (2)

A man may transfer his Zecuth to his son, and the Zecuth of an ancestor won for an individual a great deal of respect from the community. The Toland relates that when Rubbon Gamiliel and Eliezer ben Azariah contended for the leadership of the Academy many wished to bestow it up in Eliezer ben Azariah, being influenced by the fact that he had the Zecuth of coming from Ezra. (3)

The Rabbis, however, did not wish to place too much emphasis on the louth of the fathers and as a result of this, there are many discussions found throughout Midrashic literature concerning the time when the Zecuth terminated. (4)

<sup>1-</sup> Widrash Te illim to Pselms xvi:2

<sup>2-</sup> Exodus Rabba xliv:1

<sup>3-</sup> Talmud Babli, tractate Berochot 27 a

<sup>4-</sup> D.G. Talaud Babli, Sabbath 55a

The early Israelites felt that both the sins and the good deeds of a man carried over to his descent its. Therefore, a man would receive either merit or punishment for his ancestors' good deeds or sins. This attitude is expressed in the decalogue, "For I the Lord thy God and a jealous God, visiting the iniquities of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generations of them that hate me and showing lovin kindness unto the thousandth generation of those that love me and seep my a mandments." (Rx. xx:5)

With the destruction of the Temple and the exile of the Jewish people to Babylonia, the philosophy of corporate reson-sibility underwent a change, and indicidual responsibility for one's own cts cook its place. If the old responsibility had been still applicable, the Jews in B bylonia would have a id that they could not go back to Palestine to build up the land spain, for they were suffering for the sins of their fithers. Ezekiel answers, "If the Pithers est sour grides till the mildren's teeth be ut on edge?" (1)

Our mabbis also try to amiliate the reflection of the merit or the sins of the fathers upon their descendents. In place of that they express the belief that the coverat with the Fawhers is everlasting and independent of Isreel's actions, so that this coverant replaces, in many last aces, the Zecuth. A hint of this idea is

<sup>1-</sup> Ez. xviii:2

given in the mexilta of Rabbi Simon, in which it tays, "The covenant of the Fathers and the Zecuth of the children." (1)

The Zecuth not holds a less important lace in the doctrines of Judaism. It is useless inless it is accompanied by good deeds on the part of the person who wishes to reap its benefits. (2)

Dr. Schechter says, "What this Zecuth served mostly to establish was the consciousness of the historic continuity and to increase the reverence for the past thich has thus become both foundation and inspiration." (3)

The Mexilta of R bbi Simon senses the difficulty of reconciling these two seeming contradictions. It duotes the
decalogue, "Visiting the iniquities of the fathers on the children" and asks the mestion, "How, then, is this verse, 'The
father shall not beer the iniquity of the son and the son shall
not beer the initiaty of the father'. (Ix. xviii:19) to be accepted? If the fathers are righteous, they shall not beer the
iniquity; if they are not righteousness, they shall bear the
initiatiy, and likewise for the sons." (4)

The Mexilta of R bbi Simon points out that there were no sins committed by the Fithers. Robbi Gliezer of Modium says,

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 94

<sup>2-</sup> See Leviticus Rabba xxxvi:6 and Exodus Sabba 1:36

<sup>3-</sup> Some Aspects of Rabbinic Judaism, p. 184

<sup>4-</sup> s. 106

"The Bible states this only because of the patriarchs, when it says, and they turned toward the vilderness' ("x. xvi:10). Just as the vilderness contains no sin or uniquity so also was there no sin or iniquity in the Fathers." (1)

Rabbi Banai said, "'For the grace which Abra an has before me, I have rent the sea and have clove the wood for the burnt offering'. (Gen. xxii:3). As it is said, 'And the waters clave'".

