THE PSALMS IN MIDRASHIC EXEGESIS

The composition and contents of MIDRASH TEHILLIM and sections 610-890 of the YALKUT SHIMONI critically considered

ру

Joseph <u>N</u>arot

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Rabbi.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I - COMPOSITION

	Page
Why Midrash Tehillim? Primary Sources Statement Of Purpose Buber's Midrash Tehillim The Psalms of Yalkut Shimoni	2 3
PART II - CONCENTS	
Introduction	18
a) In The Image Of Man b) To Love Mercy c) To Do Justice d) Great Is God e) For Thy Name's Sake f) And God Created	19 22 23 24 25
THE SUPERNATURAL  a) Introduction  b) Angels Of Heaven  c) The Ineffable Name  d) Miracles  e) Evil Spirits  g) Satan	32 32 34 34 35
ISRAEL AND THE NATIONS	. 37
THE ETHICAL AND RELIGIOUS LIFE	45
Charity - Peace - Slander	
ESCHATOLOGY	
a) Introduction	. 73
Gehinnon - World To Come	
AFTERTHOUGHTS	, 85
BIBLIOGRAPHY	

PART I - COMPOSITION

# WHY MIDRASH TEHTLLIM?

It is easy to understand why the Book of Psalms should have evoked so much homiletical and religious comment from the rabbis. Perhaps no other single book in the Bible has played so vital a part in the religious development and the liturgical composition of the Jewish people as has that book traditionally ascribed to King David. For many, many centuries it has served to inspire "srael to greater devotion to God, to deeper faith in His wisdom and power, to keener appreciation of His acts of creation. The Psalmist's lamentation for suffering Israel has struck a responsive chord in the hearts of an oppressed people from Pharaoh to Hitler. The weary of heart and the bruised of body has found comfort therein. Therein have the disciples of the ethical life, of humility, peace and justice found inspiration for added loyalty to those ideals. The lover of nature has found in the Psalms the reflection of his own observations.

Yes, the Book of Psalms has appeal to all: there is song and there are tears; there is rejoicing and there is lament; there is life and there is death. But always it has served as a source of prayer for life. With what ardor the pius, throughout the ages, have chanted the Psalms in the shadow of death! And how eagerly have they sought to attach some verse from the Psalms to every name, so that the individual might add that particular verse at the close of the Amidah in his daily prayers! In every book of liturgy from the earliest to the revised edition of the Union Hebrew Prayer Book, the Psalms

comprise a considerable portion of the devotional services both in prayers and hymns. For in that book is always unshaken faith in God, in hope and in the ultrimate triumph of the good.

Thus, it is not at all strange that the preachers and teachers of old should have turned to the Book of Psalms for inspiration. Here was a springboard for speculation on any subject imaginable. Here there were themes sweeping in scope: the suffering of Israel, the majesty of David, the glory of God. There were obvious interpretations in the Psalter as well as hidden or dimly suggested meanings. But whether dimly or not at all suggested, the preacher and homiletician could conjure up the strangest and most fantastic and furthest removed interpretations, and somethow they "fit."...Yes, it is no wonder that the Old Testament Psalter should have shared a prominent part in rabbinic exegesis.

## PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1. MIDRASH TEHILLIM (Schocher Tob). Salomon Buber, Editor. Wilna. Published by the Widow and Brothers Romm. 1891. Through this paper, the volume will be designated as B, particularly in the footnotes.
- 2. YALKUT SHIMONI, Vol. II. Wilna. Published by Widow and Brothers Romm. The footnotes will refer to this volume as Y. 1898.

### STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this thesis to consider the date, authorship and character of the two primary sources and to present a synopsis, thematically, of their contents. This is being done only after a very detailed reading, not only of the two volumes abovementioned, but also of a list of significant, scholarly, secondary sources. These latter are to be found in the Bibliography elsewhere in this paper.

### BUBER'S MIDRASH TEHILLIM

In addition to a complete text of Midrash Tehillim, the most complete edition extant—Salomon Buber has added a legathy introduction in which he considers every problem of the collection of homilies. It is the most thorough treatment of the subject, and to this date, the final treatment. When Lauterbach, for example, wishes to discuss Midrash Tehillim in the Jewish Encyclopedia, he draws upon Buber's Wilna edition for illustration and corroboration.

Buber introduces his discussion of the homilies by tracing the history of its name. Ancients called it, he says, either Midrash Tehillim, Agodat Tehillim, Hagodat Tehillim or Midrash Schocher Tob. The latter name was derived from the phrase which begins the rabbinic consideration of Psalm 1. The practice of nameing works after the words which begin their discussion is common in rabbinic literature, we learn. Thus, another name for Midrash Shir Hashirim has been Midrash Hazis,

a word taken from the opening passage of the same book. Still another illustration of this practice is Midrash Tadshe, named after the opening phrase—Tadshe ha-aretz. A Venetian publisher used the title Alfa-Besa for the collection of Midrash Tehillim because of the acrostic form of Psalm 119.

tions of rabbinic homilies for the books of Psalms, Prowerbs and Samuel, calling them all Midrash Socher Tob. Buber discounts this practice on the grounds that they always were separate collections, written at totally different times and stemming from different compositions. The confusion is explained as due to the issuance of a Venetian publication of all three collections above named in one volume in theyear 1546. Actually, the first collection of Midrashim to Psalms was published in Constantinople in 1522, whereas the publication of the rabbinic interpretation of the Book of Proverbs is unknown. Buber adds the interesting item that one man believed Midrash Socher Tob and Hagodat Tehil. Lim to be two different collections of homilies, simply because he had seen passages quoted from the collections at various times under the different names.

Lauterbach adds some interesting information. For example, he points out that Nathan of Rome had mentioned Midrash Tehllim in his Aruk; that Rabbi Isaac b. Judah ibn Ghayyat had spoken of it in his Halokot; and that Rashi often reffered to it.

I. B Introduction, p. 3.

<sup>2.</sup> Jewish Encyclopedia, Volume 10, p. 248. I amuel 17.49 and Deuteronomy 23.7 are two of citations of Midrash Tehillim by Rashi.

Actually the original manuscripts of Midrash Tehillim contained homilies only for Psalms 1-118. The first edition of Constantinople included that much and no more. It was not until three years later that a second edition appeared in Salonica containing the homilies for the rest of the Psalms, with the ex-It seems that one known as ception of Psalms 123 and 131. R. Mattithiah Yizhari of Sargossa had taken the homiletical fragments to these additional Psalms directly from the Yalkut. The fact that the latter had no homilies for Psalms 123 and 131 explains the omission of these in the second edition of Midrash Tehillim. When Salomon Buber was about to publish his present edition, he gathered exegetical fragments from Pesikta Rabbati, Sifre, Numbers Rabbah, and the Babylonian Talmud for the two missing Psalms, thus completing the homiletical material available for the entire Psalter.

Buber's thorough work is indicated in his discussion of the manuscripts he studied prior to the edition of the work. In all he had eight different manuscripts of the Midrash Socher Tow before him. The first, a work of 1232, was from the collection of Azarya Dei Rossi of Parma. This was "written on heavy paper in strong characters, containing 220 pages and 440 sides, written in the letters or script of Rashi, 25 lines in every row, from one scribe and one writing, but it does not mention the name of the scribe or the year of the writing."

<sup>2.</sup> Ibid. Buber gives a brief sketch of Yizhari's life and works. We learn that this is the same Yizhari was was mong the rabbis in the famous Tortosa disputations in 1412.

The second manuscript stems from Florence. This has 167 pages written on both sides, in a script similar to that of Rashi.

"The paper is still white and the ink black," Buber adds by way of explaining how easy it is to read the old script. From a careful study of this manuscript, Buber deduces the fact that it may have been written by one, Moses. The other five scripts which he examined came from the Vatican at Rome, Munich, London and Paris. It was only after careful, painstaking analysis of each that he set himself to the edition of the present volume. When the edition of 1891 finally appeared, it became known as edition number 12. The eleven editions of the true and original Midrash Tehillim which had preceded Buber were: Constantinople in 1512; Venice in 1546; 1613 in Prague; Amsterdam in 1730; Polonnoye in 1794; Zolkowa in 1800; Lebau in 1850; Shtetin in 1861; Warsaw in 1865; and Warsaw in 1875 and 1873.

In trying to arrive at some conclusion as to who might be the original compositor, Buber first discredits the traditional interpretations. Thus the assumption that Baal Ohel Yosef In'r Abraham Hasfardi presents is erroneous. Abraham Hasfardi believes that a certain Yohanan was the original compiler of it Midrash Socher Tob/cannot be accepted because it springs from a confusion of facts, Buber asserts. Yohanan's name might be confused with this collection of homilies only because his name has been attached to the Talmud Yerushalmi. There is, however

<sup>3.</sup> Ibid.p. 82f. Buber continues to list the various ethical sayings found in manuscripts 1 and 6 but not in the others. He also presents a detailed list of other noteworthy statements of the various manuscripts.

no basis for believing that he gathered the homilies for the Psalms. Wrong, too, is the theory that Aaron Moses Karlin of Pasua who suggested the name of Tanna R'Shimon Berabi asithe original compiler of Midrash Tehillim. Aaron Moses advanced his theory in the firm belief that this Shimon had begun to arrange the interpertations in the order of the passages and Psalms. There is, in this case as well, no evidence to substantiate the theory.

As a matter of fact, posits Buber, there is no way of determining who might have been the original compositor of the homilies to the Psalter. All that we know is that the work has been composed, that it is very old in its present Perhaps there are many composers. Perhaps several or many men were responsible for the arrangement, each doing a portion. This multiplicity of authorship is made plausible by the linguistic differences as well as by differences of interpretations to the same Biblical verse.

Lauterbach agrees with this latter suggestion of Buber. He proves this still further by citing passages which contain the same thought in different language, a fact which for him indicates multiplicity of authorship and editorship.

In 1832 Leopold Zunz wrote hismonumental work Die Gottesdienstlichen Vortrage der Juden. There, among many other problems, he discusses the time and place of the composition of Midrash Tehillim or Midrash Socher Tob. Regarding

Introduction, p.3f.

<sup>5. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>
6. <u>JE op.cit.</u> p.247.

the problem of locale, Zunz expresses the belief that it was compiled and edited and arranged in Italy. Italy was one of the lands in constant contact with Palestine at the time the volume was composed and this explains the Palestinian influen-Buber refutes this supposition forcefully and completeces. ly. Analyzing the linguistic peculiarities, the spirit, the names of Amoraim who are frequently mentioned in the homilies, along with many other factors of the text itself, Buber concluded in turn that the place of composition of Midrash Tehillim was undoubdetly Palestine. The composer, whoever he was, copied much from the style and approach of the Talmud Yeru-Lauterbach again concurs with Buber. The spirit is shalmi. too genuinely and too vividly Palestinian, he explains, as are also the linguistic characteristics, the rabbis referred to and the general spirit which pervades the work.

In his scholarly and monumental work, Zunz had likewise, as has been pointed out above, referred to the date of
composition of the homilies to the Psalms. After a careful
and detailed study, asserts Zunz, he must date the original
compilation of the exegetical work to the "second third of
the nineth century, in the closing years of the Gaonic times."

In a painstaking and thorough analysis of this theory, Buber
proves its fallacy.

<sup>7.</sup>Die Gottesdienstliche Vortrage der Juden, Frankfort am edition, 1892. p. 361.

<sup>8.</sup>B Introduction, p.4.

<sup>9.</sup>JE op.cit. p.248.

<sup>10.</sup> Vortrage, op.cit. p.375.

Salomon Buber admits at the outset the difficulty of arriving at the decision as to the time of its composition. It is evident that the composer was influenced by the Talmud Yerushalmi, that he must have seen, at least, Midrash Genesis Rabbah, Pesikta derab Kahana and Tanhuma. Whether or not the composer was acquainted with Talmud Babli is a more difficult matter to determine. Buber is inclined to believe that he was not familiar with it. If there are passages from the Talmud Babli in Midrash Tehillim, they might have been gathered by later copyists, just as they gathered passages from other midrashic collections. More significant is the fact that many passages of Midrash Tehillim actually contradict the spirit and outlook of Talmud Babli.

Now Leopold Zunz had tried to show the lateness of the homilies to the Psalter. But as proof, he had employed thehomilies to Psalm 119. This is not very good evidence, claims Buber, since it is an understood fact that the original of Socher Tob homilies included the Psalter only as far Psalm 118. Psalms 119-150 are admittedly of a later gathering. Zunz, it must be remembered, based his hypothesis that the Socher Tob was composed in "late Gaonic times" on the basis that it echoed, if not reiterated, passages from such works as Pesikta derab Assi. But, refutes Buber, this is no proof since Psikta derab Assi is not a late but a quite early work. It is, moreover, incorrect to attempt to prove the lateness of the homilies from some of their passages. The collection must be studied

in its entirety. And such an analysis reveals no exact date.

12

With this Lauterbach concurs wholeheartedly.

The composer of Midrash Tehillim availed himself of many homiletical works extant in his day. The influence of the Mishna, The Tosefta, Mechilta, Sifre, Talmud Yerushalmi, Genesis Rabbah, Pesikta Derab Kahana, Tanhuma and Targum Onkelos are apparent in the work, Buber cites. And in a long list of citations, he indicates many of the phrases, passages, ideas etc. culled by the compiler of Midrash Tehillim from the above-13 named works.

As has been pointed out above, the homilies are arranged in the order of the Psalms and verses. Each homily, usually in a single paragraph, is numbered, alphabetically. And we shall refer henceforth to the homilies in this wise: Psalm I, homily 1 as I.1. Perhaps the most characteristic tehenique for introduction to the exegetical interpretations is the phrase zeh sheomar hakosuv, "Thus says the scriptures." This is found in Psalms 11, 12, 14, 17, 20, 24 etc., etc. Sometimes this phrase varies slightly, only including the words zeh sheomar—"this is said", implying the Scriptures, of course. Examples of this technique are to be found in the homilies to Psalm I, as, for instance, I.1,2,3,4 etc. A good many of the homilies for Psalms 122-137, taken bodily

II. B Introduction, p.5f.

<sup>12.</sup> JE op.cit. p.248.