(x. xiv:21) (2)

Rabbi Ariba said, "By the grace of Jacob I have rent the sea before them, as it is said, (Gen. xxviii:14) 'Ind thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth and thou shall barst the sea.'" (3) Rabbi Eliszer of no lim said at the to the merit of the Fathers, God said into Moses, "Pehold, I shall cause rain to come down." (4) Rabbi Meir's id, "Behold it says of braham, (Gen. xviii:8) 'And he stood with them under the tree and they ste.' For that reason did the Moly One Blessed be He cause the seven cloubs of glory to precede them." (5)

Rabbi John ben Korcha and, "The Holy one Blessed be He said to Modes, Isr el wis unvortag to receive the manna in the wilderness. For the should have gone hungry, thirsty and naked.

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 76 cf. Mekilta of Is. tractate Vayessa

<sup>2- 1</sup>s. 48 to Ex. xiv:15

<sup>3-</sup> J. F. S. and King James version of this verse is, "and s rend abroad to the west." מה ופרצה ימה Ms. 48 to Ex. xiv:15

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 75 to Ex. xvi: 4 cf. exilta Is tract. Vavessa thich hat a better reading,

<sup>5-</sup> Ms. 41 to Ex. xiii:22

But what could I do? I gave t them the reward of Abraham My beloved who ministered to the angels, as we are told, (Gen. xviii:8) and he stood by them under the tree and they ate... (1)

The Zecuth of the Falters aided the Israelites many times on their murch from Egypt to the Fromised Land. Our rabbis say that all which was said to the "H use of Jacob" (Ex. xix:5) was because of the merits of Jacob; and 11 which was told to "Israel" (\_bid), was due to the merit of Israel. (2) The reason that the path given to Israel was fulfilled was, "Due to the merit of the Pothers." (3)

tween God and the Fathers. When, "'Moses vent up unto God', he scended in a cloud and descended in a cloud. Both the covenant of the Fathers and the serit of the children see ded and descended with him". (4) The deeds of the fathers helped Moses further in the time of his own effliction. When malek fought with Israel at Re hedia, God told oses to hold up his hands. While his hands remained up, Israel prevailed. But wen his hands came down, Israel began to lose. Rubbi Eliezer of Lodina said, "The sin land heavily upon the hands of Moses and he ould not been it. What then did no do? He turned to the deeds of the Rathers, as it is said,

<sup>1-</sup>Ms. 5 to Ex. vi:2

<sup>2- .</sup>s. 94 to Ex. xix:3

<sup>3- ... 32</sup> to Ex. xiii:5 of. Ms. 35 to Ex. xiii:11

<sup>4-</sup> Lis. 94 to Ex. xix:3

'And they took a stone and put it under him.' (Ex. xvii:12)
This refers to the deeds of the Fathers. 'And he set thereon'.
This refers to the deeds of the Mothers.' 'And Aaron and Hur supported his n nds.' For what reason does Scripture state that one stood on either side of him? I is is only to teach us that Aaron would make mention of the deeds of Levi and Hur would make mention of the deeds of Judah." (1)

Before Israel fought Analek at Rephedim, Moses, Aaron and Hur went up to the top of a hill. Rabbi liezer of Modium says, "The verse 'Tomorrow I will stand on the top of the hill' (Ex. xvii:9) refers to a fast which was declared by the Israelites so that they might be ready. 'The top' refers to the deeds of the Patri cas; 'the hill' refers to the deeds of the Mothers." (2)

God took the people of Israel out of the land of Egypt in the month of Abib. "In a contact that is so rect in that is, not warm nor cool. And so it says, (Ps. lxviii:7) "God maketh the solitary to dwell in a house; he bringeth out the risoners into prosperity", ringle that is, with correct deeds. These refer to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. And others say they refer to Moses, Aaron and Miriam." (3)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 83 to Ex. xvii:12; cf. Mekilta of Ismael Tractate Amalek where the deeds of the tribes are reversed, i.e. "Aaron would make mention of the deeds of Jush and Hur would make mention of the deeds of Levi." It would seem that the Simon version is more correct for Aaron case from the Tribe of Levi.

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 82 to Ex. xvii:9 of Mekilta of Ishael tract. Analek where a more complete and better reading is given, "Rabbi Eliezer of Modiim says, Tomorr w we shall declare a fast and be ready, relying upon the deeds of the patriarchs."

Moses received a Zecuth from his father. "The wise men say that the Holy One Blessed be He said to Mo. es, The Zecath of Amran the father sta ds by ou so that ou will do a great thing in Isruel. When Eggot enslaved Isruel with an overburdening slavery and decreed that all the youths should be arouned in the sea, the Israelites said, If one a rries and has children the Exyptians till arown them in the sea; thy, then, shall we wary ourselves for neaght? Aarea, however, performed a great deed in Israel. After his wife was prement for three months he divorced her and then he remarried her, us it says, (Ex. ii:1) 'And t ere went a man of the nouse of Levi no took to wife a durater of Levi'. The addinistering ngels blessed them as bride and groom for it says, ('s. cxiii:?) 'The lother of children rejoiced.' The lyptions then counted line wonths but she gave birth ofter six wonths. Why is it necessary to state that Amran took a wife? Only because the Fible ti hes to tell us the merit of Amram the righteous". 1)

Not only does Israe receive merit from a my of its great characters but she in yelso receive divine grace to ough the Torah, the tribes, circulcision (which was a covenant between God and Abraham) and other things. "Fectuse of the Merit of the Torah, 'Thou hast gided them in strength'; (Ex. xv:13) as it a ye, (Fe. xxix:11) 'The Lord will give attentit auto his cople'.(2) Others say to this

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 3

<sup>2- &</sup>quot;Strength" is Torch

is due to the merit of the Kingdom of the House of David, as it is said, (Ps. xxi:2) 'Oh, Lord, in Thy strength the King rejoices.'" (1)

The Rabbis ask, "What caused Israel to escape from the Red Sea, a wall of sea coming up on the right hand and the left? Because of the merit of the Torah which Israel would receive in the future with the right hand and because of the merit of the Tephilin which they would use on their left hand." was the answer of the Rabbis. (2)

Rabbi Eliezer ben Yenuda of Bartota said, "By the Zecuth of the Tribes has God rent the sea for Israel, as it is written, (Gen. xxxix:12) 'And he left his garment and fled outside.' What does it say then? (Ps. cxiv:3) 'The sea saw it and fled'". (3)

An anonymous Tanna adds, "Because of the merit of the Temple which will be built in the future, as it is written (Ex. xv:13)
'To Thy noly habitation', and also (Ps. lxxix:7) 'And laid waste His habitation', God has 'guided them in strength to His holy habitation.'" (4)

Simon the Temanite said, "By the grace of circumcision has God rent the sea for Israel, as it says, (Jer. xxxiii:25) 'If my coven nt be not with thee day and light'. What is the cov-

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 68 to Ex. xv:13 cf. Mexilta of Isaael Tract. Sairata

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 54 to Ex. xiv:29 cf. Wexilte of Ismael tract. Besalach

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 48 to Ex. xiv:15

<sup>4-</sup> s. 68 to Ex. xv:13; cf. Mexilta of Ismael Tract. Shirata

enant that extends through d y and might? Is it not circumcision? What does it s y? (Fs. exxxvi:13) 'To divide the Red Sea into pieces.' Read not, 'in pieces' pinto but 'with decrees.'

(וורים " (1)

Rabbi Tehemiah Sald, "From thence do to know that if a man performs of ellitzvan it with, the Spirit of God rests upon him? For, so we find with our f there, that, as the reward for faith, they were worthy to make the Divine Presence rests upon them." (\*\*) Our Mabbis say, "Great is f ith before Wim by Whose word the world came into being; for the reward of their telief is the resting of the Divine Presence upon them. For so we re told, (Ex. xiv:31) "And they believed in God and in Moses His servent." (2)

There is also the require aspect of the conception of Zecuth. He who does not do what is required of him will receive his due deserts. The idea which seems to run throughout the Mexilta of Rabbi Simon is, "In the measure that man does, so is it meted out to him." (3)