<sup>13.</sup> B Introduction, p.10-17.

from the Yalkut (as will be explained below), are introduced with the name of some distinguished historical character, such as David or Solomon. Still other homilies began with the comparison of the written word to the form which the homiletician believes it should be interpreted. Known as the Keri-Ketib method, they are to be found, among many others, in III.4 and IX.7. Interpretations are sometimes derived from words by changing the spelling, or by adding or subtracting a letter from that word. This technique, usually known as mole-choser is applied in VII.2 and IX.1. Homily III.3 is a good example of the rabbinic attempt to interpret Biblical passages through the numerical value of the letters in a given word. This passage, plus V.5 and XXX.13 are good illustrations of the Notarikon style of approach to the Scriptures. In such cases the intrepreter will examine a certain word letter by letter, each letter of the word representing some aspect or element of the problem that the rabbi wish to present. A popular method of introduction in other Midrashim which is also found in Midrash Tehillim is the al-tikre...elo...-" do not read so but thus.. " For example, I.l.

Generally speaking, the homilies contain a good deal of parables, legends, proverbs, ethical sayings and delight-ful stories. The language is clear and to the point. The homilies are forceful and some of them, a great many, evidence great skill on the part of the preachers and homileticians.

Sometimes, as in LXXVI.3, there is strained and fanciful speculation. Even this, however, indicates the wholeheartedness and completeness with which they, the exegetes, were absorbed in their work and subject, rather than the strangeness of their interpretations. For the most part, Hebrew is employed. In a few instances, for example, LXXVIII.12, we find the use of Aramaic in the homily. Very often one homily will remind the exegete of another, and thus we find many cross-references. For example XEVIII.1 and XLIV.1. One of the frequently repeated characteristics is the comparison of God to man, the contrast of their respective powers, craftsmanship, wisdom, etc. Such contrasts are to be found in V.11;XXV.1;XVII.5; XVIII.29; etc.

According to the findings of Buber's thorough study of the contents of Midrash Tehillim and the other Midrashie collection, the former was a frequent source for materials and ideas for the other works. Exodus Rabbah, Leviticus Rabbah, Deuteronomy Rabbah, Songs of Songs, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, Eather Rabbah, Samuel and Pesikta Rabbati all drew amply upon the homilies to the Psalms of Socher Tob and quoted it frequently. In the Introduction to Midrash Tehillim, Buber devotes six full pages in which he cites the many quotations of this collection of homilies in the above-named works.

<sup>14.</sup> Op.cit., p.54-60.

### YALKUT SHIMONI

Since the Yalkut Shimoni is one of our primary sources in our consideration of the Book of Psalms in Rabbinic Exegesis, we shall now turn to a consideration of that volume. The Yalkut Shimoni is a collection of haggadic material to the Bible. The composer had accumulated various homilies to the complete Bible, arranged them in the order of the Massoretic order of chapters, and then divided the homilies in so many sections. As Lauterbach points out, these sections have been arranged as they are arbitrarily, and there seems to be no way of determining why some section may have abhalfdozen lines, whereas another section may comprise many The compiler likewise divided the homilies into pages. two divisions, numbering the first division alphabetically from Genesis to Deuteronomy and beginning anew the numbering also alphabetically from Joshua to Ecclesiastes. (He did not arrange the second division in their order of the Bible, placing the homilies to Chronicles somewhere in the center and Ecclesiastes at the conclusion. The homilies to the Psalms which we are considering fall, of course, in the second division and include sections 610-890.

Buber, in his analysis of the Yalkut Shimoni, points to the confusion in the mind of the man who gathered those

<sup>15.</sup> JE vol.12, p. 584.

homilies. Coming apparently from a time later than that of Midrash Tehillim, the Yalkut Shimoni, along with the other many homiletical collections, draws upon the ideas and approaches of Socher Tob. Thereferences, however, to Midrash Tehillim in the Yalkut are vague and confused. Whereas most editors would refer to the homilies to the Psalms as Midrash Socher Tob, the Yalkut speaks only of Midrash. In some instances the same editor would make reference to a volume called Socher Tob; but study would reveal this to be Pesikta Rabbati. Still again, the author of the Yalkut would refer the reader to Genesis Rabbah or Tanhuma for further information; and analysis would reveal that such material was to be found only in Midrash Tehillim or Socher Tob. Finally, many passages are quoted without naming the source; these, too, are shown to be from Socher Tob.

The first edition of the Yalkut Shimoni appeared in Salonica, in 1521. This edition included only the homilies to the Prophets and Hagiographa. The second edition, Venice-1566, included the homilies to the entire Bible, and further editions have been mere reprints of this complete work. It is this master edition which gives us a clue as to who the original compiler may have been. At least, it was the source of stimulation of an intellectual controversy that lasted for years.

<sup>16.</sup> B Introduction, p.60f.

The title page of the Venice edition named a certain R. Simeon of Frankfort, "the chief of the exegetes" as the author of the volume. This inspired a considerable amount of speculation and debate. Hayyim Azulai (1724-1807) and David Conforte (1618-1685) both accepted the title page reference at its face value and said that the author of the Yalkut Shimoni was Simeon Ashkenazi of Frankfort. Solomon Judah Rapoport (1790-1868), however, expressed the belief that the Simeon referred to in the title page was R. Simeon, the This would mean that the compiler father of Joseph Karo. of the Yavkut lived in the eleventh century. Hermann L. Strack adds that this hypothesis put forth by Rapoport was accepted by many reliable sources and scholars, such as, for instance, David Cassel f., and A. Levy

The most exacting and complete refutation of this supposition has been that of Abraham Epstein . With polite but nontheless firm language, he discredits the possibility He shows that Simeon Karo could of Rappoport's theory. not have been the compiler of the "alkut, since many of the midrashim are with certainty of a later date. Step by step, he proves that the author must have more certainly been of

<sup>17.</sup> JE op.cit., p. 584.

<sup>18.</sup> Kerem Hemed, vii, 4. 19. Introduction To The Talmud and Midrash, JPS, 1931, p.230f.

<sup>20.</sup> Lehrbuch der jud. Gesch. u. Lit., Leipzig, 1879.

<sup>21.</sup> Die Exegese bei den Franz. sraeliten, Leipzig, 1873, p.xxii. 22. F'Shimon Karo and the Yalkut Shimoni, Krakau, 1891.

<sup>23.</sup> Ibid., p.1.

THE STATE OF THE S

With several centuries after Joseph Karo's father. / Abraham Geiger and with Leopold Zunz, Epstein expresses the belief that the author of the Yalkut Shimoni was rather the Simeon Kara who lived in southern Germany in the early thirteenth century and who later won the title of distinction, hadarshan, "the preacher." Lauterbach's consideration of the Yalkut Shimoni gives the However, in a later article, he modifies same conclusion. his point of view somewhat. Now he is ready to add that "The Yalkut Shimoni was not composed later than the thirteenth century." He bases this statement on the colophon to the Ms. of the Yalkut Shimoni, Oxford 2637, dated for 1307. The words of praise refer to the compiler of the Yalkut as dead, affixing the zecher livracha to his name. Whereas in the Jewish Encyclopedia article, Lauterbach had merely stated that it is known that Azarya dei Rossi had a manuscript of the Yalkut Shimoni in his possession in 1310, this added information sheds interesting light on the date and authorship of the homilies. It fixes the time more definitely and conclusively.

<sup>24.</sup> Nitei Neemonim, Breslau, 1847; p.10a; Judische Zeitschrift, XI, 115.

<sup>25.</sup> Die Gottesdienstliche Vortrage, Op.cit;, p.361, p.375.

<sup>26.</sup> JE Vol.12, p.586,

Unpublished Parts of the Yalkut ha-Makiri on Hosea and Micah, Moses Gaster Anniversary Volume, London, 1936, pp.365-373.

It is interesting to note that Heinrich Gratz had likewise accepted the hypothesis set forth by Rapoport, Cassel, Levy, et.al. In volume III of the JPS edition of his History of the Jews, p.346, the historian refers to Simon Karo, father of Joseph Karo, as "the author of the Yalkut."

As for the style and language of the Yalkut Shimoni, it seems to suffer much by comparison to Midrash Tehillim. While the language is not more difficult, there is less lucidity and simplicity of expression in the Yalkut. There seems to be less imaginative power and the ability to develop the homilies than there appears in Socher Tob. There are less striking proverbs, parables, legends, ethical sayings in the Yalkut Shimoni. Too much of, seems to be a copy or an imitation of the style and linguistic flavor of the earlier work. Similar techniques of approaching the Scriptural passages are employed. Numerical caluclation; changing spelling or reading of given words; referring directly to the passage of the Bible with the formula "thus does the Scriptures say"; quoting some historical authority; contrasting and comparing God to human abilities; etc.etc. are also tobe found in the Yalkut. Here, too, is the same passion for righteousness, the devotion to God the Creator, the same outery for Israel's suffering, Here, too, is found faith and optimism in the future, the conviction that God's powers will deliver the good and punish the wicked, that  $^{\mathrm{T}}$ orah and the ethical life are the sole requisites for the good life and salvation.

In short, the Yalkut Shimoni, too, reveals the rabbinic ferwor and faith in their attempt to adapt the Scriptures to the situations and problems of their own day.

The pludy of the content who led have been alme, the granded by an analysis of the mature, the types, fakegois.

PART II - CONTENTS

### PART II -- CONTENTS

## Introduction

The very nature of the Book of Psalms inspired the imagination of the homileticians to discuss a great variety of subjects and themes. Applying all the skill of the expert preacher, the exegete was able to derive whatever he wished from the Psalter. Almost anything that might suggest itself to the preacher's mind was there, in hidden or revealed form. It was merely his task to bring it out, to make the implicit explicit, the hint obvious. Yet, in the very looseness of the homilies, in their very as sortment of subjects and themes, there is a unified pattern. This is a pattern made possible by the same religious spirit, the same devotion to God, the same sensitivity of the suffering of Israel and the good of all nations, the same religious hopes and ethical ideals that run through out the homilies. The only order the preachers themselves indicate is their treatment of each Psalm and verse in their numerical order. Yet, careful analysis reveals a unity of spirit and fervor that renders the collection of exegetical interpertations, somehow, a local entity, a systematic account of Israel's hopes and Israel's faith and of Israel's aspirations. It is this unity, this harmony of different preachers, this order-out-of-chaos, that we sahll attempt to show in the following pages.

#### GOD

The outpourings of the soul to God being the predominant element of the Psalter itself, it is natural that the homilies upon the same theme should occupy a central and outstanding portion in Mirash Tehillim and the Yalkut Shimoni. Truly, the Psalmist was a God-intoxicated mam. Surely his is unmatchable faith in deaspir as in hope, in the face of death as in the supremest moments, joy. God is taken for granted. There is neither questioning nor discussion of His existence. It is His attributes, His providence, His influence upon men, nations and Israel that are considered. His immanence and transcendance, the ideals He represents, the worthwhileness of faith in His power and wisdom, these are the themes of the Psalmist and likewise of the homilies built around the Psalter's 150 religious hymns.

# "In The Image Of Man"

In both collections of homilies we find many references which might make the reader believe that the rabbinic idea of God is quite a human one. There seem to be many passages which give indications of anthropomorphisms in the rabbinic conception of God. These remind us of the anthropomrophisms of the Psalter itself. With J. M. Powis Smith we recall that in the Psalms themselves "God shares in many of the frailties of human personality and is presented in a thorough-

<sup>28.</sup> The Religion Of The Fsalms, University of Chicago Press, 1922, p. 140.

AND THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

ly anthropomorphic way." To the Psalmist God was a very personal God. No babstract, vague deity but a very near and warm God.

To God are even ascribed organs of the human body: face, ears 29 30 nose and mouth; He has speech, the power to breathe and swallow; 31 He sleeps and is capable of fatigue; He can be angry and His 32 is proud and He loves flattery.

Upon these personal descriptions the rabbis amplify homilatically. According to one rabbi, all of God's actions are holy, since holiness is the central feature of the Creator. God's speech, Hispraise, His going and coming, His outstretched arm, His sitting and reclining, all these are vested with sanc-Another rabbi, speaking of Mosest tity and sacredness. obtaining of the Law from God, asks how could Moses/when it was night and when it was day if the clouds were always about the mountain. "When God read Scriptures," answers the rabbi, "it was day and when  $^{
m H}$ e read Mishna, the  $^{
m I}$ sraelites knew it was night. When He sang the Tefilliah before the Shema it was night, and when He reversed the process Moses could have These are typical examples of the personal known it was day !! manner in which the rabbs considered the Creator.

To attempt to explain these anthropomorphisms is not as difficult as seems at first. Man has little choice but to describe the Divine force in human language and terminology. How else could he make his fellow men understand the feelings, however lofty, spiritual and depersonalized they may be? How else but in human and personal descriptions?

34. Yalkut Psalm 19.

<sup>29.</sup> Psalms 80.4; 33.6;11.7.

<sup>30.</sup> Psalms 32.4;18.36.

<sup>31.</sup> Psalms 18.16;33.6;21.10;102.3.

<sup>32.</sup> Psalms 18.48;102.11;115.17f.

<sup>33.</sup> Buber Ps.II, Homily 6.

But perhaps a more significant answer is offered by the homiletician himself. In the very fourth homily of Socher Tov, the exegete has occasion to explain anthropomorphic language in the Midrash. The text he/feres to is Psalm 84.12: "For God is a sun and a shield." How, asks the rabbi, can the Lord be likened to such a common-place object as a sword or a shield? Well, in the first place, anthropomorsphic expressions are nothing new in Scriptural writings. Amos had likened God unto a lion at whose roaring everyone should take fright. Ezekial spoke of God coming over the mountains and of God's voice which is as the voice of many waters. Why such anthropomorphic language? Simplyy because the language used is such that everyone will understand. Just as the shield protects the warrior, as the sword defends, so God will protect and defend all who have faith in Him. Just as the sun sheds its protective rays of warmth over humanity, so God will shed 35 his protective Providence over all who fear him and revere Him.

Here, it seems, is a good example of the phenomenon of which Dr. Kaufman Kohler has written: "While Judaism insists on the Deity's transcending all finite and sensory limitations, it never lost the sense of the close relation—ship between man and his Maker." Here is a God who not only created a world and set it in order, but who lives in every part of it every moment. God made in the image of man, perhaps.

<sup>35.</sup> Buber Psalm 1, homily 4. 36. Jewish Theology, Macmillan, 1928, p.78f.

But a god that is woven of the finest hopes, the highest ideals and the noblest dreams. Anthropomorphic? It seems so. And yet it may well serve as inspiration to religious spirits and move them to kindness, to charity, to humility, to the eternal aspirations of the most lofty.

"To Love Mercy..."