If a man is willing to observe the communatents then he will remp his reward. Rubbi Meir said, "When the tribes came to the Red Sea, each one was eager to plunge into it first. In the con-

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 55 to Ex. xiv:31; cf. Mexilta of Ismael tract. Resalach

<sup>2-</sup> ibid

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 40 to Ex. xiii:21; Ms. 52 to Ex. xiv:25 and others, cf. Mex. Ita de Ishmael tract. Besaluch to same verses.

fusion, Benjamin jumped in first, as it is said, (Ps. lxviii:28) 'There is Benjamin the youngest ruling them'. Read not DID but DID The princes of Judah began to stone them, as it is said, (ibid) 'The princes of Judah stoned them.' Because of the resgerness, they were both rewarded". (1)

"If you obey the commandments, you will enter into the Land of Israel as a reward for obeying them," adds an anonymous Tanna. (2)

"'For in six days did God create the heavens and the earth.'
Thy does it sty that God created the world in six days for does it
not already say, (Ps. xxxiii:6) 'By the word of the Lord the heavens
were made.' This is only said so that the eviloners who destroy the
world which was created in six days may be punished and the pious
who established the world which was created in six days may receive their reward." (3)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 50 to Ex. xiv:22. The Jewish Publication Society bible reads,
"The princes of Judah their council" as Loca the King James version.
They translate the word CMCJT as a noun whereas if we translate
it as a verb it means "you stoned them".

<sup>2- .</sup>is. 32 to Ex. xiii:5

<sup>3- 1.</sup>s. 109 to Ex. xx:11

## CHAPTER VII

## ETHICS

One of the fundamental precepts of Jewish theology is the totality of Jewish life. Everything that man does no matter how minute, is, according to our Rabbis, definitely linked up with Judaism. Every simple fact is a manifestation from God. The ancient Jew read into every act a revelation from Him. Jeremiah, seeing that his girdle (which he had probably left on the shore in ancient Judea) was rusted by the waves and the dampness, felt that this represented the coming destruction of Judea. This every-day event, in the eyes of the prophet, became a revelation.

Likewise, throughout all of J wish life, are minute details linked up with God. When the ancient Jew observed festivals at the time of the harvest, or when he gave a tithe offering to the temple, he was not doing only a religious act or only a social act or only a patriotic act, but all of these.

This totalitarian view has been reflected in the literature of the Jewish people. In the Bible and the Talmud we find laws governing every act of life; from the moment he is born until his death, the Jew's acts are guided and regulated by sacred scripture. It is for this reason that in any literary work of the ancient period, we are likely to find ethical principles by which the Jew lived at the time of the compilation of the literature.

Not only do these comprise a system of ethics, but they even have the authority of law. The early Midrashim, those of the Tannaitic age, are largely legalistic rather than aggadistic. The Mekilta of Rabbi Simon, since it is a Tannaitic Midrash, contains many legalisms. From these laws we may construct the then accepted system of ethical conduct.

When we examine the Mekilta of Rabbi Simon, certain important ethical doctrines present themselves. We may epitomize
the thical conception of these early rabbis in the statement
of Abba Saul. "Oh be like Him; just as He is gracious and
merciful, so sould you be gracious and merciful". (1)

Since the family was a fundamental institution, in which the child received his early training so that he might become a respected member of society, our Rabbis have much to say concerning it. In order to base the family upon a solid foundation, mutual happiness between man and wife was considered necessary. The Mekilta of Rabbi Simon therefore insists

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 60 to Ex. xv:2 cf. Mekilta Ishmael, tract. Shirata

that the woman must consent to her marriage. "One may not marry a girl without her consent and this shall be the law for all women". (1) The Rabbis arrive at this law by an "a fortiori" argument. "In the case of a Hebrew maid-servant who is enslaved, the Torah says she is to be married only with her consent. Therefore the remainder of the women should even the more so be married only with their consent. And how may a woman be betrothed? The man is to say to her in the presence of two people, 'Be thou consecrated unto me'". (2)