It was quite natural for an oppressed people praying longingly for mercy and failing to find it in the cruel tyrants that afflicted them throughout history to ascribe this "twice-ble ssed" quality to God. The kindness and mercy of the Creator is manifest everywhere, the homiletician believes. It is one of His chiefest attributes, that He deals mercifully with all men. Thus, for example, the three occurrences of the word eheyeh in Exodus 3 remind therabbis of the thrice-told mercy of God. "In mercy did I create the world; in mercy will I lead it and in mercy will I return With overflowing mercy does He guard to Jerusalem." over all men and keep them from disaster and pestilence. Very often when some plague is to be visited upon mankind, the Creator will shorten the time allotted to ravage the community. As a matter of fact, almost every kind of pestilence was wiped out because of God's abundant mercy. On one occasion a disaster was to last thirty-six hours, but God called upon the Fentateuch, the patrix chs, circumcision and a host of others to obviate the effect of the plague, and the community suffered but one hour.

<sup>37.</sup> Buber Psalm 72, homily 1.

<sup>38. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid</u>. 17 2.

"To Do Justice ... "

Mercy alone is not enough, the homiletician realized. It is apt to blind the eye to justice. It is apt to be onesided in its influence, partial in its bounties. Mercy must be tempered by justice -- this is the ideal, and this is in the very character of God. Men may find this strange, accustomed as they are to human tyrants who exercise judgement through purely personal whims. God is not like that, but He directs His decisions and providence in accordance with a well-ordered This is the explanation for the dual and just point of view. name of God: Elohim connotes His justice; Yahveh His mercy. "Thus said David: 'I shall praise God. Whether you judge me in mercy or justice, I shall ever praise Thee." Already at that time the rabbis saw that justice is even a greater virtue than mercy; that justice is athically all-inclusive, that he who is swayed by love may do violence to justice. Referring to Psalm 145.17, "God is just in all His ways and merciful in all His deeds," the preacher points to the precedence which justice takes to mercy in the make-up of the And it is exactly this strong emphasis upon just-Creator. ice which moves God to reward those who heed His commandments. For the commandments stem from the character of God, and disobedience or neglect of these commandments are therefore violence to the character, extreme human justice. Such behavior cannot

<sup>39.</sup> Buber Psalm 99, homily 2.

<sup>41.</sup> Yalkut Psalm 145.

be rewarded favorably. Justice must exact its price, at the 42 very cost of mercy.

"Great Is God ... "

Many references are made to God's omnipotence. to the greatness of His accomplishments, to His strength, But what are themanifestations of this strength? We can see a man's power by the stones he lifts -- and hurls. But of what God? Well, we come too appreciate His power through observation of the world about us. The very heavens declare the glory God, and in scanning the horizons, we see the work of God's fingers bverywhere. According to Micah 1.11, the angels of heaven have sung of God's power enduring from sunrise to sunset among all the nations. Yet we heard the sons of Korah praising His greatness in Zion alone. How ro reconcile this seeming contradiction? God was great in Zion, true. He had accomplished a great wonder there. He had brought His Sechina to rest there. He had built a Temple, and raised up a people. But where was His greatness among the other nations? "To teach you that if He is great in His city, He is great outside The greatness of man is determined the city walls as well." by what he has done. If his deeds are known locally, he will be acclaimed everywhere, for greatness is universal. We may praise men for their accomplishments and the praise may equal or surpass the worth of their deeds. Not so with God. Regardless of the effusion of our adoration we cannot exceed the greatness of God. For nothing in the world takes precedence

<sup>42.</sup> Buber Psalm 25, homily 11.

<sup>43.</sup> Yalkut, Psalm 19.

<sup>44.</sup> Buber Psalm 48, homily 1. 45. Ibid. 106. 2.

"place of" the world, not the world the "place" of God. The religious takes precedence over the material, the spiritual over the earthly.

And just as God character is a harmony of mercy and justice, so man's attitude to the greatness of God should be a syncheretization of fear and joy. This is the significance of Psalm 2.11 telling us to "serve the Lord with fear" and Psalm 100.2 bidding us to "worship the Lord with joy." What the Psalmist meant by this apparent contradiction, says the rabbi, is that neither fear alone nor joy alone should characterize our approach to the greatness of God. "When you see yourself alive, rejoice in your life, but fear God." Typical of the otherworldly interpretation so often found in the Midrash Tehillim and Yalkut Shimoni, is the explanation another rabbi attempts of the contradiction named above. If one will serve God in fear in this world, he says, then man will rejoice in God in the world to come.

"For Thy Name's Sake ... "

To the rabbis, God, in order to uphold the reputation of His name and greatness, was, so to speak, compelled to do certain things—for His name's sake. Echoing earlier teachings, 49 especially as found in the prophet Ezekial, the exegetes too saw the necessity of this doctrine in their faith. Overtaken with humility at their own transgressions, with the indadequacy

<sup>46.</sup> Buber, Psalm 90, homily 10.

<sup>47.</sup> Ibid. 100, 3

<sup>49.</sup> Chapter 20.9,14,22,44.etc.

of their generation of people before God, with the impiety of their age, upon what other basis could they ask God to fulfill their desires, to grant them salvation from their oppressors? "If Thou canst not do this for our own sake, do it, we pray Thee, for the sake of the sanctity of Thine own name." Again, we are told that when Israel had lived obediently to God's will, He will help them for their own deeds. When they neglect or violate His commandments, He must then help Israel for His own name's sake. It is the faith in the greatness of His name and and their consequent appeal to it, that gave the oppressed Israelites comfort. Believing themselves to be punished for their own inddequacies, for their spiritual poverty, for the lack of their intellectual accomplishments, they stood cowering before the glory of God. Came then the rabbis who comforted them in their humility and contriteness of heart. If there are no Samuels, or Joshuas to lead, even if you walk in the darkness, "trust in My name and I shall protect you." That saved Hananya, Mishael and Azaryah, and many others down the centuries. That will deliver the present generations. There is no man who has sunk so low but that faith and the awareness of God and the greatness of His name will nor raise him to the greatest heights, to the realms of glory.

<sup>50.</sup> Buber, Psalm 22, homily 18. 51. Ibid. 94, 3.

<sup>52.</sup> Ibid.

"...And God Created."

lections of homilies. The rabbinic appreciation of the world in which they lived is indicated in their belief that God created many such worlds, but found each less satisfactory than the other, until He finally shaped the present world into 53 existence. Regarding the creation of Adam, God saw this to be the wisest thing after some reflection. At first, there was some question about creating Adam first or Abraham first. But, thought God, suppose Abraham did something wrong, who possibly would follow him? Now, it was best that Adam be first, and let Abraham come upon a world already well ordered and understood by men. Together with Noah, Adam and Abraham are the pillars of the foundation of the earth.

A heretic once asked R. Simlai why God was known with three names (ayl, elohim, yahveh). The To the heretic, this seemed to implay a trinity. In retort, R. Simlai explained that a singular verb is used when these names for God occur 55 together. But neither the heretic nor the disciples of R. Simlai are satisfied with that explanation. Then, adds the teacher, the three names represent the three aspects of God's creative ability. They correspond to the three attributes with which He shaped the world into being, namely, hahmah, daat and tevunah. See the difference between a human art-

<sup>53.</sup> Buber, Psalm 34. homily 1.

<sup>54.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>55.</sup> Psalm 50.1 the singular verb diber is used, implying one God. 56. Buber, Psalm 50, homily 1.

isan and God. Man, in fashioning a statue, begins with the 57 feet first, or the head first; God created man all at once.

from texts by analyzing each letter of a given word, is the homily which considers the verse in Isaiah 26.4: Ki beyah adonoy zur olamim. Olam implies the present and furture worlds. With the letter heh, God created the present world. Witness the heh in the word hiborom, Genesis 2.4. And with the yod He created the world-to-come. But why should this world have been created with the letter heh? Because, just as the letter heh is easily pronounced and neither teeth nor lips are used, so God was able to create the world without 58 difficulty.

between human artisans and God the Creator. For instance, the things that man shapes with his hands cannot in turn create.

The creatures of God can. Nor can man's creations eat, or sleep, or carry out the normal human functions which are characteristic of God's creatures. What is more, man will shape things into existence and very often they will sur vive him.

God's creatures however die off, but the Creator is eternal.

God can fashion things in the dark, as he did when he shaped light into existence. Man has no such power. God has the power to create living things in the water; man cannot. And, finally, man may shape some object, praise its worthiness and

18 26.

<sup>57.</sup> Buber, Psalm 50, homily 1. 58. Ibid. 62, 1. 59. Buber 103, 1.

and then sell it, so that he may live. The Holy One, blessed be He, creates His creatures, they praise Him, and He sustains 60 them.

Aside from the aspect of Creation, there are many vital differences between God and man. As a protector: Man may have some human patron who claims some sort of responsibility over him. But in time of peril, the earthly patron can do nothing. Can an earthly guardian deliver from the ravaging sea? Joanh was delivered by God. Can an earthly patron save from the flames? Hananyah, Mishael and Azaryah were so delivered by God. Can an human guardian be rescued from the wild beasts? Daniel was rescued by God. Furthermore, to reach the ears of some human guardian, the one in danger must first yell to the servant, who in turn will call the patron. But as for God, one need only call to Him directly, and He will deliver the 61 unfortunate victim.

As a friend: It is in the very nature of man that if he has wealthy friends and relatives, he will acknowledge them. Should they be poor, he will ignore them. Not so with God who will seek the welfare of man even though he be in the lowest and direct of straits. Man does not like to be bothered with people asking favors/gifts of him. As for God, the more men pray for their needs and welfare from Him, the more He loves them. A human host will receive a guest with kindness the first day and offer him a bed; on the second day

<sup>60.</sup> Buber, Psalm 18, homily 26. 61. Ibid.. 4, 3.

he will let him use the chair; the third day he will extend to the guest nothing but a hard bench; and on the fourth day the weary cry will be apt to cry: "Why do you weary me?" But God's love for man increases with every added day that they dwell for his house of prayer and dwell with Him.

As a benefactor: The human benefactor soon wearies of his friends' constant request for favors and monetary assitance. The first day he will receive the caller with some kindness; the second day he will be disposed much less warmly; the next day he will turn his back upon anyone who comes to ask for favors. But God's kindness increases with every added 63 petition.

As a king: Kings come and kings go, from Pharach to Caesar, while their cities endure and survive their human tyrannical builders. But as for God, Zion is destroyed, while 64

He is eternal.

When one entrusts some precious object to the hands of a fellow human being, one is never certain of its safety. But souls are entrusted to God and His providence guards over them.

Man is not always faithful to old friends, particularly when the friend is one who has lost his wealth. But God never deserts those who fear Him, even the humblest and the neediest. Man is inclined to pass by the fallen with indifference. But God will seek to uplift the weary and broken-down. In the same vein, another homily declares that a human judge is apt to show favor

<sup>62.</sup> Ibid.
63. Ibid., Psalm 55, homily 6.

<sup>65.</sup> Tbid., 25. 2.

<sup>66.</sup> Yalkut, Psalm 36.

to the rich /and neglect the poor. But God is a judge before whom every68
one is alike, rich and poor, great and small. Likewise,
when a man brings a gift to a human king, the king may receive it, or he may not, as the whim of the moment may strike
him. Should he accept it, he may look at or he may not, again
as he happens to feel at the moment. Should he see it, he
may do the request of the man who seeks the favor, or he
who
may not. Not so with God, for one cent (prutah) will bring
the presence of the Sechina torest upon him who seeks the
69
boon.

<sup>68.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 102.

<sup>69. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 17

#### THE SUPERNATURAL

While there is not an overwhelming amount of the supernatural in either collection of the homilies under our consideration, still there are sufficient references to the mystic and miraculous and superstitious to indicate that the
rabbis did think about it, and that it did play some part
in the thought, beliefs and actions of the people to whom
they preached. It is not an easy matter to determine whether
the rabbis actually believed everything they said that
smacks of the supernatural. It is difficult to believe that
they took it seriously, as seriously as it seems at first
glance. Some would like to think that they spoke of them,
as it were, metaphorically. At any rate, they are there,
and it is our task to indiciate them.

Angelology: There is never any attempt to define or to describe the angels; but there is frequent mention of them throughout the homidies of both collections. They, the angels are ever present. When Israel received the Torah at 70 Sinai, sixty myriads of angels stood by. When the Zadikkim, the righteous pass away, three companies of angels join them to greet them as they enter the world-to-come. The wicked, however, get no such reception of the heavenly hosts. Incidentially, it is pointed out that the righteous are greater than these angels, because the latter stand in God's presence, only to tremble in awe; the righteous actually hear

<sup>70.</sup> Buber, Psalm 103, homily 8.

<sup>71. &</sup>lt;u>Kbid.</u>, 30 3.

the Creator's voice, nor do they trmble, but are unafraid. At the same, it issaid, however, that three angels do lead the 72 name of God (SHADAY) about through the heavens. At the Creation, the angels are pictured as being jealous of man. They prefer that man be not created. However, after he is finally created and when the time comes for the other creatures of the earth to be named, man proves his superior ability to the angels, by naming every creature properly, a task which the angels could not do. The same heavenly angels express their jealousy at Sinai. They would prefer to have the Torah for themselves. They point to their own holiness and purity—requisites for the reception of the Torah. But God instead prefers man's impurity and profanity and gives the Torah to him.

When Moses ascends the holy mountain to receive the Torah, and when the impatient Israelites persuade Aaron to lead them in idolatrous worship of the Golden Calf, the angels are triumphant. They rejoice because they had warned God of man's wickedness and of their superior sanctity. Now their convictions have been justified and proven correct. At its very reception of the Torah, on the day of their very consecration to God, the Israelites have sinned; The Lord, however, reminds the heavenly hosts of their own sins. Have they forgotten how they dared to mix themilk and meat dishes when they dined with Abraham? Finally, we read of these angels praising God and preparing manna for the Israelites, apparently reconciled to the fact that man must share in Divine blessing.

7.

<sup>72.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 19.

<sup>73.</sup> Buber, Psalm 8, homily 3.

<sup>75.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>76.</sup> Ibid. 19.

Tetragrammaton: Of the very few references to the Divine ineffable name, one of the significant statements posited by one preacher is that that name was not always so esoteric as it is today, that, as a matter of fact, there have been times in Jewish history when the name was used with Divine sanction. The generation of the Anshe Kneset Hagdolah, the Great Assembly and the generation of the martyrs used the name freely. Apparently, then, auspicious generations, like that which attempted to carry out God's divine law on earth and that which gave itself up for Kiddush Hashem were permitted to do what under ordinary circumstances even the High Priest could not.

Miracles: The rabbinic concept of miracles are a bit easier to understand, since they are based upon the power and strength of God. They flow naturally from the great, unshaken faith which characterized the preachers. Given in a religious tinge, the exposition of the miracles reflect the deep and abiding religious belief of these menas well as their desire to implant some of that faith in the hearts of their people. The rabbis understood, likewise, the difficulty of most men to grasp the real significance of miracles. Only David seemed able to account for them, one rabbi explained. The weak, foolish multitudes, they cannot tell of them because they cannot comprehend God's strength. But there are miracles happening to us daily. Day in, day out, God sustains us, delivers us from unseen (by human eyes) perils. Witness the exodues from Egypt: a people of humiliated, degraded slaves suddenly con-

<sup>77.</sup> Ibid. 78, Buber, Psalm 106, homily 1.

verted into a free and proud people with a law of its own and Or witness a God of its own and a home-land to work for. another great miracle of history: David, the poor, shepherd boy, unknown and unsung, suddenly tramsformed to a great Surely these are miracles of history. And we see monarch. a certain rational, religious process of thought. The rabbis do not talk of Christ-like miracles, of walking on waters, of healing the maimed with a word, etc. Here are rather events in Jewish history which show that through faith and hope Israel will triumph and God's law vindicated. These are the miracles.

It is not easy to determine whether or not Evil Spirits: the preachers employed the threat of "mazikkim", of these evil spirits to merely frighten the superstitious, ignorant masses of people, Likewise, it is not simple to discernate what extent the rabbis believed in these spirits themselves. But they did speak of them. For instance, a man should be sure to repeat the Shema on coming home from the Synagogue, in order to drive away the mazikkim, one teacher admonishes his audience. Man must be grateful for the protection he derives from God, adds another homiletician. Were it not for God, the evil spirits Prior to the erection would harm and even destroy human beings. of the Tabernacle, there was constant contention between man and these spirits. Curiously enough, these vague, indefinable

<sup>79.</sup> Buber, Psalm 118, homily 21.

<sup>80.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4,</sup> 24. 104,

83

spirits rest on the Sabbath. Apparently, they too are obedient to the will and law of God. Perhaps this was but another way for the preachers to indicate their unshaken belief that nothing is evil, because all stems from God. Perhaps this was just another way for them to say that there is purpose, good, even for these "evil" spirits, a purpose which man could not see, and a good which the human mind could not understand.

Satan: Apart from the book of Job, Old Testament refereences to the arch-villain of religious lore, Satan, are very few and vague. In Job, we see, for the first time, the traditionalcharacter of the devil, the conspirator against man, the adversary, the heavenly force that seeks constant fault with the human race. This traditional interpretation is carried out in the Midrash Tehillim and the Yalkut Shimoni where he is mentioned. Satan is still seeking man's destruction, still fault-finding and blaming before God. In one instance, for example, man is admonished that if he will make the proper blessing after he washes his hands in prepration for a pastime, God will bless that meal, and Satan will not be able to desecrate Likewise, if man will be sure to say the amidah immediateit. ly after the geulah, Satan will not be able to denounce that day. In still another homily, Satan is depicted as sent by God to examine man's doings on the Day of Atonement. And when Satan appears on earth, only to find every one dressed in white, fasting and praying, he is greatly abashed and is forced to retreat.

<sup>84.</sup> Ibid. Psalm 4, homily 9.

<sup>86.</sup> Told. Psalm 27, homily 4.

## ISRAEL AND THE NATIONS

Except in those comparatively rare passages where the speaker of the Psalms is interpreted to be King David or some other historical character of Jewish history, first person seems to be understood to be that of Israel. And the later rabbis who added their homiletical interpretations to these passages accepted this meaning. pressions of suffering were the suffering of the people of Israel, and not that of some individual. Words of hope and joy were to be taken as hope and joy for all Israel, not for one heart alone. Elation implied a time of triumph and peace, despair a time of persecution and affliction by the neighboring peoples. It is always the people that is speaking, and the wicked means the wicked nations who prosper despite their evildoings. The preachers of Midrash Tehillim and Walkut Shimoni obviously lived in times which reflected the times in which the Psalms themselves were penned. despair, the outburst of sorrow, the outpouring of questioning and with it the expression of invincible faith of the Psalter found a responsive chord in the hearts of the exegetes.

The preachers are for the most part narrow and batter. That cannot be gainsaid, nor need it be explained. For great was their suffering and great their agony and pain.

There are a few noteworthy exceptions, in which passages the preachers indicates a universalistic and tolerant attitude. For example in one instance, he laments the fact that in his day there is so much particularistic banner—waving, each house and land having its own banner. In the future, he predicts, all will wave the banner of the Lord.

Again, the preacher speaks of the Torah as an inheritance of the congregation of Jacob. For him that means not only Jew, but non-Jew as well. A non-Jew who studies Torah can be likened unto a High Priest among the Israelites, he asserts. For does not the Biblical passage, Leviticus 18.5, say that "man shall live by"the laws of God? This means, then, not Israelite alone, nor Levite alone, nor Again.

But these are exceptions, after all. And the general theme of the homileticians is narrow and bitter. In one instance, Israel is likened unto wheat, while the other nations are referred to as chaff. The wheat is necessary for the very existence of the world; the chaff is quite unnecessary and is ultimately only to be driven about in 89 the winds. The leaves, stem and root of the wheat plant often think that the plant is for their purpose; but they are proven wrong. Only the wheat remains and sustains life of humanity. Only Israel will remain and sustain the world.

When the other nations sing to God in praise, God tells

<sup>87.</sup> Buber, Psalm 20, homily 10.

<sup>88. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 1, 18.

<sup>89. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 2, 13.

them to sing to Israel first because it is really for Israel that the world exists, and without them the universe would Crying out from out of the not persist for one moment. depths of suffering, the preacher consoles Israel with the story of a father and his son who were walking in the highway. They were weary and footsore, and even though had walked for many days and traversed many miles, they did not find a city. The son was becoming desperate. But the father consoled him. "Do not fear; son, we are already come near to the cemetery. Know from this that the city is nearby." Thus it is with Israel. When they see the suffering and the persecution is near at hand, let them be certain that redemption is near at hand. Quoting the phrase from Canticles 8.7, "many waters will not quench love," one rabbis affirms that this could not but refer to Israel and the nations. Who could the many waters be but the many cruel tyrants? And what could love be but God's love for Israel?

For, despite the suffering and the affliction, Israel
94
has sought to fulfill the Torah, every minute part of it.
be
As a result, Godmust be partial. Even though he/merciful
to the nations by judging them at night when they are asleep
and thus abstaining from their daily habit of sin, He judges
Israel in the daytime, when they are occupied in fulfilling
95
His mizvot, and thus more meritorious.

<sup>91.</sup> Ibid., Psalm 2, homily 14.
92. Ibid., 20, 4.
93. Ibid., 15, 4.
94. Ibid., 13, 3.
95. Ibid., 9, 11.

The agony of Israel can be likened unto the agony of a dying man who waits for the doctor impatiently, constantly asking, pleading "when will the doctor finally get here?" So Israel, in its suffering, is impatient and continually pleads for the redemption of the Lord. But let Israel be confident, that though He seems to tarry, He will surely He will come because of the intimate relationship come . that exists between the two. Israel was bade to fulfill certain laws and they were fulfilled without the knowledge of the rewards which they brought with them. No matter in what name of God Israel calls upon, He will answer them, be that name elohim, shaday or yahveh. Nor is the Creator's love for Israel limited by time; it is eternal and everlasting and ever increasing in strength and intensity There is only one praise for God, and that praise is Israel.

God may have been great at the Crestion of the Universe,
but having made Israel a free people from the tyranny of
101
Pharaoh made Him even still greater. In gratitude, regardless of how intensely the nations may try to separate God from
Israel, still Israel calls upon His name several times daily
102
in prayer. Everyone knows that even the Kingdom of Heaven
cannot be complete nor at rest until these nations have
103
ceased tyrannizing Israel. On heaven and earth, there never
Will never be complete joy and peace until Israel is redeemed.

96.	Buber,	Psalm 6,	homily	5.	100.		Psalm	109.	homily	1.
97.	Ibid.,	119,	v	1.	101.	Ibid.,		104,	V	4.
	Ibid.,	118,		9.	102.	Ibid.,	*	104,	e 4	12.
99.	Ibid.,	118,		4.	103.	Ibid.,		99,		1.
	entransia della successioni				104.	Ibid.,		98,		l.

With the suffering unabated. Israel seems to be as a widow or as an orphan, laments one preacher. Then he hastens to correct himself -- but not as a real widow or as an actual or-Israel is merely as a woman whose husband has gone to some distant land but plans to return. Israel is as a child whose father has gone away and needs someone to sustain the child in the father's absence. Alone and dejected, Israel cries out to God: "We are alone and cast down, but what difference does that make to you?" And yet it does make a difference to the Creator, blessed be He. Did He not do wondrous things for Israel and always accredit them to Israel, as for instance, accrediting the victory over Egypt to Moses himself? Does He not answer them when they pray to Him, and does He not regard Israel as His particular portion?

from rotting and their bodies from wearying in the wandering 109 through the desert for forty years? Yes, He loves them with a three-fold love--with great attachment, with great 110 pleasure and with especial favor. Consequently, when He looks upon the world and sees the triumph of the circuses and the amphitheatres of the idolatrous nations, even while the Holy Temple is in ruins, He is greatly stirred and would

<sup>105.</sup> Ibid., Psalm 68, homily 3. 106. Ibid., 42, 5. 107. Ibid., 36, 1. 108. Ibid., 28, 1. 109. Ibid., 23, 3. 110. Ibid., 22. 22.

For He remembers destroy the world in His anger. 111 that everything that was ever good andnoble, He had created in Zion: Torah, blessing, life, dignity, etc. If Israel is cast down and impatiently waiting for God's redemption, let them remember that God has not abandoned them, but that they have abandoned God. And now they must wait until it 113 Israel carries on at times pleases Him to redeem them. like the woman who was travelling alone on the lonely highway. Toward nightfall she came to an inn and the innkeeper warned that she had better take the night's lodging in the inn and continue her journey in the morning; for the highway at night is a robber's den. The woman refused to heed the innkeeper's advice and walked on. When night came and the shadows deepened, and she saw suspicious-looking characters coming toward her, she turned and ran toward the inn, pleading for a night's lodging. Thus it has been with Israel. When they walk in the light, they are unafraid and would not heed the law . But when the darkness is upon them and they are afraid, they plead for redemption and God's divine intervention. In the night they come running impatiently and cringeingly to God. Let Israel remember that they and God are mutual inheritances; that if they would not have God abandon them, let not

Buber, Fsalm 18, homily 12. 112.

<sup>113.</sup> 

That this should be a contradiction to passages which tell of Israel devoted fulfillment of God's law is not 114. The preachers used their professional "license" or prerogative to vary the theme as they willed.

Buber, Psalm 10, homily 8.

them abandon God. If Israel will return, God will redeem them again and finally, as He has done many times in 117
the past. Let Israel continue to attribute strength and glory to God even in trouble as they have in times of 118
prosperity. Let them praise God in every limb and nerve of the human body, as they have done in times ofhope.

Tsrael must have have hope and remember that like a man who has many casks of wine but finds them all soured with the exception of one, so God has created seventy nations, loo but He finds favor only in one, only in Israel. Even though God has the world entirely at his command, even though all that is in creation belongs to Him, He finds delight only in Jacob, only in the congregation of Israel.

Like the two farmers who have each a vineyard, but find themselves living each far removed from his own vinewyard but near to the vineyard of his neighbor, watch over each other's vines, so God will protect Israel in exchange for 122 the fulfillment of His law.

It matters not if there are not many righteoussin any one given generation. Mere trust in God and faith in His 123 name will bring Divine blessing and providence. Let them

<sup>116.</sup> Buber, Psalm 5, homily 1.

<sup>117.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 48.

<sup>118.</sup> Tbid., Psalm 43.

<sup>119. &</sup>lt;u>Tbid.</u>, 35.

<sup>120.</sup> Ibid., 20.

<sup>121.</sup> Tbid., 19.

<sup>123.</sup> Buber, Psalm 31, homily 1.

not despair with the present sufferance: this world is day for the wicked nations and night for Israel; but the worldto-come will bring day and hope to Israel and blackness to those wicked nations. For though Israel seems to be like the dust under the heel of tyrants, let them remember that dust will ultimately corrode and crush the strongest of steel. Let them remember that the mightiest of nations from Fersia to Greece, from the Hasmonean foes to Pharaoh, Sisera, Sennachar ib, Rome have gone down. Nebuchadrezzar, all tyrants of history have questionedd God's immutable will and have gone down in destruction Like locusts who try to attack a precious therefrom. plant but are driven back by the wind, or like the waves of the ocean that reach out for the shores but always must recede, so the wickednations, always reaching out to destroy Israel, are driven back, are repelled. ultimately the light of I salvation will shine for them, not through the hands of any one man, but a final redemp-Ultimately, Israel will be tion through God Himself. what it has always been, splendid, graceful, fruitful, rich as the blessed Palm tree. If the wicked who observe only the Noahidian laws now prosper, how muchmore will Israel prosper when they fulfill all the six-hundred and thirteeen Without Israel the world will know no blessing. Without them the very planets will not shine.

<sup>124.</sup> Buber, Psalm 25, homily 7. 129. Ibid., Psalm 36, homily 10. 125. <u>Ibid</u>., 119, 130. Ibid., 12. 126. Ibid., 131. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 4. 30, 6. 132. Ibid., 127. Ibid. 1. Ibid 2. 133.

## THE ETHICAL AND RELIGIOUS LIFE

There is hardly an aspect of ethical and religious life that the preachers of Midrash Tehillim and the Yalkut Shimoni do not touch upon in these homilies. Throughout both volumes, the authors are obviously imbued with deep ethical and spiritual inspiration. Every ethical principle, every religious practice and institution is described and discussed again and again. The themes may be disorganized and without apparent unity, but they are to be found in abundance throughout the two works. The deep sense of appreciation of the struggle of all humanity, and particularly of Judaism, for ethical achievement runs throughout the works and colors every word.

Perhaps the significant fact in this regard is that even the religious practices, as will be shown below, have been highly ethicized and spiritualized. There is less emphasis upon ritual and more upon morality; less stress upon ceremony and more upon the will and inclination of the heart to do good; less importance attributed to pageantry and ritual and more to the cleanliness of the utterances of the lips and the purity of the thoughts of the mind. Ceremony and ritual are here, true, but they seem to be media for transmission of ethical truth rather than ends in themselves. In most instances they are dealt with as mere tools to make clear some ethical and spiritual ideal.

One gets the feeling that the preachers are more interested in prophetic than in priestly Judaism, more in social justice than in ritual. And, though written centuries ago, the homilies make the modern student who seeks a faith of idealism and striving for the realization of a better world feels that he has an ally in these ancient preachers of the homilies to the Psalter.