After the marriage has taken place, the husband is supposed to take good care of his spouse. Rabbi Eliezer said, "A man should give his wife garments that befit her, that is, she should not wear the garments of an old person if she be young nor should she wear the garments of a young person if she be old". (3)

The husband must also provide his wife with summer garments in the summer time and with winter garments in the winter time and he may not reverse this. (4) This last statement of the rabbis shows their very definite concern for convention. The woman was not to look incongruous in the society in which she lived.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 123 to Ex. xx1:8

<sup>2-</sup> Thid

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 124 to Ex. xxi:10 cf. Mekilta Ishmael tract. Nezekin where this is given in the name of Rabbi Jonathan

<sup>4-</sup> Ibid

A very important part of the decalogue was the exhortation to honor one's father and mother. The Makilta of Rabbi Simon explains just how a man is to live up to this commandment. "To honor his parents, a man must give them food, drink, clothes, loggings and enough of the necessities to live comfortably. Rabbi Simon ben Yochai said, "Great is the honoring of one's parents for God has likened their honor to His and a reverence to them to a revence for Him. It is written (Proverbs iii:9) 'Honor the Lord with thy substance'. And it is also written (Ex. xx:12) 'Honor thy father and thy mother'. Scripture states, (Deut. vi;13) 'The Lord thy God ye shall reverence'. And it also states (Lev. xix:3) 'A man shall reverence his mother and his father'. It is written (Lev. xxiv:15) 'He who curses the name of God shall be put to death'. And it is written (Lev. xx 43) 'He who curses his father and mother shall be put to death". (1)

This honor and reverence for his parents was to carry
over to a man's mother-in-law and father-in-law. (2) The
Rabbis are puzzled by the verse, (Ex. xviii:7) "And they asked
each man the welfare of the other, and he bowed and kissed
him." (3) The Rabbis say, "We do not know who bowed to whom.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 110 to Ex. xx:12

<sup>2-</sup> This is an interesting contrast to many primitive tribes for among many, a man or woman was not even to see his or her parents-in-law.

<sup>3-</sup> At the meeting of Moses and Jethro

Who is called man "" ? Is it not Moses, as it says,

(Num. xii:3) 'The man "" Moses, was very meek'. We may deduce from this that it was Moses who bowed down and kissed his father-in-law from which we learn that a man should show respect to his father-in-law. And likewise is it said concerning David when he spoke about Saul, (II Sam. xxiv:12) 'My father has seen', which proves one should honor his father-in-law as he does his father. (1)

Likewise do the Rabbis derive the fact that one should honor his parents-in-law from the use of the word which includes both the father-in-law and the mother-in-law. And this also includes the wife of one's father during the lifetime of the father as well as the husband of the mother while she is alive. (2)

The father was to provide for his children all necessities of life. The Rabbis of our Midrash state, "A man should see to it that he circumcises his son, performs the rite of redemption for him, teaches him the Torah, that is, educates him, teaches him a trade, teaches him to swim and provides a wife for him". (3)

One of the important differences between Judaism and

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 87 to Ex. xviii:7 cf. Nekilta of Ismael tract. Amalek

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 110 to Ex. xx:12 cf. Mexilta of Ismael tract. to Bahodesh where it is given in the name of Rabbi although the wording is different.

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 108 to Ex. xx:10

Christianity is that Judaism demands strict justice whereas
Christianity demands love. We find throughout the history of
Jewish life that the ideal of justice, even though it may be
tempered with mercy, was ever present. The Rabbis never felt
that a judge should lean toward the poor for that would be
incongruous with all of Jewish law.

The Bible states (Ex. xxiii:3), "'You shall not favor a poor man in judgement'. That is, say not, 'He is a poor man, the son of good people; I shall acquit him so that he may find a means of sustenance'. For we are also told, (Lev. xix:15)
'Thou shalt not favor the poor'". (1)

A judge is not to favor any man because of his background.