The very first Psalm begins with a consideration of the ethical life. Referring to the verse "Blessed is he who has not walked in the counsel of the wicked, nor stood in the way of sinners, nor sat in the seat of the scoffers," the rabbi asks, "how is it possible that a man who has not walked shall stand, and if he has not stood, how shall he sit among the wicked?" Whence follows the explanation that this verse is merely to tell man that if he walks, ultimately he will stand, and if he stands, finally he will sit with the scoffers. For sin leads to another sin, whilst ethical principle leads to more ethical principles. As a matter of fact, adds the preacher, let man remember that he who sits quietly and abstains from sin, Scripture will ascribe it unto him as though he is fulfilling a commandment. Etymologically, the preacher is troubled by the fact that Psalm 1.3 should suse the verb shasul instead of natua. But, he concludes, this is an intensive form employed to reveal

<sup>134.</sup> Buber, Psalm 1, homily 7.

<sup>135.</sup> Ibid.

that the man of the purely ethical life is so deeply, intensely embedded in virtue that no wind, however fierce, shall uproot 136 him.

The significance of every single ethical ideal toward the salvation of man is shown in the story of Rabbi Gamliel and Akiba. It is said that when the former read the verse of Psalm 15.5, "He that doeth all these things (ethical principles) shall never be moved, " he broke down and wept, crying, "Where is the man that can fulfill all these ideals?" When Akiba read the same passage, however, he began to laugh. Gamliel was amazed and asked for anexplanation. "Does it not say in Leviticus 11.31," responded Akiba, "these are the unclean in all the land, telling that he who does one unclean thing is likened unto him who has committed them all? It is not logical, then, that we shall similarly consider the good precepts? And if a man has fulfilled one ethical ideal, is he not likened unto him who has carried them all out?" Whereupon, we are told, Gamliel said, "I am sorry, Akiba, I am sorry."

In their emphasis upon the ethical life, the rabbis purported to be emulating the ways of God as well as the habits of the heavenly hosts themselves. Thus, when Abraham was host to the messengers of God, the rabbis note, he sat while the Sechina stood waiting for the angels to finnish their repast. This indicates that hospitality to

<sup>136.</sup> Buber, Psalm 1, homily 11. 137. Ibid., 15, 7.

strangers is more important than the reception of the Sechina 138 It is the custom of mankind that the rabbi. itself. speaks first and the disciples responds. But as for God, we know that Moses spoke first, and then the Creator, bles sed Usually a disciple walks before the be  $^{
m H}$ e, responded. Rabbi to illumine the way for the latter. God, however, preceded Israel that He might illumine their path with a pillar of cloud by day and a pillar of fire by night. That God appreciated the ethical emphasis is shown in the fact that when He created the days of the week  $^{
m He}$  selected the Sabbath for Himself because on that day Israel would fulfill their ethical obligations. When He created the monthsof the year  $^{
m H}$ e selected the months in which the festivals occur, because then again Israel would fulfill His ethical precepts. When He created the years, He chose the Sabbatical year for the rophecy, knowledge, mercy, righteousnesssame reason. these are among the things which God created and considered 142 as precious, and without which He regards man as valueless.

The Good and Evil Inclinations: Like all Rabbinic literature, Midrash Tehillim and Valkut Shimoni deal at length with the two inclinations in human character, the will to do good and the inclination for evil. The struggle between these two forces, man's duty to subdue the evil And to feed the will and purpose of the good, these concepts play

<sup>138.</sup> Buber, Psalm 22, homily 5.

<sup>139.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>140.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>141.</sup> Ibid., 24, 4. 142. Ibid., 115, 15.

an important part in the thoughts of the preachers. Thus, referring to Psalm 41.2, "Happy is he that considereth the poor, Rabbi Aba explains that this must refer to the man who can conquer his inclination for evil with his will for In another homily to the same Psalm the preacher good. analyzes the meaning of the words in Ecclesiastes 9.14. "small city" must mean the body of man; the "few men" refer to the limbs of the body; the "great king" represents the yezer ra and the "wise man" typifies the yezer tov. typical rabinic faith in everything that has been created, in God's wisdom and goodness, the preachers see no evil in anything. Even this Yezer Ra has been a force for good. For without it, the preacher explained, man would not marry, nor beget children, nor would the world persist, Ultimately, both inclinations give praise to God and declare their obeisance to Him.

But especially to be prized is the Yezer Tov. It is likened unto the "wise boy" of Ecclesiastes 4.13. It directs the world to ways of wisdom; all nations heed it; its counterpart, the "old king" is the yezer ra, which is called "king" because all the limbs would heed its desires and "old" because it remains with man to his dying day.

Arman can walk with another one hour and become fast friends, observes one preacher. But man is born with the yezer ra, he is reared with it, it follows him everywhere—

<sup>143.</sup> Buber, Psalm 41, homily 2.

<sup>144.</sup> Ibid.,

<sup>145.</sup> Ibid., 9, 1. 146. Ibid., 5.

can there be a greater and more persistent enemy than that? Its enmity is great and only one means will save man from David himself was troubled by the conflict it -- repentance. of both inclinations in his soul and he sought God's counsel in this matter. Whereupon he was told that man should deal with it as he does with an animal which refuses to stay in line to plow -- man must force it to work with the force of And if man cannot conquer the evil easily, let him study Torah. If this does not avail, let him read the Shema in bed. If this is not effective, let him remember the day of his death. Because Psalm 48.14 says shishu libchem instead of shishu levavchem, i.e, the singular noun, the rabbis concluded that only the Yezer Tov will remain to enjoy the pleasures of the world-to-come.

Freedom Of Will: From the above, it should be concluded that the rabbis believed wholeheartedly in man's freedom of will. Man is endowed with knowledge of both good and evil. Within his soul is the power to choose between the two. There are not many references to this subject in either collection, but those that do occur are fully in agreement with man's free will. A typical homily tells that Moses was asked if <sup>G</sup>od did not prevent him from entering the Promised Land and Moses answered that he chose this voluntarily. Likewise Adam compared himself to

149. Thid 86,

<sup>147.</sup> Buber, Psalm 34, homily 2. 150. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 4. 148. Ibid., 32, 151. Ibid.,

a sick man who was told what to do and what not to do by the physician (God). His evil action which followed was of his own accord and had nothing to do with the physcian, and if 152 he had obeyed God, he would not have died. The theolgoicophilosophical problem as to how to reconcile freedom of will and the omniscience of God is not discussed in either collection of homilies.

Patience: The man who hears some other man cursing him but can be patient enough to remain silent, he is called pious. For David overheard overheard men cursing him and he remained silent, whereupon he won the title of pious or 153
Hasid.

Humility: The same admiration for humility, contriteness of heart that characterize the Psalms are found in the homilies. Thus, it is not fitting that man should express self-praise, but he should let others praise him. This we may learn from God who did not utter His own self-praise but let Israel adore Him, as it is said in 118.2:"Let Israel say that God's mercy endureth forever." The great figures of Jewish history are humble, according to the rabbis. Solomon expressed contempt for the Moses was modest. arrogant and admiration for the modest. David, the paragon of rabbinic virtue, shared this blessing too. moment of anguish he is said to have cried out: "O God, when

<sup>152.</sup>Buber, Psalm 92, homily 14. 153.Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 16. 154.Buber, Psalm 118, homily 6. 155.Ibid., 10, 7. 156.Ibid., 28, 3.

Thou wilt judge the wicked, judge me too. And when Thou wilt 157 judge the merit of the righteous, do not judge me then."

When the Temple was established and a man would bring an offering to its altar, he would be accredited for that particular offering. But when a humble man approached, Scriptures would reckon it as though he has brought up all the sacrifices specified in the Torah. So great is humility, indeed, that one rabbi believed that when a man works with a broken vessel he is usually ashamed of it; but 159 God--His favorite vessels are broken and shattered.

He who utters self-praise, who does share some of this divinely-blessed humility is doomed to tragic destruction, the rabbis concluded. This fact may be inferred from the horrible fate that befell arrogant generation of the flood, the generation of the Tower of Babel, Sodom, Sennacharib, Sisera, Edom, Doeg and Gog and Magog. All of these paid bitterly for their 160 haughtiness.

Hypocrisy: Rabbinic indignation against hypocrisy and flattery was burning and great. The Lord's wrath 161 shall be upon the man who speaks words of hypocrisy.

The wicked-mongers of 28.3 are none other than hypocrites.

One should never forget the fate of Absalom who spoke words 163 that were not in his heart.

<sup>157.</sup> Buber, Psalm 26, homily 1. 160. Buber, Psalm 11, homily 5. 158. Ibid., 23, 2. 161. Ibid., 52, 1. 159. Ibid., 28, 3. 162. Ibid., 28, 4.

Envy: As in their analysis of the Yezer Ra, the rabbis, while realizing the danger of jealousy in man, still saw its value. And as above, they could say that without envy the world would not persist, for man would not marry 163 nor build a home. Thus, did they realize that the danger of certain human traits bould best be met by channelling those traits into the right directions. Envy for the fear of God is a virtue rather than a vice; envy for the light of the Torah is likewise most meritorious.

If a man, once rich, loseshis wealth, his Charity: neighbor should approach him and say: I have heard that you are about to inherit a fortune and that so-and-so owe you a great deal of money. If you want, take from me and when you will get your inheritance and when you will get back the money men owe you, then will you pay me back. do the rabbis indicate their sensitive souls, their great pity for the poverty-stricken, their passion for the duty of giving charity to the poor. So that if a man but express the intention to give charity, Scriptures will reckon it as though he has given it. On the final day of judgement, charitywill plead for the salvation of him who gave it in his life-time. Ben Azzai went so far as to say that any man who gives charity may rest assured that his prayer is heard and answered. "See the power of charity," said another rabbi, "a manwill give one cent and merit the very Sechina to attend him."

<sup>163.</sup> Buber, Psalm 37, homily 1. 166. Buber, Psalm 50, homily 2. 164. Ibid. 41, 4.

<sup>65.</sup> Tbid., 41, 3. 168. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 17.

Peace: The prophetic longing for a world of peace, of surcease from strife, is echoed again and again by the rabbinic preachers of the Psalter. Many are the virtues and fruits they would heap upon the ideal of peace:

Peace is so great a virtue that lies are told to preserve it, as when Joseph's brothers lied to him about their father' hope that the brothers would live peacefully, when 169 he, Jacob ,died. Likewise, peace is great because when God created the heavens and earth, He also created peace 170 between them. The messiah, indeed, will come only in 171 a time of peace. Furthermore, the importance of peace is revealed in the fact that all blessings are consummated with the word for peace. Even in war we pray for peace.(!) Peace is the reward of the penitent, of the righteous, of the studentsof Torah, of givers of charity. Of all the ethical precepts the rabbis tell us to "pursue" only peace.

Slander: Perhaps the most frequently repeated warnings of the rabbis are given against slander, tale-bearing, idde gossip. This must have been a very serious problem in the days in which the preachers lived, that it should occupy so great a part of their attention. Every opportunity that avails itself, they lash out against slander. Perpetrators of slander are threatened with the most terrifying ends.

<sup>169.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 29.

<sup>170.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>171.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>172.</sup> Ibid.

The rabbis use the most indignant and forceful terms to characterize those guilty of gossip. Thus: "The reward of slander are the fires of hell," asserts one teacher, "and 1.73 the slanderer will never, never rise to the world-to-come." God broke the legs and shattered the teeth of the serpent that mustnow eat the dust of the earth, and this will be the fate of the slanderer. The heart was created to tell 175 Slander is more serious a crime than murder, truth alone. adultery or idolatry. Murder ends the life of one person; while slander murders the speaker, the one spoken to, and the one spoken about. The story is told of a man who was selling the "medicine of life." Rabbi Yannai's daughter wanted to purchase some of this medicine. Whereupon she was shown the verse -- "He who wants life, let him guard his tongue from speaking evil." When Yose Halafta was a lad, he used to spend much time sporting with his companions, one rabbi narrates. Once a man threatened to tell Yose's father. Whereupon the lad spoke up: "By your life, why would you do If you tell father, I shall be whipped, and you shall this? be in the habit of telling slander." While many historic slanderers are referred to in the homilies, the most frequently mentioned is Doeg, the infamous man who slandered David.

<sup>173.</sup> Buber, Psalm 58, homily 3. 177. Ibid.
174. Ibid., 2. 178. Ibid., Psalm 50, homily 3. 175. Ibid.
175. Ibid. 179. Ibid. 52, 1. 176. Ibid., 52, 2.

Woven into the religious idealism of these preachers are the essential elements of the historical religious institutions of Judaism: the festivals, the love for the Temple, prayer, the Sabbath, etc., etc. Sometimes their fervor seems to be ldttle more than nostalgia. Again, their devotion to certain institutions appears to be little more than mere appreciation of an ideal they have inherited from previous generations and whichhas little value in their own day. At all times, however, is their devotion to these things great and abiding. Even if sacrifices have little meaning in their own day, they are discussed with reverence and fervor. Even if the Temple does not stand today, its glory is cherised as if it still is the center of Jewish life. And if there are values that seem outmoded, they are given new interpretations and are then adapted to the day of the preacher. There is no sector, nor element, nor institution of historical Judaism that doesnot inspire the homileticians in one fashion or another. It may be to tell a story with a moral. It may be to encourage Israel to rededicate itself to that particular ideal It may be to give hope and faith to desperate In all cases, there is warmth and appreciation and reverence. In all cases, there is the obvious attempt to spiritualize and ethicize the most ritualistic and ceremonial elements.

Out of their devotion to the Tample, comes the rabbinic belief, for example, that ever since the destruction of the House of God, there has not been one day without cursing, nor has there been the fruit-yielding dew, nor do the fruits 180 of the earth possess the same flavor they once had. In theminds of the preachers God is now like a bird that wonders about without a nest; since the destruction there is no 181 abode for the Shechina. Now it must remain in the heavens until another such Temple is constructed for it. Sometimes it will hover over the Wailing Wall, but that is not 183 enough.

What of man since the destruction of the Temple? The generation of David fell in battle because, and only because, they did not request the construction of the Temple in their day. Yet today, men neither have the Temple, nor do they mourn its loss. How much more terrible will be 184 their fate. Once, when the Temple existed, sinners could bring offerings to its altar, whereupon their transgressions would be forgiven by God. Today, man can only 185 hope for God's mercy. Man, in the day of the preacher, seems to be like that generation which followed David, sinners. That was why God did not let David construct the Temple, despite the fact that David begged Him so. God knew that the generations that were to follow would be bad

183. Ibid.

<sup>180.</sup> Buber, Psalm 7, homily 9. 184. Buber, Psalm 17, homily 1. 181. Ibid., 11, 1. 185. Ibid., 25, 3.

and that the Temple would have to be destroyed. If David were to build it, He could not destroy it. Therefore, the following generations of Israel will build, but it will be alled in David's name.