The Mekilta asks, "Why is it necessary to state, (Ex. xxiii:6)

'Thou shalt not pervert the judgement of the needy in his cause'.

For does it not already say, (Deut. xvi:19) 'Thou shalt not

pervert judgement'. This refers to the case where a man is

poor in good deds. That is, you must not say, 'This man

is a wicked one and it is to be presumed, therefore, that

he is a liar'; or say, 'This man is a pious one and it is

to be presumed, that he does not swear falsely; therefore will

I pronounce the judgement against the former'". (2)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 154-155 to Ex. xxiii:3

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 155 to Ex. xxiii:6 cf. Mekilta of Igmael tract. Kaspa

The Rabbis, however, were more lenient in criminal cases, for the judges are told to weigh the evidence very carefully before they render a decision. In such cases a judge who, "Has declared one innocent may not retract and declare him guilty. But we also learn that the judge may review the evidence in order to acquit him and once acquitted the same evidence may not be used to condemn him". (1)

The Sanhedrin was also warned not to begin the discussion of the evidence by calling upon the senior judges. For if such were done, the younger judges were likely to be influenced by the decision of the older ones. (2)

The learning of the judges brought them much honor. "Moses appointed judges and commanded the judges to bear the troubles of the congregation, as it says, (Deut. 1:16) 'And I commanded our judges'. So also did he command the people to give honor to the judges, for it says, (ibid :18) 'And I charged you at that time all the things which ye should do'". (3)

The Jews of this period were expressly told to conduct themselves ethically in all their business dealings. The verse, "And that which is right in His eyes ye shall do" (Ex. xv:26) refers,

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 154 to Ex. xx111:2

<sup>2-</sup> Ibid

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 91 to Ex. xviii:25

according to our Rabbis, "To business dealings, for a man who conducts business faithfully and is an upright member of society, it is as though he fulfills the whole Torah". (1)

Throughout the medieval world, the Jew was always looked upon as a money lender and a usurer. Although this unfortunate role was forced upon him by the non-Jer, because of it the Jew was looked down upon and degraded in the eyes of all other peoples. We have but to look at our ancient literature to discover the hateful place that the usurer had in the eyes of the Jewish people at large. To the verse, "If thou lend money to any of My people. even to the poor with thee, thou shalt not be to him as a creditor; neither shall ye lay upon him interest", (Ex. xxii:24) our Rabbis add, "A man might say that he can either accept this or reject it. But scripture also teaches, (Deut. xv:8) 'Thou shalt lend him sufficient for his needs'. That is, this command is obligatory and no alternative is offered. Rabbi Ishmael said, "Every use of the word is a permission with the exception of three places and this is one of them'". (2)

If a man takes something as a pledge from another man and the pledge is a necessity, it must be returned when it is needed. That is, if a man takes a winter coat it must be returned when cold weather sets in, whether it has been redeemed or not.

<sup>1-</sup> Ma. 73 to Ex. xv:26

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 151 to Ex. xxi1:24

If a man takes a plow as a pledge, he must return it to its owner during the day and may call for it again at night. (1)

"You shall not afflict any widow or fatherless child", says the Bible. (Ex. xxii:21) The Rabbis ask, "Does not this only say the widow and the fatherless? From whence do we know that it includes every man? It is written, (Ex. xxii:21) 'Ye shall not afflict them.' Then why does it expressly say the widow and the fatherless? Man is more likely to afflict the fatherless and the widow than anyone else, for a child is protected by his father and a woman is protected by her husband but these have none to protect them". (2)

Not only are we told not to take interest from our own people but also are we exhorted not to take interest from the stranger.

""cripture states, (Ex. xxii:20) 'A stranger thou shalt not vex'.