When the Temple stood in its glory, Israel would come silently with its offerings. Now God stands silently be187
fore them: For while He may appreciate the synagogues
over the land, the Temple is still His favorite abode.

He recalls how he prepared cedars and gold for it long
189
before Creation. He termed the Temple "rock" to show
190
its stability and importance. Now He must witness His
chosen people called contrite and crushed—ever since
191
the ruin of the Temple in Zion.

Yes, the wicked nations will be repaid for what they did to Israel. For what they perpetrated in destroying 192 the Temple, however, they will be repaid seven-fold.

When the House of God was reduced to ashes, the houses of righteousness everywhere were destroyed with it. As surely as God will one day restore these, so surely will 193

He utterly crush the housessof the wicked:

Yet, in typical optimism, the rabbis declare that

Israel must accept the very destruction of the Temple with

194
joy:

<sup>186.</sup> Buber, Psalm 62, homily 4.
187. Ibid., 42, 4.
188. Ibid., 87, 1.
189. Ibid., 104, 13.
191. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 9.
192. Abid., Psalm 79.
193. Buber, Psalm 125, homily 1.
194. Ibid., 92, 9.
190. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 9.

Rabbinic consideration of sacrifices is most/involved in their comparison or contrast of sacrifices to prayer. Rare is the reference to sacrifice in itself, such as, for example, thehomily that declares that of all the offerings specified in the Torah, the offering of thanksgiving is the favored offering of God. However, we find the same things said of prayer -- that the prayer of thanks giving is themost effective form of prayer. Furtheremore. God prefers the prayers of the righteous to the sacrifices of the wicked, be it Balaam or any other sinner. Balaam began to build his altars with the intention of offering sacrifices to God, the Creator rebuked him, saying: "Wicked man, I do not want your alters; but I long for the prayer of man! For it is only when man prays that the Creator, blessed be "e, is willing to consider a bribe. Only prayer can bribe God from punishing man for his sins. when man prays is God's kingship over the world truly expressed. Then it is that the angels of prayer gather up the words of prayer as they drift up into theheavens and weave them into a crown which they place upon thehead of the Creator. In gratitude, the Shechina remains standing when Israel prays in their synagogues. A man may be able to hear only one person speaking at a time, or be able

<sup>195.</sup> Buber, Psalm 50, homily 3. 199. Ibid., Psalm 17, homily 5. 196. Ibid., 56, 4. 200. Ibid., 19, 7. 197. Ibid., 90, 1. 201. Ibid., 65, 3. 198. Ibid., 17, 1.

to behold one person or object at one time. God, however, beholds every synagogue simultaneously and hears every 202 prayer uttered at once. He is content, for He regards 203 prayer as the most fitting form of worship.

Accordingly, when it says to "Sing Unto The Lord," as it does in Psalm 96 three times, it means that man should pray his three daily services. The future generations of Israel should particularly take notice of this fact, because they will have no other representative, no other intercessor before God, but prayer. In troubde, let them remember that God never rejects a prayer of a cong-Consequently, if a man prays in the synregation. agogue and theprayer does not seem to be answered immediately, he must not despair, but pray again. If he happens to be an impatient man, he may try weeping, for while thegates of prayer are sometimes closed, the gates Of course, sometimes of tears to God are never closed. prayer isnot answered because man does not know the ineffablename of God which will be revealed to them in the This world may be the "day" of which world-to-come. Psalm 86.3 speaks when it says ""And I shall call upon Thee all the day." This is the day for the wicked when Israel's prayers go unanswered.

<sup>202.</sup> Buber, Psalm 65, homily 3. 207. Yalkut Shimoni, Ps. 27. 203. Ibid., 66, 1. 208. Told., Psalm 39. 204. Ibid., 96, 1. 209. Buber, Psalm 91, homily 8. 205. Ibid., 102, 13. 210. Ibid., 86, 2. 206. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 5.

Should a man ask how long must he pray at a given time if his prayers go unanswered, he should be told to continue 211 praying until his heart faints away. However, let him 212 not stand in jest, laughter, levity or idle talk. Nor should he exaggerate his petitions and praise for God; he who praises God too much, may be considered, like the flaterer, insincere, and lose his share in the life of the 213 present world.

Basic to the religious life in rabbinic thought is the love of and fulfillment of the  $^{\mathrm{T}}$ orah.

For the Torah, like water, is one of the greatest blessings of life. Both Torah and water are given to man from the heavens; both are always free to him who wants it; both are life-giving and life-sustaining; both begin drop bydrop or law by law; in both, each bit, each drop or low accumulates and makes rivers or groups of laws; both hide the sins of the people; just as an old man can say to a child "Give me a drink," so an aged man may say to a youth "Teach me something of the Torah;" both are fruit214
yielding. Sometimes the Torah seems to be like a rich fruit-yielding tree: the former has its branches in the form of scholars and disciples.

<sup>211.</sup> Buber, Psalm 61, homily 2. 212. Ibid., 108, 1. 213. Ibid., 19, 2. 214. Ibid., 1, 18. 215. Ibid., 1, 19.

Of course, scholars and disciples of the Torah must be prepared to withstand poverty. God knows that if He were to give them material wealth, they would abstain from the Old and young alike, Israel is restudy of the Torah. sponsible for the preservation of its precepts. At Sinai the children of future generations were given as surety that the commandments would be fulfilled. Consequently. everyone is certain that the future generations will fulfill them, though they be enslaved by the surrounding wicked For Israel is zealous of the Torah, as zealous nations. as it was at Sinai to get it from the Creator. Then Israel behaved like a child who was told by his father that he was to give the child a precious thing; the child begged the father until it got the precious object, and Israel pleaded with God until it was given the Torah. preciation, the Shechina always stands among the men who study the Torah. In appreciation, too, reward awaits the scholars. This reward will come from God who acts like a king who has a large orchard-he promises his workers reward if they willguard his treasures. God promises Eden to those who guard His holy law. And how much better is this reward: when a man gives a gift to another, the

<sup>216.</sup> Buber, Psalm 5, homily 2.
217. Ibid., 8, 4.
218. Toid., 13, 3.
219. Ibid., 28, 6.
220. Ibid., 68, 9.
221. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 3.

recipient must constantly guard it or stand the chance of losing it or having it taken it away from him. But the Torah, the gift of God to Israel, guards man and protects 222 him from evil, disaster or ac cident. However, man must remember one thing about the Torah: it is upright and life-sustaining only when it is on the lips of the upright man. In the mouth of the unclean and sinner, the Torah is desecrated and does not therefore have the 223 same saving effect.

The references to the holidays and festivals of Those that are to be Israel are not very plentiful. found are most illuminating. While something is usually said of theholidays under discussion in themselves, the greater part of the consideration is stressed upon the significance of the festivals to the time of the preachers. The holidays are interpreted in the light of the situation under which the homileticians lived. The ideals they represent and symbolize are adapted to present-day condi-This, of course, is a process not uncommon in tions. our own day and is , therefore, doubly noteworthy. see here the recognition of the fact that the development and reinterpretation of religious practices is an old and familiar phenomenon and one of which preachers of all ages make frequent use.

<sup>222.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 5. 223. Buber, Psalm 19, homily 14.

In this regard, one preacher believes that the "flock" to which the High Holiday liturgy refers, the "flock" which is supposed to pass before God, the judge, for the annual judgement, represents the passing line of generations from the beginnings of time to the present. Each year every generation, passing before the presence of God, must feel the sense of its own guilt, must realize its own transgressions and repent. This repentance must be, likewise, in accordance with the dictates of its own heart. For this repentance, God restors their souls, he cleanses them, he makes them "like new." Whereupon every generation, cleansed of its sins, passes on and transmits its heritage 224 to the following generations.

When these generations pass before the Divine Judge, they behold three large tomes in His hands, instead of the one book to which the liturgy refers. The first of these books records the life of the completely righteous; the second inscribes the history of those completely wicked; the third book includes the names of those whose destinies are temporarily indeterminable. The first book is sealed immediately for "life." The second book is closed after the seal of "death" is placed upon it. The last volume is left open and unsealed until the Day of Atonement.

<sup>224.</sup> Buber, Psalm 102, homily 1.

The preacher refers to the prayer popularly known as Unsaneh Tokef.

It is in anticipation of the seal that will ultimately be placed upon that book, that those who are not certain of their fate may help determine their own ends. Each generation, may by its own actions and thoughts, determine its own destiny.

One of the few references to the festival of Tabernacles deals with the question of the fate of every man on the annual judgement. Men often ask themselves questions regarding their own fates. Have they lost or won in their judgement? Was their seal for "life" or for "death"? Have they been victorious or did the wicked nations triumph? Let Israel be certain of this, then: if, when Sukkot arrives, and the world sees Israel, all of the, great and small, young and old, taking their lulavim and esrogim in their right and left hands, respectively, then they may rest assured that they have triumphed in judgement, that their fate for the coming year is "life."

Surprisingly enough, the historic festival that is given most prominence by the preachers of both Midrash Thillim and Yalkut Shimoni is-Purim. It is illuminating to see the importance they attribute to the Feast of Lots. But it is quite understandable. For, again, this reflects

<sup>225.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 86. 226. Buber, Psalm 17, homily 6.

the fact that the times in which the preachers delivered their homilies were, no doubt, times which reminded them and their audiences of the times of Haman and Ahasuerus. The times in which the preachers wrote and preached must have been, like the days of Esther, times of great crisis, despair and turbulence for Israel. New Hamans must have arisen to plague a weary and dispersed people. The entire generation of Jews must have yearned for the emergence of some new Mordecai or some new Esther to deliver them, even as their ancestorswere delivered in ancient Persia. The miracle of Purim is idealized and romanticized and elaborated by the preachers. In and between the lines can be seen the hope of those men for a similar miracle to take place.

Queen Esther is likened by one rabbi to the morning star. For just as the morning star is the last star to appear in the heavens during the night, so the emergence of this beautiful and pius Queen was the last miracle in the development of the story of Israel's infamous night of oppression. Likewise, just as all the other stars of the heavens set when the morning star rises, so did the constellation of Haman fall with the appearance of 227 Esther's star. In a similar vein is Israel's lot compared to the morning star. For the light of this star

<sup>227.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 22.

emerges with a faint light which grows increasingly brighter and brighter until it illumines the heavens and earth with its brightness, so was Israel's hope faint at first but grew brighter with the emergence of Esther and Mordecai, until finally the light of joy and the brightness of triumph of 228 goodness illuminated the entire world.

Somewhat more crudely, is Esther compared to a hind. Physiologically this animal is constructed with a small womb and is consequently always pleasing to the stud. So 229 was Queen Esther always beloved by King Ahasuerus.

Whether hind or morning star, welcome indeed was the salvation that came with Esther and Mordecai. For never was there such darkness in the world as there was in 230 the days of Haman. Even the tyranny of Egypt under PHaraoh was a lighter burden for Israel than proved the burden of Haman and his wickedness. In Egypt, they cried outto God and He heard them immedately; at once He came to their rescue. In the night of Haman's oppression, Israel criedout for three consecutive days until God finally paid heed to their outcry. On the first day they cried "My God!" but were not answered. The second day they cried again "My God!" A ain they went unheeded. Finally, on the third day they exclaimed "Why hast Thou forsaken me?" Then

<sup>228.</sup> Buber, Psalm 22, homily 13.

<sup>229.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 22. 230. Buber, Psalm 22, 7.

<sup>2, 15.</sup> 

it was that God heeded their cry, and answered them.

The story of the Exodus serves, among other purposes, that of being a basis for teaching some lesson or moral for the day of the preachers. While the historic event is considered in itself and often referred, nothing new is said about it that cannot be found in other rabbinic Noteworthy, however, is the fashion in which the festival of Passover is employed to tell some lesson or moral not particularly related to the Exodus. we learn that once Shimon ben Halafta found his home without the proper needs for Passover. On his way to the Synagogue to pray, he found a precious gem. Were he to sell this stone, he could have gotten all the needs for the Seder. His wife, however, wanted to know where he got that stone. When he told her how he came across it, she insisted that he take it back to the place in which he found it and that he go immediately to the synagogue and pray that it be taken back by its rightful owner. For, was her contention, if they keep the stone, they will lose their reward that Two morals may then will be theirs in the world-to-come. be drawn from the story, the preacher concludes: first, that man should be as eager to pray that wealthybe taken from him as he is eager to pray that he gain riches; and

のでは、これでは、10mmのでは、10m

<sup>231.</sup> Buber, Psalm 22, homily 6. 232. Ibid., 92, 8.

secondly, that he who is free from material care in this life decreases his reward in the life of the world-to-233 come. If, however, Israel is wearded by its poverty and suffering, let them remember that just as they must now drink the four cups of sorrow, they shall one day of come to drink/the cup of salvation and joy. Their enemies, the wicked nations, will then be forced to quaff of the 234 sorrow and bitterness which are Israel's lot now.

Surprisingly few references are made to the Sabbath as an institution in the history and religious life of Those that do occur are in the traditional vein. Rest seems to be the most frequently emphasized element of that day. Thus: In this world a man may work in the orchard picking figs on the Sabbath. In the world-tocome, however, the very figs will cry out "Sabbath!" For in this world men do not seem to realize the sacredness of the holy day. They do not realize, for instance. that this is the day that God chose from all the days of Or that it was gotten before the Torah. the week. Or that God did not give manna to the Israelites on the Sabbath, not because He could not but because He would 237 Or that it is Israel's most special possession not.

<sup>233.</sup> Ibid. 234. Buber, Psalm 75, homily 4.

<sup>235.</sup> Ibid., 92, 2.

<sup>236.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>237.</sup> Ibid.

238

transmitted from generation to generation. Or that it was the Sabbath that originally saved man from eternal damnation in the fires of Gehinnom. For man was created on the sixth day, he rose to his feet on the eighth, he was advised by the Creator on the ninth, he sinned on the tenth, he was judged on the eleventh and driven out from the Garden of Eden on the thirteenth. The angels demanded that God punish man immediately, and God was about to comply when He realized that the Sabbath was approaching, and He refused to mete out damnation to man on the Sabbath. Furthere, as the Sabbath saved man from damnationoriginally, it will save him again from the punishment awaiting him. Let Israel observe the Sabbath and the Messiah will be sure to rise and deliver them from their oppression.