There are two kinds of vexations: those concerning money and those concerning other things. Likewise are there two types of oppressions, those concerning money and those concerning other things. And we are also exhorted not to oppress the stranger". (3)

The Sabbath played a very important part in the life of the Jewish people. The Sabbath, as its name implies, was the day upon which every living thing was to cease from its work. Not

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 151 to Ex. xx11:25

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 150 to Ex. xxii:21

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 150 to Ex. xxii:20 cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Nesikin where a different reading is given.

only was a man charged to rest on it himself and to allow his slaves to do the same, but he was also to rest his animals. "'Six days shalt thou do all thy work and on the seventh day thou shalt cease from thy work in order that thine, and thine ass shall rest'.

(Ex. xxiii:12) This teaches us that man is charged concerning not only the ox but all the rest of his cattle as well." (1)

Not only must a man not allow his animals to work on the Sabbath in his own fields but he may not hire them out to others to work, for the Bible expressly states that the ox and ass are to rest, also. This extension of the biblical decree shows the humane outlook of the early rabbis, that even the animal was entitled to a full day of rest. (2)

The rabbis tell us that "man was not given to the Sabbath but that the Sabbath was given to man". (3) This definitely tells us that we are to rejoice in the Sabbath and that in certain instances, in order to preserve life, the Sabbath may even be profuned. Rabbi Nathan, in considering the verse, "And the children of Israel shall keep the Sabbath to observe the Sabbath" (Ex. xxxi:16) says, "This teaches us that we may profune the Sabbath for the sake of saving a person so that he may observe many more Sabbaths". (4)

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 157 to Ex. xxiii:18

<sup>2-</sup> Me. 109 to Ex. xx:10

<sup>3-</sup> Ms. 160 to Ex. xxxi:13 of. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Shebata

<sup>4-</sup> Ms. 161 to Ex. xxxi:16; See also the statement of Rabbi Akiba Ms. 160 to Ex. xxxi:13; cf. Mekilta of Ismael tract. Shabbata

The Rabbis were also interested in ""I" manners.

"'And Moses said unto Joshua 'thoose for us men'. (Ex. xvii:9)

From this we lwarn that the honor of a men's pupil should be dear unto him as the honor of his friend. All the world may learn this from Moses for he did not say unto Joshua, 'Choose men for me' but, 'Choose men for us'. He placed Joshua on the same plane as himself". (1)

"Even though a man learns the message that he has dispatched someone to bring him, the messenger should relate it to him. This we may learn from Moses who, (Ex. xix:8) 'Repeated the words of the people to God'". (2)

Israel always hoped for a society in which peace was ever present. The Hebrew concept of peace of means completeness, wholeses.

Whenever a society is wholesome then it may be safely said that that society is at peace. But whenever there is a breach in any portion of the societal organum, that society does not have peace.

"Great is peace", say our Rabbis, "For it even precedes the praise given to the Holy One Blessed be He. So we find in the story of Jethro and Moses. The conversation did not begin with the Exodus nor the crossing of the Red Sea, nor the manna, nor the incident of the quails, but with peace, as it says (Ex. xviii:7), 'And each man inquired of the peace of the other'. And then it

<sup>1-</sup> Me. 82 to Ex. xvii:9

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 96 to Ex. mix:8 of MekiFta of Ismael tract. Bahodesh

continues, (Ex. xviii:7) 'And Moses told his father-in-law'". (1)

The absence of peace and the presence of contention ever bring about evil. Our Midrash tells us, "Nothing good comes from quarreling, as it says (Gen. xiii:7) And there was strife between the shepherds of Abraham and the shepherds of Lot'. What caused Lot to separate from that righteous man if not this quarrel?" (2)

Man himself is responsible for all the wrongs that he does and all the sins that he commits. Rabbi Akiba states, "Everything is in the hands of heaven with the exception of the fear of heaven. (3) All things are predestined and foreseen but the moulding of man's character; for man himself is responsible for that. Man is not to blame God for the "" '" , the evil inclination which is placed in him. And when he comes for judgement, he may not say, "The soul which you placed within me has caused me to sin".