The most telling proof for the severity of the times in which the preachers of Midrash Tehillim and the Yalkut Shimoni lived and wrote is the emphasis and significance they attributed to martyrdom in the religious life of Israel. The blood that was shed, the agony of lingering death to which countless numbers of pious, innocent men, women and children were submitted, the unspeakable brutalities and indescribable barbarities, these disasters did not shake the preachers from their faith in the goodness

Tbid., Psalm 92, homily 3. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 95.

of the world and in theultimate justice of God's moral law. For them there was reason and purpose in the suffering of They elevated their people's misery to a spiritual and religious level that became a source of inspiration and hope for the masses rather than a curse that it apparently seemed to be.

Comfortingly, the preachers told their people that every righteous man who loses his life in martyrdom can be that his name will be inscribed upon the royal robe 241 At the same time, God dips His pen into of the Creator. the blood of those who give up their lives for the sanctification of His name and seals the tragic fate that is des-242 tined to befall the tyrants who murdered His righteous ones. No, He shall never forget nor neglect those who cry out to Him in any generation. How much less will He forget them in times of forced conversions and martyrdom. God is aware that there is not another people on earth that has been so devoted to His moral law, that has striven to live Tyrants like Nebuchby it unto death, as has Israel. adrezzar are always amazed at the unswerving faith of Israel's pious who surrender to death rather than betray their religious hopes. They are amazed at the example set forth by Shadrach, Mesach and Ebednego.

Buber, Psalm 9, homily 13.

<sup>242.</sup> Ibld.

<sup>243.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>17.</sup> 9, Ibid.,

<sup>245.</sup> 

To witness thousands upon thousands of innocent people succumbing to death with glowing coals searing into their 246 arm pits or splinters driven far under their finger nails. should be, it seems, enough to make any man despair and break down in scepticism. Not so with these preachers. In their suffering they sanctify God's name and are to be Such a lot is more acceptable considered as blessed. before God as a means of atonement than are all the sacrifices that specified in the Torah. Such a destiny is the fulfillment of the truth that three generations are destined to bear the sorrows of history: the generation of thepatriarchs is the first; the age of martyrdom and forced conversion is the second; the final is the generation of the days of Messiah.

<sup>246.</sup> Ibid., Psalm 16, homily 4.

<sup>247.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>248.</sup> Ibid.

<sup>249.</sup> Ibid.

# ESCHATOLOGY

Every age of suffering in human history has inclined mankind toward the mystic. Every epoch of persecution, for this or that people, has turned the generations of those epochs to thoughts of death, of after-life, of another world in which the wrongs of this life would be righted. To Judaism -- the history of which is scarred with ages of affliction -- this is an old truth. The times of stress that it endured throughout its long history have always increased the speculation regarding final things, death, reward and punishment, immortality. Under the tyranny of Greece and Rome, in Easter Europe and in Spain, in every period of duress, Israel has developed its particular and unique doctrine of eschatology. Christianity with all its mysticism, its dream of salvation through a messiah; the periods of false redeemers in Europe throughout the middle ages as late as the seventeenth century; Hassidism -- all these were marked by their concern for another world in which the good who suffer in this life will, at long last, find surcease from their afflictions and in which the wicked who prosper in this life will, at long last, be shown that their way of life is not the will of God, that wickedness does meet its due punishment as goodness will be repaid with a proper reward.

the Yalkut Shimoni for these eschatological problems is great and very much in keeping with the eschatological conjectures of other rabbinic works. Here, too, are the considerations of death, the final destruction of this present world, immortality, reward and punishment, the messiah, the world-to-come. In the main, these phenomena are not pictured for thereader, they are not characterized or described. Most of them are merely taken for granted. They are discussed as if their character was known to all. It is difficult, of course, to determine just how far the preaches believed the things they talked about. In this wise, however, they not only escaped the nedessity of describing the indescribable, but they also reflected their own faith.

Important is the fact that those rabbis must have known how necessary it was for them to hold out some hope to their persecuted people. They must have sensed the need to bolster the morale of Israel, or else witness its extinction, not only at the hands of the merciless persecutors and inquisitors from without, but likewise at the hands of despair and defeatism from within the heart of Judaism itself. The success of these preachers should be judged by the results: they did accomplish the miraculous; they did help their people survive waves of persecution; they did pilot their masses of people to safer waters.

The final battle between the forces of good and evil, the conflict of all good against Gog and Magog, is referred to, but not extensively. Apparently, at the end of time such a conflict will come, the preachers believed. The 250 two forces for evil, Gog and Magog, will come upon the city of Jerusalem in three consecutive and critical invasions, even as Nebuchadnezzar besieged Zion three times, and even as Sennacharib had surrounded its walls 251 in as many sieges. Yet, terrible as that conflict will undoubtedly be, there are worse things to the minds of some preachers. Worse that the battle of Gog and Magog, 252 for example, is the son who mistreats the father.

Worse than death, sometimes too, is life. Of course, it seems to us that there is no day of our lives than that 253 of our death, and it is also true that because it is so terrible God concealed the knowledge of the day of our 254 death from our eyes. But man must remember that, in spite of these terrible thoughts, God does not call a man holy until he is interred. Why? Because in life the Yezer Hara harnesses him and keeps him from good works. What is more, man will not believe in life-after-death until his adying day. Let Israel remember that the patriarchs were not considered holy until they were 255 buried.

<sup>250.</sup> Taken from Ezekial, chapter 38.

<sup>251.</sup> Buber, Psalm 118, homily 12.

<sup>252.</sup> Ibid., 3, 2

<sup>253. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 41, 4

<sup>254. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 9, 1. 255. <u>Ibid.</u>, 16, 2.

Apparently, the problem of immortality troubled the preachers of our homilies even as it troubles many a modern teacher of religion. How best to explain this question? The rabbinic attempt to answer it is most interesting. For it is very, very modern and rational. They ask, for instance, what could David have meant when he said in Psalm 61 "I shall dwell in Thy tents for ever (olamim)? Considering the word in Hebrew, olamim, they continue to ask--is it possible that David believed that he would dwell in both this world and the world-to-No, this is what the monarch meant: he hoped that the words and songs of praise for God which he was composing (the Psalms) will be sung in the Synagogues and Similarly, another schools of Israel for all ages. rabbi suggests that David must have meant this: he hoped that he could in his life times say or do something that would be worthwhile enough to persist after he is gone, that would endure throughout the ages. In a word, immortality for these men was very much like what it is for many men today -- the undying quality of ideas and ideals, the eternality of hopes and dreams.

It is was very natural that these preachers, witnessing the folly and corruption of the wicked judges who determined

<sup>256.</sup> Buber, Psalm 61, homily 3. 257. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 61.

their miserable lot, should dream of a day when true and last judgment would at last be realized. Such a day of judgement would, of course, find God at the bench of jurisdiction. Such a court would be guided by truth and justice. There the righteous will be judged and vindicated, 258 even while the wicked will be condemned and punished. There 259

It is a simple matter todetermine when this final day of judgment will actually come. There is considerable difference of opinion among the preachers. One rabbi believes that it will be another of those occasions which will never be known to man, because God knows how much it Some say that the day will arrive would frighten him. when all the nations of the world will acknowledge God, when the upper and lower worlds will declare His greatness. At that time will God gather all thepeoplesbefore Him. Those who will be condemned must remember that the decision will have been made because they were idolators while Israel alone had accepted the Torah. After, all, if Israel had not accepted it, where would have God ruled? Where would he have made His abode? How could the world have been saved from immediate destruction?

At this final judgement, the nations will be greatly ashamed when they hear Israel's favorable vindication. They

Entrate Section

<sup>258.</sup> Buber, Psalm 1, homily 22. 261. Ibid., Psalm 20, homily 2.

<sup>259.</sup> Ibid., 8, 8. 262. Ibid. 260. Ibid.. 9. 1. 263. Ibid.

will be further ashamed when God will place the Yezer Hara before them to remind them of the way in which they lived 264 in this world. In despair, each nation will call upon its particular God. Receiving no answer, it will be further distressed. Finally, they will come pleadingly to God. He will rebuke them. He will refuse to heed their plea 265 because they had once ignored Him.

Ignored willbe all such villains as Korah, the archvillain of Jewishhistory. Because he rebelled against God and because herefused to repent for his rebellion, God decided to refuse himm a share in the world to come. determined to deny him resurrection to eternal life. Likewise, did Ahitofel merit the damnation of suffering and extinction rather than the glory of the world-to-come. He, too, stands as an object lesson for all humanity. They who have The good, on the other hand, need not fear. been charitable and helpful to the poor and needy, they Israel, above all, eternal life. need not/hope for should have no fear of the final day of judgement. Indeed, Israel will never know when that day is at hand, because the decisions will be determined through someone with whom they are veryfamiliar and they will see no terror in it.

<sup>264.</sup> Buber, Psalm 31, homily 5.
265. Ibid.
266. Ibid., 49, 3.
267. Ibid., 53, 2.
268. Ibid., 41, 4.
269. Ibid., 118, 10.

For the wicked, however, the terror will be quite great and evident. The earth will be shaken from its 270 moorings when the decree of the final judgement is made. The waters of the depth will roar and foam, the wicked will be tossed about and Jerusalem alone will survive the 271 catastrophe. When the debris is cleared away, the world will witness the righteous, vindicated at last, finding 272 shelter under the wings of the Schehina. From then on God Himself will rule and His moral law will hold sway 273 over all men and nations.

At that time reward and punishment will be meted out to mankind justly and truthfully. The good deeds, being fruitful will reap great remuneration, while the evil actions, barren, will be reciprocated only with disaster.

Of course, it is not always clear what the reward or punishment will be. God considers Himself like the gardner who does not tell his workers how much he will pay them for each separate task, because he wants the entire garden to be cultivated. The Creator knows that if men will know the reward offered for every mizvah, there is danger that 276

Indeed, the justice which determines God's rewards is even greater than the justice which rules the gardner. For the latter will not pay his workers for any task until

<sup>270.</sup> Buber, Psalm 46, homily 2. 274. Ibid., Psalm 62, homily 13. 271. Ibid., 3. 275. YalkutShimoni, Psalm 9. 272. Ibid. 2. 276. Buber, Psalm 9, homily 1.

<sup>773.</sup> Ibid., 47, 1.

the work is ended. Not so with God. When a man declares his intention to do some good task, even before hehas had time to fulfill that intention, God ascribes it to him as though he had fulfilled it. Thus we realize that although David did not personally build the Temple, it was called in his name because he declared his desire to build it. 0ntheother hand, when a man contemplates doing something evil. God does not consider it at all until it is actually In a similar manner, God does not balance finished. the good deeds against theevil actions, as does a human bookkeeper balance the debits against the credits. First the Creator punishes man for theevil deeds; thenhe rewards him for the good actions. In that way does man reap the benefit of every good deed of his life. But in any case, let man remember that there is never any death without sin preceding it and no chastisement without transgression and no reward without some good deed.

Therefore, let Israel who has lived a life of righteousness and law-fulfillment know that it will be rewarded
with final salvation. Let them not despair if this salvation does not seem to be at hand when they seek it. Surely
as God helped the mother in her labor pain and then helped
the daughter inher delivery, so will He who has saved past
generations of suffering Israelites deliver the present
281
generations. In the meantime let them cherish five

<sup>277.</sup> Buber, Psalm 30, homily 2. 280. Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 89. 278. Ibid. 281. Buber, Psalm 20, homily 4.

<sup>279.</sup> Ibid., 62, 4.

things as helps to salvation—suffering, prayer, merit of

their ancestors, repentance and the final day of judgement.

283

Let them look to heaven and they will be saved. Let them

not heed the ways of Korah and Datan, the rebels against

God's law, but let them follow in the footsteps of the sons

284

of Korah who abided by His will. If the Creator will then

not be able to save them because they have sinned, He will

be compelled to deliver them because of His reputation for

285

righteousness.

This salvation will not be like the salvations which Israel had experienced in the past at the hands of human redeemers, salvation unstable and ephemeral. The final redemption will come through God Himself and it will be 286 for all times. Then will joy fill every corner of the earth. For then will not only Israel be free, but God Himself whose right hand was fettered as long as Israel 287 was in chains, will be likewise free.

Toward the attainment of this salvation, the concept of the Messiah is not very clear in the homilies under consideration. Who will be easied? When will be come? These questions are not answered specifically. He is taken for granted in a hazy sort of way, like other rabbinic concepts.

<sup>282.</sup> Buber, Psalm 106, homily 9. 285. Ibid., Psalm 71, homily 2. 283. Ibid., 32, 1. 286. Ibid., 36, 6. 284. Ibid. 287. Ibid., 98, 1.

It does seem that he will be a descendant of the house of 288

David. Some think that he will come to this world only when all souls have passed away, when no living inhabitant 289

is left in the universe. Another believes that the Messiah will not arrive until all the world hasobserved faithfully one Sabbath. Better still, he will not come 290 until the whole earth has repented for one full day.

His arrival to this earth will be marked by a huge parade. He, the Messiah, willmarch at the head of that parade. He will be foldow Israel of all generations. The nations of the earth, repentant, bringing gifts to 291 God, will follow the Israelites. As the parade will approach the throne of od, the messiah will be asked 292 to select one gift. He will choose life. Granted this request, he will then proceed to teach the world six fundamental mizvot: the building of the Sukkah, 293 the use of the Lulay, the use of the phylactaries, etc. At the same time, Israel will be studying Torah withGod 294 Himself.

While Israel is thus occupied, the wicked will be burning in Gehinnom. There, the wicked of all nations will fall from this life, never tornise again, their fate sealed forever and ever.

There, the world will behold

291. Ibid., 87, 6. 295. <u>Ibid.</u>, 62, 2.

<sup>288.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 82.
289. Ibid., Psalm 82.
290. Buber, Psalm 95, homily 1.
294. Ibid.
294. Ibid.

296

an eternal vale of tears. For there they shall behold the wicked being scorched by the blazing rays of the sun which, for the first time in history, will be removed from its sheathe. The heat will utterly destroy them, whereupon 297 the sun will return again to its sheathe. Last minute confession of all transgressions alone will save them from 298 their tragic fate.

Occasionally, God will take the wicked from their abode to let them catch a glimpse of the world-to-come in which the righteous shall be living at ease. He will show the wicked what fate they might have shared had they lived wisely, or at least confessed and repented for their evil doings. Then He will hurl them back into their own abode of suffering. For while the present life finds God good to all men, in the world-to-come He will be good only to the good. No villain of the calibre of Doeg, Ahitofel, Balaam or Gehazi will be allowed either stay The righteous converts or entry in that future world. of other nations, however, shall be given a share in that life of everlasting peace and joy. Men like Antoninus (Pius) would be among thefirst of those to enter the life Even children whose lives are of the world to come.