Discussing the trial of the Egyptians after they persued
the Israelites, the Rabbis in our Mekilta present a very interesting
analogy. "The Holy One Blessed be He brought the horse and the
rider to judgement. He said to the horse, 'Why have you chased
after My children?' The horse answered, 'The Egyptian drove me
against my vill'. As it is said, (Ex. xiv:9) 'End the Egyptians

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 87 to Ex. xviii:7

<sup>2-</sup> Ms. 127 to Ex. xxi:18

<sup>3-</sup> Ethics of the Fathers

My children? He unswered, 'It was the horse that run away with me against my will'. As it is written, (Ex. xv:19) 'For the hopes of Phanoah went in'. What did God do? He made the man ride on the horse and judged them together".

"Antonius asked Rabbi, 'After man has died and his body ceased to be, does the Holy One Blessed be He make him stand trial?' Rabbi answered, 'Rather ask me about the soul which is pure and not the body which is impure. This may be likened to a king who had an orchard of beautiful fruit. He placed two . of his slaves, one blind and the other lame, in the orchard to protect it. The lame one said to the blind, 'I see beautiful fruits'. The blind one answered, 'I am unable to see'. The lame one than said, 'I am unable to walk'. They then decided that the lame one was to ride on the back of the blind one and direct him : to the fruits. Thus they did and ate the fruits. The king, when he perceived that his choice fruits were exten, asked his slaves what had happened. The lame one immediately answered, 'I know not, for I am lame'. The blind man answered, 'I know not for I am blind. The king, being a wise man placed the lame man on the back of the blind one and said to the slaves, 'Thus did you procure the fruits to eat."

"Likewise is the Holy One Blessed be He. He brings in the body and the soul and has them stand in judgement. He says to body, 'Why did you sin?' The body answers, 'O Master of the Universe, I did not sin; it was the soul which sinned. For as soon as it departed from me I was as a cast-off stone'. He then says to the soul, 'Why did you sin before me?' The soul answers, 'I did not sin; it was the body. For as soon as I left it I became pure'. The Holy One Blessed be He takes the soul and places it within the body and judges them together, as it is said, (Ps. 144) 'He calls to the heavens above and to the earth beneath that he may judge his people'. 'To the heavens above' refers to the soul and 'to the earth beneath' refers to the body". (1)

There are many more examples of the various principles upon which the Jew was to conduct his life. These are round in the laws concerning Sabbath, the festivals, and the various rituals and ceremonies which are discussed in our Mekilta. The foregoing are the more striking examples of ethical, moral and theological concepts as they are represented in the Mekilta of Rabbi Simon ben Yochai.

<sup>1-</sup> Ms. 59 to Ex. xv:l cf. Mekulta of Ismael tract. Shirata where the version given is somewhat condensed; cf also Talmud Babli tractate Sanhedrin, 91 a and b.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Nechilta de-Rabbi Simon b. Jochai ein halachischer und haggadischer Midrasch zu Exedus edited by Dr. D. Hoffman. J.Kauffmann: 1905.

## Secondary sources:

Hoffmann, D. "Zur Einleitung in die Mechilta de Rabbi Simon ben Jochai" in the Jahrbuch der Jüdisch Literarischen Gesellschaft. 5666-1905. Frankfurt a.M.

Lewy, I. "Ein Wort über die Mechilta des R.Simon" in the Jahresbericht des jüdisch-theologischen Seminars. Fraenckelischer Stiftung. Breslau 1889.

Ginsberg, L. "Der Anteil Rappi Simons an der ihm sugeschriebenen Mechilta" in the Festschrift zu Israel Lewy's siebzigstem Geburtstag .Breslau 1911.

Scheder, S. "Some Aspects of Rabbinic Theology" Macmillan 1909.

Meore, G.F. "Judaism" the first centuries of the Christian Era, The age of the Tanasim. 2 Vols. Cambridge 1927.

The Mechilta of Ismael edited by M. Friedmann, Vienna 1870.

The Mechilta of Ismael edited by J.Z.Lauterbach , Phila. 1933.