<sup>296.</sup> Buber, Psalm 84, homily 3. 299. Ibid., Psalm 31, homily 6. 297. Ibid., 19, 13. 300. Ibid., 5, 9. 298. Ibid., 92, 7. 301. Ibid., 22, 29. 302. Ibid.

are indetermined shall have a place in the life of the world-to-come. There is, however, difference of opinion as to when the child enters that life. Some say when it is first conceived in the mother's womb; others say at birth; another theory suggests when the child has learned to count; a final suggestion is at the time of 303 circumcision.

No man can describe this world to come, unfortunately. The soms of Korah, righteous men, had a glimpse of it, but when they wanted to picture it to their fellow men, they One thing is certain, found themselves unable to do so. however, the stress upon money and material wealth of this world will have no place in the spiritual world-to-come. There the righteous will be sub-divided into seven categories and as many respective abodes of spiritual glory will be They shall made available for each type of zaddik. have three hundred and ten worlds to choose from for their In each of these, they shall eternal dwelling place. be constantly fed by the splendor of the Shechina; it will 308. guard them for all time to come.

<sup>303.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 22.

<sup>304.</sup> Buber, Psalm 46, homily 1.

<sup>305.</sup> Ibid., 87, 3.

<sup>306.</sup> Ibid., 45, 3

<sup>307.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 30.

<sup>308.</sup> Buber, Psalm 45, homily 3.

III - MIDRASHIC EXECESIS

## MIDRASHIC EXEGESIS

309

In his Studies In Jewish Preaching, Dr. Israel Bettan categorizes the exegetical methods that the various preachers employ. Bachya ben Asher, for instance, uses four distinct techniques of interpretation ranging from the simple pshat to Jacob Anatoli proceeds to explain the Kabbalistic approach. him homilies from the "obvious to the abstruse" by means of Isaac Arama, rather than substituting the derived allegory. interpretation for the literal, employs the two as supplementary An analysis of MIDRASH TEHILLIM and the to each other. etc. Ps alms of the YALKUT SHIMONI reveals, at least to the novice in the world of scholarship, no such systematic formulae for homiletical exegesis. While it is true that these traditional approaches or techniques are present in the homilies under discussion, they are present not in any clearly discernible pattern. They seem to be interwoven. Thus the pshat, the most imaginative derash, together with the varying degrees of interpretations that range between these two extremes often run wild even in a single homily.

There are apparent, however, a very definite and clearly distinguishable number of approaches by which the preachers of our homilies interpret the Biblical passages. These approaches may best be called, not techniques or methods but "points of view." Omethow the great majority of the homilies fit in with

<sup>309.</sup> Hebrew Union College Press, Cincinnati, 1939.

<sup>310.</sup> Ibid., pp. 104-11.

<sup>311.</sup> Ibid., pp. 66-67.

<sup>312.</sup> Ibid., p. 154.

these points of view. Thus, when the two collections of homilies are considered from their entirety, stereotyped, even mechanical approaches which border on formulae may be discerned. It is these frequently recurring methods of homiletical exegesis that shall be pointed out below.

Wery often the preacher will employ the rabbinically familiar method of homiletical exegesis which introduces a Biblical verse completely exterraneous to the verse that prompted the discussion originally. The effort is made ultimately, of course, to relate the newly introduced passage to the verse of the Psalter under consideration. A good example of this approach may be found in the homily to Psalm 1.6, in which the preacher introduces froverbs 17.3 and proceeds to discuss its significance homiletically. At first there is no relationship between the two passages whatsoever. Masterfully, the homiletician leads the audience away from the Psalter, only to bring it back again 313 with the message clearly and inspiringly considered.

Equally entertaining to the reader as it was, no doubt, to the original audience, is the story method the preacher employs. When we read, for instance, Psalm 3.8: "You have crushed the teeth of the wicked," the preacher develops his homily through a delightful story. It seems that a pious and a wicked man were on the highway together. At nightfall they stopped in an inn. The good man ate very sparingly. The wicked fellow dined lavishly. When it came time to pay the bill, the good mad had enough money but the scoundrel could not pay his own bill. Whereupon the enraged innkeeper proceeded to knock the teeth out of the wicked man. In what better manner could the preacher explained to his 313. Buber, Psalm 1, homily 22.

simple audience, as no doubt it was, the reward of foresight and the unhappy lot that will befall him who lacks it?

Another frequently recurring approach to the passages of the Psalter is the purely ceremonial or ritualistic. Obviously, in such homilies, the preachers are interested in only one thing: the emphasis upon the importance of some ceremony, custom, festival, prayer, ritual etc. Thus we are told that he who reads the Shema twice daily at least, Scripture will reckon it 315 for him as though he has labored in the Torah day and night.

When the wicked nations want to cast away the bonds that tie them to the Almighty Creator, says another preacher, they mean 316 that want to discard the phylacteries. Again, we are informed that the uncircumcized will not go to gehinnom, and those who are circumcized will surely spend their life in the World to Come in the shelter of God's Sechina.

Psalm 5 affords the preacher, in both the MIDRASH THILLIM and the YALKUT SHIMONI to try their skill at the art of interpreting a passages by calculating the mathematical value of and meaning for each letter that go to make any given word. (While this approach is not so frequently employed, it does occur in a number of homilies, and merits mention. In Psalm 5 the word hanchilot of verse 1 is analyzed letter by letter. The heh is made to represent the five books of Moses; the num the fifty days between Passaver and Shabuot; the het the eight days prior to circumcision; the yod the decalogue, etc.

<sup>314.</sup> Buber, Psalm 4, homily 13.

<sup>315. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, <u>1</u>, <u>17</u>, 316. <u>Thid.</u> 2. 1.

<sup>316. &</sup>lt;u>Ibid.</u>, 2, 1. 317. <u>Ibid.</u>, 6, 1.

<sup>318.</sup> Yalkut Shimoni, Psalm 5. Buber, Psalm 5, homily 5.

Very often the preacher will approach his text by reflecting on the various qualities of human nature. The virtues and the failings, the traits to be admired and those to be shunned by all are pointed to in a very vivid and impressive manner. One preacher, for example, considers the various ways in which different types of menreact to the wonders of nature. The admiring type of individual, when he beholds the heavens created by God is moved to exclaim: "If I have been created only to behold the heavens, that is sufficient for me." The individual who is inclined to be lazy will look up at the skies and demand the rewards of life here and now. The good man, seeing the wonders of nature, will say: "Everything that you have, O Lord, store up for me in the World To Come." Content and admiring; lazy, petualant and selfish; appreciative and with regard for 519

Still reflecting the rabbinic keen observation of human nature, but from a different angle, there are somehomilies which indicate the preachers attempt to understand the human body. In such homilies, the message of the preacher is interwoven with biological and physiological allusions. The Creator, Blessed be He, for instance, tests the heart and kidneys of men, asserts one homiletician, for a definite reason. It is in the heart and kidneys that the entire thoughtprocess takes place. The senses follow the inclinations of the heart which in turn completes its thoughts in the kidneys.

<sup>319.</sup> Buber, Psalm 8, homily 6.

<sup>320.</sup> Ibid., 14, 1.

Equally keen is the preacher's eye in his analysis of the natural world about him. Often he will draw upon his knowledge, correct or erroneous, of the natural world to elucidate his homily, or to paint some striking simile. God executes great and wondrous miracles, one preacher asserts. Man may use a sieve to sift some stuff, and the matter sifted will drop through the holes of the sieve, drop intermingling with drop. The Creator, blessed be He, however, drops countless myriads of rain-drops, but not one drop touches another in their descent In another homily we learn from the heavens to the earth. that what may not seem to have life and living existence as man knows it to be, that does not mean that those things do not actually possess vitality. To the syesoof man, for instance, the sea is a lifeless body of water; the mount tains a dumb mass or clod of earth; the earth and the skies lifeless elements. Not so with God, before Whom the sea has eyes, the depths of the universe a heart, the earth a mouth and the heavens the power of speech.

employed by the preacher is that which aims to explain the meaning behind a phrase which seems to him to be cryptic or elliptical. Such a phrase, apparently, is Psalm 1.1: "Who did not walk in the counsel of the wicked, nor stand in the way of the sinner, nor sit in the seat of the scoffers." It seems impossible, asserts the homilatician, that one who has not gone to stand, and for one who has not stood to sit. The real meaning

<sup>321.</sup> Buber, Psalm 18, homily 16.

<sup>322.</sup> Ibid., 19, 11.

is, however, that if a man but presume to walk toward goodness or evil, ultimately he will stand with it; and if he but intend to stand with the scoffers or the righteous, he is destined to sit with either of them. In effect, the intention is equal to 323 the act itself.

Perhaps the most oft-repeated method of interpretation used in these homilies of the two volumes is that which reads some historical character or event into the words of the Psalter. This is, of course, quite arbitrary and often the reader might, by emulating theingenuity of the preacher, apply another character or event to the same passage with equal ease and plausibility. Examples of this type of point of view employed by the preachers are numerous and one example will illustrate amply. "planted tree in pools of water" of Psalm 1.2 refers, as far as one preacher is concerned, to the garden of Eden; "he who yields his fruit" is Cain; Abel is he "whose leaf does not wither;" Seth is among those who "prosper in all that they do;" the wicked serpent is among the wicked "who are not like that." In another homily, Abraham, Moses, David, Solomon, Asaph and the sons of Korah are derived from the simple passage, "happy is the man."

The preacher maybe interesting in contrasting or comparing some traditional hero or Israel with some arch-villain of our heritage. Passages of the Psalter which express wickedness, misfortune, evil, these are identified with the traditional troublemakers of the Scriptures. The promising passages are related to

<sup>323.</sup> Buber, Psalm 1, homily 7. 324. Ibid., 1, 6.

the outstanding heroes. Thus, are the model good and the model wickedhelp up for all to see and take heed. They who will do as the wicked will meet the same unhappy lot; they who will emulate the righteous will be rewarded as their good ancesters were paid. Korah receives considerable consideration as an outstanding vil-Haman likewise receives the vehement condemnation of lain. Doeg and Ahitofel are two other oft-mentioned the preachers. Balaam and his evil intentions are alluded to often villains. 329 Amalek, traditional foe of Israel, is denounced and bitterly. Of the preachers' model heroes, David stands again and again. out, for obvious reasons. "e who penned the Psalter, he who poured out his heart in the great doxology, logically becomes the homiletician's greatest model hero. David's humility, his piety, his genius, his wisdom, his heroism, his devotion to Iprael and to God, these are the qualities stressed time and again. of Korah are always praised for their piety. Bezalel, builder of tabernacles, is given laudatory consideration. Esther is exalted to the category of the morning-star who brought the light of salvation to  $^{\mathrm{I}}$  srael in one of its dark nights of oppression.

Often the rabbis wrote their homilies from the purely eschatological point of view. The Psalter lends itself well to this
purpose. Thus, Psalm 23: the rod means the suffering of Israel;
the staff is the Torah; the cup is the cup of ultimate salvation
which the righteous of all nations, together with Israel, will share
335
in the World To Come.

<sup>326.</sup> Buber, Psalm 1, homily 15. 331. Ibid., Psalm 18, homily 2. 327. Ibid., Psalm 7, 12. 332. Ibid., 328. Ibid., Psalm 3, 4. 333. Ibid., 20. 329. Ibid., Psalm 17, 1. 334. Ibild., 22, 10. 10. 335. Ibid., 330. Ibid., 23, 7.

#### AFTERTHOUGHTS

The question may well be asked at this point -- what contribution, if any, have the foregoing pages made to the study of Midrashic exeges is generally and to the Rabbinic thought on the Book of Psalms specifically?

This question can be best answered by summarizing what this paper has humbly attempted to do. In Part I, it was the purpose of the writer to sum up and to analyze the character of the primary sources under consideration. The questions of their date, place, authorship, sources, influence on later thought, language, etc. were noted. In addition to Buber's thorough and brilliant introduction—it would be presumption for the writer to think that he has even tried to improve upon it—there have been indicated earlier and more recent opinions of scholars. This was done with a view to rounding out the picture of the intellectual "controversy" that took place over these homilies.

The greater emphasis was, obviously, placed by the author in Part II, because it was there that he felt most capable to make some contribution. Since the homilies were arranged both in Midrash Tehillim and the Yalkut Shimoni in the order of the Psalms and the verses, rather than according to their subject matter, there was complete disorganization with

regard to the latter aspect. This paper, therefore, attempted to study both works indetail and with care, and then to unify the subject matter topically. While every Psalm does inspire the preacher to an unpredictable variety of subjects, the writer found a consistency and unity of thought. The hopes and faith, the aspirations and despair, the joy and the sorrow of the rabbis is there clearly and distinctly. They needed some unification and gathering together of their scattered strands. What they thought of God and the universe, Israel and the nations, man's ethical and religious duties, the supernatural, eschatological problems—these were co-ordinated and unified. In that manner, the paper attempted to show consistency and harmony where there is apparent chaos.

In addition, the author has indicated, wherever possible, how much the Psalter meant to the preachers as well as to the people, and how much the Psalms reflected the thoughts of the men who composed the homilies. It can be realized that this Book of Psalms contained for those rabbis the essence of all their thinking and believing; it merely needed their elaboration and amplification.

If, then, this paper has shed any light upon these problems, the author shall feel well rewarded.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### PRIMARY SOURCES

- MIDRASH TEHILLIM, (Midrash Socher Tov), Salomon Buber edition, Wilna 1891, published by the Widow and Brothers Romm, with introduction, 542 pp.
- VALKUT SHIMONI, Volume II, Wilna 1898, published by the Widow and Brothers Romm, sections 610-890, 91 pp.

#### SECONDARY SOURCES

- Cassel, David Lehrbuch der judischen Geschichte und Literatur, Leipzig, 1879.
- Epstein, Abraham R'Shimon Karo And The Yalkut Shimoni, Krakau, 1891.
- Geiger, Abraham Nitei Neemonim, Breslau, 1847, p. 10.
- Gratz, Heinrich History Of The Jews, Vol. III, JPS edition.
- Kohler, Kaufman Jewish Theology, Macmillan, 1928.
- Lauterbach, J. Z. Midrash Tehillim, Jewish Encyclopedia, . Vol. X, p. 248ff.
- Lauterbach, J. Z. Yalkut Shimoni, Jewish Encyclopedia, Vol. XII, p. 584ff.
- La terbach, J. Z. Unpublished Parts Of The Yalkut ha-Makiri on Hosea and Micah, Moses Gaster Anniversary Volume, London, 1936, pp. 365-373.
- Levy, A. Die Exegese bei den franzosichen Israeliten, 1973.
- Strack, Hermann Introduction To The Talmud And Midrash, JPS edition, 1931, p.230f.
- Zunz, Leopold Die Gottesdienstlichen Vortrage der Juden, Frankfurt a M edition, 1892.